# **Report to Corporate Services Committee**

To: Chair and Members

Corporate Services Committee

From: Kelly Scherr, P.Eng., MBA, FEC

Deputy City Manager, Environment & Infrastructure

Subject: Delegation of Authority By-law: Environment and

**Infrastructure Approvals and Agreements** 

Date: April 11, 2023

#### Recommendation

That, on the recommendation of the Deputy City Manager, Environment and Infrastructure, the attached proposed Administrative Execution by-law (Appendix "A") **BE INTRODUCED** at the Municipal Council meeting on April 25, 2023, to:

- (a) **DELEGATE** the authority to bind the Corporation of the City of London to members of civic administration in a specific list of circumstances.
- (b) **AUTHORIZE** the execution by the applicable delegated member of civic administration of any contract, agreement or other documents, as required, to give effect to the delegated decision, as indicated in Appendix 'A'.

### **Executive Summary**

#### **Purpose**

This report requests that Council delegate the authority to bind the Corporation in certain instances to specific levels of authority within the Environment and Infrastructure Service Area. Future reports to Council will address needs in other Service Areas.

#### Context

Staff from the Environment and Infrastructure service area, among others, regularly deal with regulatory applications, compliance reporting, funding and incentive applications, utility agreements and other submissions that require or imply an authority to bind the Corporation. However, only City Council has that authority. By delegating the authority to bind the Corporation to members of civic administration in specific instances, the number of reports to Council that are required is reduced and the efficiency of service delivery is enhanced.

## **Linkage to the Corporate Plans**

This project supports the 2019-2023 Strategic Plan through the following:

 Leading in Public Service – Increase efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery.

#### **Analysis**

### 1.0 Background Information

#### 1.1 Previous Reports Related to this Matter

None

### 2.0 Discussion and Considerations

The administration of a municipal corporation such as the City of London is a complex undertaking requiring multiple divisions of specialized staff who are responsible for every aspect of public service delivery. Decisions are made daily by this administrative

arm to ensure the effective and efficient delivery of public services throughout the municipality in accordance with the policy directives decided upon by Council.

### 2.1 Complexity of the Environment and Infrastructure Service Area

The Environment and Infrastructure Service Area is no exception to this organizational complexity, with 780 management and unionized staff responsible for essential services such as the repair and replacement of the City's roads and bridges, winter maintenance, fleet and facilities management, solid waste management, wastewater collection and treatment, storm water management and the distribution of drinking water.

The provision of services to operate and maintain the City's critical infrastructure is costly. As an example, the Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Service Area alone is responsible for infrastructure worth billions of dollars and delivers an overall annual budget in excess of \$200 million to ensure that this infrastructure is appropriately managed. To reduce the burden to taxpayers, staff in all service areas are continually seeking opportunities to reduce the cost of service delivery through the pursuit of funding from senior levels of government as well as incentives offered by utility companies.

Staff are also regularly required to make representations or commitments on behalf of the Corporation of the City of London to meet regulatory or other obligations. However, these deceptively simple administrative tasks present their own complications in terms of the authority required to make those commitments.

## 2.2 Authority to Bind the Corporation

Broad authorities to deal with municipal matters are conferred upon municipalities by the Province of Ontario through the *Municipal Act, 2001, SO 2001 c25* ("the Act") (s.8(1)). More specifically, the City of London is a single-tier municipality and so the provisions laid out in Section 10 of the Act apply:

**10** (1) A single-tier municipality may provide any service or thing that the municipality considers necessary or desirable for the public. 2006, c. 32, Sched. A, <u>s. 8</u>.

The Act also clearly lays out how municipalities are to exercise their powers:

#### Powers exercised by council

**5** (1) The powers of a municipality shall be exercised by its council. 2001, c. 25, s. 5 (1).

### Council a continuing body

(2) Anything begun by one council may be continued and completed by a succeeding council. 2001, c. 25, s. 5 (2).

# Powers exercised by by-law

(3) A municipal power, including a municipality's capacity, rights, powers and privileges under <u>section 9</u>, shall be exercised by by-law unless the municipality is specifically authorized to do otherwise. 2001, c. 25, s. 5 (3); 2006, c. 32, Sched. A, <u>s. 5</u>.

These conditions under which powers are conferred upon municipalities creates a situation which could be expected to incur a heavy administrative burden on municipal councils. In effect, municipalities can provide any service they like, but only elected councils have the authority to exercise these powers through the passage or amendment of a by-law. The time required for this process can be significant. If such formal documentation were required in the City of London for every one of the dozens of commitments required for the services delivered by the Environment and Infrastructure

Division, it could limit the agility required for the Division to remain in regulatory compliance or to take advantage of funding opportunities. In addition, the number of reports required to Committees and Council would be a significant burden on those bodies.

#### 2.3 Ability to Delegate the Authority to Bind

Fortunately, the *Act* also anticipates this burden and provides the tools through which municipal councils can ensure sufficient control over municipal actions while still enabling efficient service delivery. Section 23 of the *Act* allows a municipality to delegate powers and duties as follows:

**23.1** (1) Without limiting sections 9, 10 and 11, those sections authorize a municipality to delegate its powers and duties under this or any other Act to a person or body subject to the restrictions set out in this Part. 2006, c. 32, Sched. A, s. 15.

The restrictions referenced in section 23.1 do not relate to the types of authority contemplated for delegation under this proposed by-law. Therefore, Council is authorized under the *Act* to delegate the authority to bind the Corporation of the City of London to members of its administration. Council has utilized this delegation for other purposes previously (the business licencing and vehicle for hire by-laws are two examples). Any such delegation of authority can be revoked at any time by Council (per section 23.1 (2) 1. of the *Act*) and Council can impose whatever limits it deems appropriate upon that delegation (per section 23.1 (2) 5. of the *Act*).

Civic Administration regularly reviews the processes and permissions required to ensure that best practices are being followed with respect to document execution. A recent review identified that developing a single list of recommended delegations in one by-law would standardize the approach across the Service Area, providing clarity for Administration and facilitating periodic review by Council.

### 2.4 Specific Authorities Requested for Delegation

Since Council remains ultimately responsible for decisions that bind the Corporation of the City of London, and since the *Act* allows for delegations of authority to be limited in whatever way Council deems appropriate, it is proposed to delegate a specific list of types of activities to various levels of authority within the administration. Council can review, modify or revoke these delegations at any time.

The proposed by-law, attached as Appendix A to this report, includes the list of proposed delegations, in some cases with fiscal limits identified, as well as the level of authority within the administration that is proposed to receive the delegation. For simplicity, all delegations are to the Deputy City Manager in the respective Service Area. However, in some cases the nature of the delegated authority warrants the ability for the Deputy City Manager to further delegate the authority to bind the Corporation to a specific level in the administration. These instances are clearly noted.

In many of these delegations, the agreement that would be executed takes the form of a standard document issued by a higher level of government that is not subject to any negotiation. In those instances, no legal or risk review would be required. Further, some are regulatory in nature where the commitment formalizes a non-negotiable obligation on the part of the City. Others are not as clearly laid out, and the Corporation has more flexibility in reviewing agreements and assessing risks versus benefits. In these cases, the proposed level of authority required within administration and the number of reviewing Service Areas increases accordingly.

The list of delegations proposed at this time follows, categorized by the general type of commitment, with brief descriptions of what the individual commitment entails. Fiscal limits and the requirement for Legal or Risk Management review are detailed in the bylaw, with the rationale for such limits discussed below. Each proposed delegation is identified by Group number corresponding to the table in the by-law:

#### **Regulatory Commitments**

- Approvals or permits with governmental regulatory bodies (Group 1) Many Divisions within Civic Administration are required to conduct their work under the terms of various approvals from provincial or federal government ministries. These approvals have varying requirements for operations and reporting, but all share similar characteristics: obtaining approval is mandatory in order to provide the services to the public and there is very little latitude afforded to the municipality in setting the terms of those approvals. All water, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure in the City requires this type of approval from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), as an example. Federal and provincial ministries also require various reports to be submitted, whether pursuant to an approval or not. By applying for permits or approvals from these ministries, the City commits to compliance with terms and conditions. These commitments are technical in nature and, since they are not open to any significant negotiation, no review by Legal or Risk management is required.
- Approvals or permits with non-governmental regulatory bodies (Group 2) –
  In addition to governmental ministries, there are other bodies that have authority
  over areas in which the City needs to complete work, such as the Upper Thames
  River Conservation Authority (UTRCA). Approvals and permits typically require
  the City to commit to conditions for access or development. It is rare that these
  approvals include indemnity clauses or require the provision of enhanced
  insurance, so review by Legal and Risk Management is at the discretion of the
  delegated authority.
- Approvals or permits from non-regulatory bodies (Group 3) This group of authorities has more complexity and covers a significant number of diverse activities regularly undertaken by the Corporation, broken out in more detail below:
  - Construction permits or maintenance agreements Separate from permits issued by regulatory bodies, there are also third parties that have authority over areas in which the City needs to complete work, like railway, hydro or gas corridor crossings. Approvals and permits typically require that the City commit to conditions for access or development. Some of these permits may include indemnity clauses or require the provision of enhanced insurance, so review by Legal and Risk Management is recommended for this group.
  - Cost-sharing agreements City staff also endeavour, whenever possible, to coordinate capital projects with potential needs of other utilities that share the same corridor. Not only does this reduce the impact to residents, aligning projects can also reasonably be expected to result in opportunities for cost sharing. Cost sharing would be negotiated and agreed to by City staff, with contract awards completed in accordance with Council-approved procurement award processes and capital budgets as applicable. Only in cases where no mutually agreeable solution can be reached would direction from Council be sought.
  - Incentive or generation agreements As the City constructs new facilities and pursues renewable energy initiatives, the need to enter into agreements with the relevant utilities (London Hydro, Hydro One, Enbridge, etc.) will occur more and more frequently. All of those agreements bind the City in some way. Similarly, utilities often offer incentives that allow the City to pursue energy saving or greenhouse gas reducing projects on shorter timeframes and at lower cost than would otherwise be possible. In order to apply for and enter into these agreements, typically staff must commit to performance and reporting obligations that bind the corporation. Permits may include indemnity clauses or require the provision of enhanced insurance, so review by

Legal and Risk Management is recommended, but incentives typically involve the City receiving money as part of capital works already contemplated and budgeted by the affected Division. In the latter case, Legal and Risk Management review is left to the discretion of the delegated administrative authority.

- Utility Location Agreements Work by City crews in many Divisions, such as Parks and Forestry, Sewer Operations, Water Operations and others, and City contractors managed by Construction Administration or the various Engineering and Operations Divisions, often requires the location and relocation of existing utilities. The various agreements, required to enable work around these utilities, such as Alternative Locate Agreements (ALA) and Utility Relocation Agreements, can be either job specific or standing agreements for work practices and permissions. It is recommended that the need for further review by other Divisions be at the discretion of the delegated authority.
- Applications for federal or provincial funding and the resultant transfer payment agreements (Group 4) – Similar to incentives, funding allows the City to pursue projects on shorter timeframes and at lower cost than would otherwise be possible. In order to apply for and enter into these agreements, typically staff must commit to performance and reporting obligations, as well as alignment with the policy goals of the funding, that bind the corporation. The submission of applications for senior government funding is technical in nature and does not require further review, the necessary level of authority would be relative to the value of the City's contribution to the proposed funding. As a result, separate lines with different fiscal limits are considered appropriate in this category. Administrative execution of any agreements resulting from successful funding applications is deemed appropriate only if the sources of finance exist in approved budgets, and the value is aligned with the current limits on administrative approval of tenders. In all situations, the resultant agreements often include indemnity clauses or require the provision of enhanced insurance. so review by Legal and Risk Management at that stage is required.
- Research and Community Partnerships (Group 5) Research and pilot testing are effective tools for the City to both contribute to broader educational and business development efforts as well as testing new technologies for application within the City. Allowing modifications to City infrastructure to permit these activities is also at the discretion of the applicable Division. Since any risk associated with these activities results from potential impacts to City operations, staff are well-positioned to assess the suitability of each application. However, term limits to administrative awards in this regard are considered appropriate to ensure that opportunities are not disproportionately awarded to any entity to the exclusion of others. In situations where standard City forms can be used, no Legal or Risk Management review is required. Therefore, those reviews are at the discretion of the delegated authority.

Data sharing is equally valuable as a tool to inform City programs and policies. Data showing patterns of utility use in the community is a good example of data sharing with a third party that provides significant benefit to both parties. However, it must be considered differently than pilot testing, since obtaining or providing this type of data may require that the City execute a data-sharing agreement with a third party. Because of the potential for privacy concerns with some data collection, a Legal review is recommended for these agreements.

### • Other Agreements (Group 6)

 Confidentiality agreements – Some agreements, like purchases or site access agreements, may require confidentiality agreements. This binds the corporation, although the best understanding of the impact and how to abide by the terms rests with the affected areas of the administration. Legal review is recommended, since a potential to conflict with MFIPPA obligations exists with these agreements.

- Vendor Licencing Agreements Through the course of procuring technology or services, some procurements result in the creation of agreements to licence software or subscription services that extend over multiple years. Similarly, service providers may require licencing to perform contracted tasks within the City. This delegation allows staff to execute those agreements if they are pursuant to approved procurement processes. Legal and Risk Management review is recommended.
- Site access agreements for City work on private property Both planned and emergency work on existing City are required frequently. In some cases, obtaining access to work sites requires some form of an access agreement with a landowner that contains terms and conditions that bind the Corporation. Often these agreements require only typical commitments for restoration upon completion, but periodically involve additional legal, insurance or more permanent easement agreements. It is recommended that Legal and Risk management review be conducted at the discretion of Administration.

#### 2.5 Duty of Care for Civic Administration

In all instances of delegation, despite Council retaining authority over all matters that bind the corporation, civic administration owes a duty of care in the execution of any document that binds the Corporation. This includes ensuring that the Risk Management and Legal departments are involved in reviewing any contract documents when appropriate and ensuring that the intent of the delegation bylaw is respected. Any binding document that involves risk, insurance, or indemnities will be subject to approval by Legal Services unless it is executed in accordance with established City protocols.

Note that in some instances the delegation bylaw also allows for those members to whom the authority is delegated to select a designate as dictated by business and service delivery needs. This is only done for those types of tasks that generate relatively standard administrative agreements and where the risk and opportunity for the Corporation is best assessed by staff.

In all cases, administrative execution would only be undertaken for agreements or commitments that do not contradict any standing Council policy directive. In any case where Council policy is contravened, administrative execution should not be considered appropriate and Council direction would be sought.

#### 2.6 Reporting

To ensure that Council remains informed of the commitments made on its behalf by Civic Administration, the Deputy City Manager, Environment and Infrastructure shall provide an annual report to Council listing instances where the delegated authority bylaw was relied upon to bind the corporation. This provides a regular opportunity for Council to question any specific applications of the by-law and debate amendments if desired. The annual report also provides an opportunity for Civic Administration to request the addition or removal of delegated authorities on an ongoing basis.

### 2.5 Future Reports Planned for other Service Areas

This report has focused on some of the simpler delegations in the Environment and Infrastructure Service Area. These delegations represent largely administrative tasks which expose the Corporation to very limited risk and are typically not open to any modification or discretion on the part of the Corporation.

However, there are other situations in other Service Areas that would also benefit from the same delegation of authority requested in this report. Some are similar in scope and scale while others, including additional authorities in Environment and Infrastructure, are more complex and carry increasing levels of risk. It is the intent of Civic Administration

to capture all of these delegations in a single by-law, with varying levels of authority and fiscal limits applied as appropriate.

To that end, future reports will be presented to this Committee requesting amendments to the new delegated authority by-law to include new delegated authorities. It is anticipated that, over the coming term of Council, all Service Areas will have their most impactful requests for delegated authority to bind the Corporation presented to Council for consideration. Over that same period, any existing delegation of authority by-laws will be consolidated except for specific examples where it may be recommended that the existing by-law remain distinct.

### Conclusion

The Environment and Infrastructure Service Area is responsible for providing safe and essential services to all who live, visit and conduct business in the City of London. Efficient and effective delivery of those services requires frequent decision-making that at times commits the City to regulatory obligations, enables the City to obtain funding and incentives, and many other important but often routine administrative tasks.

Only Council has the authority to bind the Corporation of the City of London. The by-law proposed by this report would delegate that authority in specific instances to defined levels of authority within civic administration. This delegation will provide for service delivery that can be more efficient and agile without unnecessarily burdening Council and its committees. Delegating these roles does not remove the authority of Council to revoke or amend those delegations at any time in the future, and the consolidation of delegated authorities into a single bylaw simplifies future management of this important administrative tool.

Prepared by: Kirby Oudekerk, MPA, P.Eng., Division Manager,

**Wastewater Treatment Operations** 

Submitted by: Ashley Rammeloo, MMSc., P.Eng, Director, Water,

**Wastewater and Storm Water** 

Recommended by: Kelly Scherr, P. Eng., MBA, FEC

**Deputy City Manager, Environment & Infrastructure** 

CC: Aynsley Anderson, Solicitor II

Jason Wills, Manager III Legal Services

Bill No. 2023

By-law No. A.

A by-law to delegate the authority to bind The Corporation of the City of London in defined instances to identified positions within the Civic Administration.

WHEREAS subsection 5(1) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25, as amended, provides that the power of a municipality shall be exercised by its council;

AND WHEREAS subsection 5(3) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25, as amended, provides that a municipal power shall be exercised by by-law;

AND WHEREAS section 9 of the *Municipal Act*, 2001 provides that a municipality has the capacity, rights, powers and privileges of a natural person for the purpose of exercising its authority under this or any other Act;

AND WHEREAS subsection 10(1) of the *Municipal Act*, 2001 provides that a municipality may provide any service or thing that the municipality considers necessary or desirable for the public;

AND WHEREAS subsection 10(2) of the *Municipal Act*, 2001 provides that a municipality may pass by-laws respecting, among other things: accountability and transparency of the municipality and its operations; economic, social and environmental well-being of the municipality; and financial management of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS subsection 23.(1) of the *Municipal Act*, 2001 provides that, subject to the limitations found in sections 23.2 and 23.3 of the *Municipal Act*, 2001, S.O. 2001, c. 25, a municipality may delegate its powers and duties to a person or body;

AND WHEREAS Council delegates any authority pursuant to this by-law in accordance with Schedule "G" of By-law A.-6151-17 "Council Policy By-law," as amended from time to time;

AND WHEREAS it is desirable to enable Civic Administration to conduct its regular duties efficiently and effectively in accordance with City Council's policies;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

- 1. In this By-law:
  - "City" means The Corporation of the City of London;
  - "Council" means the Municipal Council of the City;
- 2. The power to bind the City granted by the *Municipal Act, 2001* is hereby delegated to the applicable Deputy City Manager in the City's Civic Administration in the instances and subject to the conditions identified in the attached Schedule A to this by-law.
- 3. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the person holding the Administrative Position to whom the delegation is made shall be authorized to approve and execute the agreements listed in Schedule A, where, in the absence of this by-law, approval would be required by Council.
- 4. All delegated authorities exercised under this bylaw shall be applied in accordance with Council policies at the time of execution.
- This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.
   PASSED in Open Council on April 25, 2023.

Josh Morgan Mayor

Michael Schulthess City Clerk

### **SCHEDULE "A"**

### **List of Delegated Authority to Bind the Corporation**

In order to enable efficient service delivery and continued regulatory compliance for City activities, Council hereby:

- 1. delegates the authority to bind The Corporation of the City of London to the Deputy City Manager in the instances and subject to the conditions listed in the following table.
- 2. requires that, in all instances, the delegated authority is to be applied in accordance with Council and corporate policies in force at the time of the action taken.
- 3. requires that in all instances the executed agreements shall be funded from available Council-approved budgets, as applicable;
- 4. requires that the Deputy City Manager prepare a report once annually describing the number of instances that civic administration exercised the delegated authority to bind under each group in Schedule "A".

			Fiscal Limit - City contribution	Term Limit	DCM Able to Delegate?	Lowest Possible Delegation	Legal Review Required?	Risk Management Review Required?	Authority to Approve?	Authority to Execute?	Comments
Group	Line	Delegated Authority	contribution		Delegate:						
		Approvals or permits with governmental	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
1	-	regulatory bodies									
1	1	Regulatory applications, amendments and reporting to Federal or Provincial Governments	N/A	N/A	Yes	Division Manager	No	No	Yes	Yes	-
2	_	Approvals or permits with non-governmental regulatory bodies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	Upper Thames River Conservation Authority applications, amendments and reporting	N/A	N/A	Yes	Division Manager	No	No	Yes	Yes	-
3		Approvals, permits and agreements with non- regulatory bodies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	1	Construction and maintenance									
-		agreements/permits for work in Rail corridors	N/A	10 years	Yes	Division Manager	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
3	2	Construction and maintenance agreements/permits for work in Hydro corridors Construction and maintenance	N/A	10 years	Yes	Division Manager	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
3	3	agreements/permits for work in Natural Gas corridors	N/A	10 years	Yes	Division Manager	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
3	4	Cost-sharing agreements with utilities	N/A	N/A	No	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
3	5	Incentive agreements with utilities	N/A	N/A	Yes	Division Manager	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
3	6	Connection or generation agreements with utilities	N/A	20 years	No	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
3	7	Utility relocation agreements	N/A	N/A	Yes	Division Manager	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
3	8	Alternative Locate Agreements	N/A	5 years	Yes	Division Manager	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
4	-	Funding Applications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	1	Applications for federal or provincial funding	No Limit	N/A	No	-	No	No	Yes	Yes	-
4	2	Applications for federal or provincial funding	\$6M	N/A	Yes	Director	No	No	Yes	Yes	-
4	3	Execution of agreements with federal or provincial governments resulting from successful application for funding	\$6M	N/A	No	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Delegation only applies if funding is available within approved budgets. New sources of finance require Council approval.
5	_	Research and Community Partnerships	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
5	1	Site access agreements for research or pilot testing in City owned facilities.	N/A	5 years	Yes	Division Manager	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Includes alterations to existing infrastructure.
5	2	Data access and sharing agreements with other organizations	N/A	5 years	Yes	Director	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-
6	_	Other Agreements	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-
6	1	Confidentiality agreements	N/A	10 years	No	-	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-
6	2	Vendor licencing agreements		·							Applies only to procurements undertaken in accordance
			\$1M	5 years	No	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	with City's Procurement of Goods and Services Policy
6	3	Site access agreements on private property	N/A	N/A	Yes	Director	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-