

## AECOM

50 Sportsworld Crossing Road, Suite 290 Kitchener, ON, Canada N2P 0A4 www.aecom.com

519.650.5313 tel 519.650.3424 fax

August 20, 2013

Amanda McCloskey District Planner Aylmer District Ministry of Natural Resources 615 John Street North Aylmer, Ontario N5H 2S8

Dear Ms. McCloskey:

Project No: 60302651

Regarding: Evaluation of Wetland Communities within Patch 10102

The following letter is provided in response to the Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) comments received via email correspondence from yourself on August 19<sup>th</sup>, 2013. Your correspondence provided comments regarding our submission, dated July 29, 2013, of a wetland evaluation for wetland communities found within Vegetation Patch No. 10102 located southeast of Highway 401, west of Wellington Road South and north of Dingman Drive in the City of London.

Our response is provided below. MNR comments are in italics with our corresponding response in regular font.

- 1. "Inadequate information was provided to MNR to approve the wetland data/boundaries as it stands currently.
  - For example there was no community data provided to confirm wetland species and soils information (page 4 of 48) as well as an overall vascular plant inventory (page 32 of 48)
  - A sub-water catchment map was also not provided."

The additional information referred to is provided in Attachments 'A' (a plant list of species observed and soils information) and 'B" (a sub-watershed catchment map) of this letter. This additional information in no way alters the evaluation or our conclusions regarding the significance.

The evaluation we conducted for the wetland communities in Patch 10102 was conducted in accordance with the up-dated 2013 OWES protocols. The link above does not provide a digital



version of the score sheets. Consequently, to ensure that our evaluation reflected the updates from the 2013 OWES manual, the excel spreadsheet that we currently have and have used in the past for wetland evaluations submitted to the Aylmer District office, was cross-referenced and modified to include up-dates. It would be appreciated, that a digital copy of the wetland evaluation score sheets be sent to us, if available.

 "Wetland communities were provided for only a portion of the woodland (page 48 of 48). The woodlot to the south which appears to have un-evaluated wetlands was not considered at all. MNR recommends the entire feature is considered."

The whole of Patch 10102 was considered during the evaluation exercise. The woodland features to the south contain soils and plant species associations typical of upland environments, so it was not included as part of the wetland evaluation. More detail with regards to these conditions can be provided if required. As a note, AECOM conducted the wetland evaluation including those communities referred and the results indicated a non-significant wetland scoring.

- 4. "MNR recommends the sub-watershed is considered in this wetland evaluation.
  - a) When two or more wetlands are separated by less than 750m of a non-wetland area and are functionally linked, the wetland units can be considered for inclusion as part of a wetland complex. Functional linkages include surface water and ground water connections."

It is understood that "not all wetlands that occur in close proximity should be considered as a complex and that wetland complexes are commonly related in a functional way where they tend to have similar or complementary biological, social and/or hydrological functions" (MNR OWES Manual Southern Edition, 2013). The wetland communities located within Patch 10102 are not hydrologically connected to other wetland communities within the 750 m setback. We have considered this wetland system overall as palustrine, however within the site is an isolated system as water inputs are from overland flow and outputs are to an engineered pond directly adjacent to the west of Patch 10102.

b) "The attached map identifies unevaluated potential wetlands within a single watershed (i.e. linked through ground water connections)."

We have reviewed the map entitled "Pen Equity Identified Wetland Complex". We disagree with the content of this map and question the source of the information used to identify a wetland complex for the "PenEquity Wetland". A vast majority of the patches identified do not contain wetland communities. Furthermore, this map does not reflect the unevaluated wetlands identified and delineated on The City of London's Official Plan Schedule B-1.

c) "Several of the unevaluated potential wetland units also occur within 750m of the Regina Mundi Kirk Cousins (UT 6) PSW."

We disagree with the assertion that the wetland communities in Patch 10102 are part of a complex of the Regina Mundi Kirk Cousins Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) for the following reasons: 1) the Regina Mundi Kirk Cousins Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) and Patch 10102 are not functionally linked by either surface water or ground water connections, 2) the Regina Mundi Kirk Cousins Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) is situated within another subwatershed, 3) the Regina Mundi Kirk Cousins Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) is greater than 2 km from Patch



10102, 4) to our knowledge, the unevaluated wetland patches between the Regina Mundi Kirk Cousins Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) and Patch 10102 have not been evaluated.

d) "If the greater sub-watershed unevaluated potential wetlands were considered under OWES these would likely all be included in one wetland complex."

The wetland communities within the Patch 10102 are not hydrologically connected via surface water to any other wetland system within the watershed. Water inputs to the patch are via precipitation and overland flow and the patch outlets to an adjacent engineered pond. No surface water connection exists between the pond and Dingman Creek.

5. "MNR does not support the conclusions made that this wetland is "non-provincially significant".

We disagree based on the fact that a comprehensive evaluation was completed and the resulting score clearly indicated a non-significant wetland. We see no rationale for concluding otherwise.

If you intend to provide additional information to MNR to address the comments provided above, the MNR recommends we have a discussion prior to resubmitting a wetland evaluation for the PenEquity Property. During those discussions MNR can provide more detailed comments on the wetland evaluation submitted as well as more guidance with respect to acquiring or inputting information/data collected into a current 2013 wetland evaluation template to bring file to current OWES standards. Until such time that a complete wetland evaluation is provided to MNR to review and if appropriate approve, the MNR recommends this wetland is treated as provincially significant.

We disagree with treating the wetland as Provincially Significant during the process of evaluation and subsequent review by MNR. We know of no precedence for undertaking such an approach. Furthermore, we know of no policy or guideline that directs the treatment of unevaluated wetlands as Provincially Significant.

We would be please to meet with you to clarify any outstanding concerns and to resolve this matter at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

**AECOM Canada Ltd.** 

Gary A. Epp, M.Sc., Ph.D.

Director of Ecology, Environment

GE:ge. Encl

cc: Leger Xavier PenEquity
Calvin McCourt PenEquity



## Attachment 'A"

Plant Species List and Soils

BOTANICAL NAME	SOURCE	COMMON NAME	COEFFICIENT OF CO.	WETVESS INDEX	WEEDINESS INDE	PROVINCIAL STATE:	OMINR STATUS	COSEWIC STATILL	SOLE STATES	MA TOCAL STA	лемт, етедел тевдом такь	tsS1 - Swamp thicker	hS2a -declatous swamp	
Aceraceae	000102	Maple Family	OLDI PAR ET AL	OLDI PARI E I PE	OLDI IAMI ET AL				NET INDICITEIX	1555				
			-			0.5			05	_			<b>√</b>	ł
Acer	saccharinum	Silver Maple	5	-3		S5			G5	С				ł
	freemanii	Freeman's Maple											√	1
Anacardiaceae		Sumac or Cashew Family				_					- 1			ł
	radicans ssp. negundo	Poison-ivy	5	-1		S5			G5T	Х	√			
Apiaceae		Carrot or Parsley Family												
Daucus	carota	Wild Carrot		5	-2	SE5			G?	IC		√		
Asteraceae		Composite or Aster Family												
Leucanthemum	vulgare	Ox-eye Daisy		5	-1	SE5			G?		<b>√</b>			
Caprifoliaceae		Honeysuckle Family												
Lonicera	tatarica	Tartarian Honeysuckle		3	-3	SE5			G?	1		V		İ
Viburnum	lentago	Nannyberry	4	-1		S5			G5	С		V		İ
Cornaceae	-	Dogwood Family												
	racemosa	Red Panicled Dogwood/Gray dogwood	2	-2		S5			G5?	Х	<b>√</b>	V		İ
Fabaceae		Pea Family												
	cracca	Tufted Vetch		5	-1	SE5			G?	ı		<b>√</b>		İ
Lamiaceae	<del>Urdoba</del>	Mint Family				OLO			0.	Ė		<u> </u>		
	americanus	Cut-leaved Water-horehound	4	-5		S5			G5	С	<b>√</b>		_	İ
	amencanus			-5		33			GS	C	•		_	
Oleaceae		Olive Family	7	-4		0.5			05	Х			<b>√</b>	•
	nigra	Black Ash		-4		S5			G5	^			_ `	
Oxalidaceae		Wood Sorrel Family		_		0.5			0.5		<b>√</b>			ł
	stricta	Upright Yellow Wood-sorrel	0	3		S5			G5	Х				
Rhamnaceae		Buckthorn Family												ł
	cathartica	Common Buckthorn		3	-3	SE5			G?	IC	,	<b>√</b>		l
	alnus	Glossy Buckthorn		-1	-3	SE5			G?	IU	√	√		l
Rosaceae		Rose Family												
	mollis	Downy Thorn	4	-2		S5			G5	Χ		V	1	1
	virginiana	Virginia Strawberry	2	1		SU			G5T?	С		√	<b></b>	1
	pumila	Common Crabapple		5	-1	SE5			G5	ı		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1
	norvegica ssp. monspeliensis	Rough Cinquefoil	0	0		SU			G5T?	$ldsymbol{\sqcup}$		√		1
Vitaceae		Grape Family									,			ı
Vitis	riparia	Riverbank Grape	0	-2		S5			G5	С	√			1
Cyperaceae		Sedge Family												1
Carex	bebbii	Bebb's Sedge	3	-5		S5			G5	С	√	√		1
Carex	lacustris	Lake-bank Sedge	5	-5		S5			G5	С			V	1
Carex	vulpinoidea	Fox Sedge	3	-5		S5			G5	С	<b>V</b>			1
Juncaceae														1
		Rush Family												
Juncus	canadensis	Rush Family Canada Rush	6	-5		S5			G5	Х	<b>√</b>			
Juncus Poaceae	canadensis		6	-5		S5			G5	Х	1			

			OEFFICIENT OF CONSERVATISM	$ NDE_{\chi} $	SS INDEX	151	4. STATUS	STATUS MIDD emergent meadow marsh	Swamp thicket	deciduous swamp	
BOTANICAL NAME	co	DMMON NAME	COEFF	WETNE	WEEDINES PROVINCI,	OMNR STA	GLOBAL	LOCAL neM1.	tsS1-s	hS2a - c	

Soils Information	neM1	tsS1	hS2a
			clay with
effective texture	clay	clay	organics
			mottling
	mottling at	mottling at	startes at 3
mottling/gley	32 cm	32 cm	cm
			water
	no water	no water	present at
evidence of water	seeps	seeps	3cm



## **Attachment B**

Sub-catchment Map

