

Ms. Pelosa, Chair

Civic Works Committee,

Re: Consent item #2.1 1st & 2nd report from Integrated Transportation Advisory Committee.

Although I have not seen the meeting or read the minutes I have watched the second information session on line!

There is a serious flaw in the process because reference to UWO property is being excluded from study . The claim by Karl Grabowski & Karl Grisneus (? names may be misspelled but is on the tape was so stated by them and possibly city staff.

Rationale for concern:

In 2013 City Council unanimously supported; in principle ,London Transit 20-30 year plan! There was no concern from University to concept that I know of. However this became history when City of London Council unanimously approved 1/2 billion dollar project of Bus Rapid Transit system. Note we are almost past 7 years without either the north-east (5 minute service) in place or the south-west service (10 minutes) in place. As a matter of fact the plan has been disgarded by eliminating the north and west !

When this started the UWO presented a brief (with 15 demands) which as of today has not been dealt with! Please note the claim that UWO & Fanshawe represent 50% of London Transit riders!

In 2015 Western University presented a draft CAMPUS MASTER PLAN which included (pg. 15) Planning for the future section 3 A VISION FOR THE FUTURE CAMPUS. Also on pg. 111 reference is made to RT system under active transportation indicating when done travel time to & from campus would be faster making transit more attractive!

Recommendation: 1) Reconsider this project because you cannot ignore such a major component of mobility and your London

Transit unrealistic goal of increasing ridership by 100%.

2) Because the routing; as noted with north-east and south-west is basis of plan, it must be completed! Notes: To bring up to date the status of financial commitments (\$170 Million left). There was a 50% contingency?

None of these funds (not in budget plan) were allocated for a new building (Highbury Ave.).

There has been no reference made about service from King's College to main campus impact!

The setting up an advisory committee with "Expertise " and not sharing this(see above about private property (UWO) is wrong or misleading!

Although you have not responded to many emails on mobility for discussion I urge you to act on this one!

William Brock,