

Lysynski, Heather

From: chrisgupta@ [redacted] on behalf of CHRIS GUPTA <chrisgupta@ [redacted]>
Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2011 11:06 PM
To: Lysynski, Heather
Subject: Email to Built and Natural Environment November 28

Heather,

I am redirecting Rob Guthrie's note to the said committee as he is travelling and asked me to forward to appropriate committee members. Please include it with the agenda for the "Built And Natural Environment Committee" on the Nov. 28th, 2011.

Thanks,

Chris Gupta

Hi Chris, can you please redirect this to appropriate committee members.

Thanks,

Rob

Dear Member of the Built and Natural Environment Committee,

The issue that should be addressed by this committee is not water fluoridation but the safety of the chemical, hydrofluorosilicic acid, used to fluoridate London drinking water.

The scientific and legal determination of safety is based on two types of research: animal studies (toxicology studies) and human studies (randomized, controlled clinical trials).

The National Sanitation Foundation NSF/60 standard used by the City of London requires a toxicology review. When such studies were requested of the City Water Department or the Health Unit, neither could provide or site any safety studies associated with the NSF/60 standard. If there are no toxicology studies, then the National Sanitation Foundation (NSF) cannot determine the safety of the product and the product, in this case hydrofluorosilicic acid, should not be added to drinking water until it is determined to be safe. Therefore, it is false and misleading to the public for City of London staff to state that they can confirm that each hydrofluorosilicic acid shipment received by the City of London has been tested for safety to the NSF/60 standard.

It is incumbent of you as elected representatives to do your due diligence and provide evidence of safety for hydrofluorosilicic acid as requested.

Robert Guthrie
109 Somerset Road
London, Ontario