Agenda	Item #	Page	#
			Š
THE STATE OF THE S	1		nocenna.
The state of the s		L	

Lysynski, Heather

From:

Tammy Gooding

Sent:

Monday, November 28, 2011 9:35 AM

To:

Lysynski, Heather

Cc:

Polhill, Bud; McNally, Patrick; Braam, John; Simon, John; Swan, Joseph; Fontana, Joe; White,

Sandy; Brown, Denise; Baechler, Joni

Subject:

Fluoridation in London

Importance:

High

Dear Heather.

Please include the following note with the agenda for the "Built And Natural Environment Committee" meeting on Nov. 28th, 2011.

Thank you,

T. Gooding

Dear "Built and Natural Environment Committee" members:

Consent Item "<u>UPDATE REGARDING WATER FLUORIDATION IN LONDON</u>", agenda <u>item 2 of 9</u> for closure is premature and inappropriate. There has not been a proper public consultation of this item to date and many questions posed to the City have not been answered.

The said document sent by the City Engineers is clearly side-stepping the questions asked by many constituents. Our concerns on the safety of the products used (Hexafluorosilicic acid and Sodium silicofluoride) for water fluoridation are still unanswered. We get continued circular passing off of responsibility to Middlesex-London Health Unit and Health Canada endorsements without any demonstration that these products are safe. Health Canada then states that the decision to fluoridate with these products belongs to the municipalities; the municipalities, can't say it is safe either and refer us to Middlesex-London Health Unit and round and round we go with no proof and no accountability.

Deliberately contaminating our water, is not a requirement regardless what Health Canada or Middlesex-London Health Unit say. Since the final decision to fluoridate with an untested product rests with the city.

Dan Huggins from the city water department has often been cited by the Middlesex-London Health Unit when questioned on the scientific and legal determination of safety of fluoridation products used is questioned. He is unable to provide any animal studies (toxicology studies) and human studies (randomized, controlled clinical trials). But claims that the city conforms to NSF/ANSI 60 standards, which upon further investigation also do not have any such safety studies.

From the above it is clear that the city has not done its due diligence on water fluoridation and is simply following directives from other parties who are not responsible for any consequences from their actions. This realization is why other cities are able to eliminate this untested product from their water. Thus removing them from any wrong doing (liability) and continued injury to their constituents should they knowingly continue to add untested products to the water.

It is incumbent of you as elected representatives to do your due diligence and provide evidence of safety for hydrofluorosilicic acid as requested or seize this practice until such evidence is provided.

Tammy Gooding 804-500 Gordon Avenue London, ON N6J 2V8

If you have received this communication in error and you are not the intended recipient of its contents, please notify us immediately by reply email or by calling 519-652-6898. This message is intended solely for the use of the person to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, or an agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, any disclosure, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.