

## Lysynski, Heather

From:

Kallie Miller

Sent:

Monday, November 28, 2011 1:12 PM

To:

Lysynski, Heather

Subject:

FW: Please attach to the agenda for the "Built And Natural Environment Committee" on the

Nov. 28th, 2011.

If this can still go Heather., please attach it. Chris gave out an incorrect email address for you.

## Kallie

From: Nancy Warren

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2011 12:57 PM

To: Hymns@london.ca

Subject: Please attach to the agenda for the "Built And Natural Environment Committee" on the Nov. 28th, 2011.

TO: Built And Natural Environment Committee, Nov. 28th, 2011.

It seems that the "fluoride" used in London's municipal water is NOT pure pharmaceutical fluoride. Instead, it is an INDUSTRIAL WASTE PRODUCT that also contains a variety of toxins.

Key dental associations have stated that fluoridated water should not be administered to babies.

There are so many reasons to discontinue this process. Chiefmost is the reality that no studies exist to prove its safety despite claims otherwise.

Why is the Council taking the word of Middlesex-London Health Unit and Dan Huggins from the city water department at face value?

As Chris Gupta has stated:

[Dan Huggins] is unable to provide any animal studies (toxicology studies) and human studies (randomized, controlled clinical trials). But claims that the city conforms to NSF/ANSI 60 standards, which upon further investigation also do not have any such safety studies.

As a citizen (and property owner/tax payer), I want to see the toxicology studies that prove water fluoridation's safety!! Otherwise, SINCE it is not a federal requirement, in fact it is OPTIONAL at the municipal level, it should be curtailed until proper studies can be produced.

Nancy Warren 239 Riverside Drive London ON N6H 1E7