



London
CANADA

P.O. Box 5035
300 Dufferin Avenue
London, ON
N6A 4L9

June 16, 2021

G. Kotsifas
Deputy City Manager, Planning and Economic Development

G. Barrett
Director, Planning and Development

I hereby certify that the Municipal Council, at its meeting held on June 15, 2021 resolved:

That, the following actions be taken with respect to the 5th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage from its meeting held on May 12, 2021:

a) M. Corby, Senior Planner, BE ADVISED of the following comments from the London Advisory Committee on Heritage (LACH) with respect to the Notice of Application for Draft Plan of Subdivision, Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments and the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), dated January 2021, from Zelinka Priamo Ltd., with respect to the property located at 850 Highbury Avenue North, previously received by the LACH:

i) sufficient information has not been received as part of the application in order to appropriately assess the impacts of the proposed applications on the significant heritage resources on this property; it being noted that:

A) the HIA should be prepared by a qualified heritage professional;

B) the HIA should include an assessment of impacts to identified heritage resources of the proposed development, among other content as identified in Info Sheet #5 provided by the Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries; it being noted that the HIA provided with the application does not speak to the impacts of the proposed development or proposed policy changes on the cultural heritage resources on the site; and,

C) the LACH is supportive of maintaining the overall land use concept identified within the proposal, which is generally consistent with that in the London Psychiatric Hospital Secondary Plan (LPHSP); it being noted that this includes the proposed low density residential in the core area with concentration of higher densities along adjacent arterial roadways (the 'bowl' concept) and the revisions to the road and pedestrian networks, which appear to support the protection and enhancement of the cultural heritage resources;

- the LACH emphasizes the need to consider the built heritage resources as landmarks within the cultural heritage landscape, and that the assessment of impacts must address the cultural heritage landscape including views and vistas as described through the appropriate governing documents;
- the LACH acknowledges the differences or 'inconsistencies' between elements of the Heritage Conservation Easement, designating by-law L.S.P.-3321-208, and the LPHSP as identified within the HIA, but notes that these documents each have different forms and functions, and do not necessarily conflict (save for mapping discrepancies); it being noted that where these differences or 'inconsistencies' are identified, the more detailed

description and assessment should apply;

- the LACH does not support many of the proposed changes to heritage policies within the LPHSP which serve to reduce protection of the heritage resources and introduce greater uncertainty; it being noted that sufficient rationale or justification for these revisions to heritage policies have not been provided within the Final Proposal Report or HIA (examples include but are not limited to:

- o LPHSP 20.4.1.4 – “Retain as much of the identified cultural and heritage resources of the area as possible feasible”;

- o LPHSP 20.4.1.5.II.a) – “provide forand mixed-use buildings where possible”;

- o LPHSP 20.4.2.2 – “Development proposed through planning applications... will need not only to consider the significant heritage buildings, but also the unique cultural heritage landscape where possible”;

- o PHSP 20.4.3.5.2.III. d) “Built form adjacent to the Treed Allee within the Heritage Area shall should be encouraged to oriented towards the Allee in applicable locations”; and,

- o LPHSP 20.4.4.10 - “shall” to “should”);

- the LACH requests clarification from City of London Heritage and Planning staff on the next steps with respect to this development application, including how the impacts to built heritage resources and the cultural heritage landscape will be assessed and addressed as the planning and design phases progress (for example, can/will an HIA be required for subsequent zoning bylaw amendment applications and/or site plan applications); it being noted that the LACH respectfully requests that these assessments be provided to LACH for review and comment;

- the LACH respectfully requests to be consulted early on any proposed changes to the designating bylaw or heritage conservation easement and would welcome a delegation from the proponent to present on heritage matters on the property; and,

- the LACH requests information from City Staff and/or the proponent on the current physical conditions of the heritage structures on the site;

b) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* seeking retroactive approval for the removal and replacement of the windows on the heritage designated property located at 40 and 42 Askin Street, By-law No. L.S.P.-2740-36 and Wortley Village-Old South Heritage Conservation District, BE REFUSED; it being noted that this Heritage Alteration Permit application is seeking retroactive approval for window replacements that were previously considered and refused by Municipal Council;

it being noted that the London Advisory Committee on Heritage (LACH) encourages the applicant to work with the Heritage Planner to address the concerns raised by the LACH at the meeting;

it being further noted that a verbal delegation from P. Scott, with respect to this matter, was received;

c) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the following actions be taken with respect to the demolition request for the existing dwelling on the heritage listed property located at 126 Price Street:

i) the Chief Building Official BE ADVISED that the Municipal Council consents to the demolition of the dwelling on the property; and,

ii) the property at 126 Price Street BE REMOVED from the Register of Cultural Heritage Resources;

d) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the following properties BE REMOVED from the Register of Cultural Heritage Resources:

- 1033-1037 Dundas Street;
- 1 Kennon Place;
- 19 Raywood Avenue;
- 32 Wellington Road;
- 34 Wellington Road;
- 90 Wellington Road;
- 98 Wellington Road;
- 118 Wellington Road;
- 120 Wellington Road;
- 122 Wellington Road;
- 126 Wellington Road;
- 134 Wellington Road;
- 136 Wellington Road;
- 138 Wellington Road;
- 140 Wellington Road;
- 142 Wellington Road;
- 166 Wellington Road;
- 220 Wellington Road;
- 247 Wellington Road;
- 249 Wellington Road;
- 251 Wellington Road;
- 253-255 Wellington Road;
- 261 Wellington Road;
- 263 Wellington Road;
- 265 Wellington Road;
- 267 Wellington Road;
- 269 Wellington Road;
- 271 Wellington Road;
- 273 Wellington Road;
- 275 Wellington Road;
- 285 Wellington Road;
- 287 Wellington Road;
- 289 Wellington Road;
- 297 Wellington Road;
- 301 Wellington Road;
- 327 Wellington Road;
- 331 Wellington Road;
- 333 Wellington Road;
- 72 Wellington Street; and,
- 44 Wharncliffe Road North;

e) on the recommendation of the Managing Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 33 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* seeking consent for alterations to the heritage designated property located at 426 St James Street BE GIVEN, subject to the following terms and conditions:

- the new railing be 24" in height above the porch floor to maintain the proportions of the porch;

- wood be used as the material for the alterations;
- all exposed wood be painted; and,
- the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed;

f) on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the request to demolish the garage on the heritage designated property located at 325 Victoria Street BE PERMITTED, and the Chief Building Official BE ADVISED of Municipal Council's intention in this matter; it being noted that the communication, dated May 10, 2021, from B. Jones and K. Mckeating, as appended to the Added Agenda, and

the verbal delegations from D. Lee, E. Van den Steen, B. Jones and K. McKeating, with respect to this matter, were received;

g) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the following actions be taken with respect to the potential designation of Labatt Memorial Park as a National Historic Site of Canada:

i) the above noted initiative BE ENDORSED; and,
ii) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to undertake the application process with respect to this matter;

h) clauses 1.1, 2.1 to 2.4, inclusive, 3.1, 3.2, 4.7 and 4.8 BE RECEIVED for information.
(2021-D09) (4.1/9/PEC)



C. Saunders
City Clerk
/hal

cc: K. Gonyou, Heritage Planner
L. Dent, Heritage Planner
M. Greguol, Heritage Planner
M. Corby, Senior Planner
J. Minor, Documentation Services Representative
M. Vivinetto, Executive Assistant to the Deputy City Manager, Planning and Economic
Development
S. Langill, Executive Assistant to the City Planner
London Advisory Committee on Heritage
List of external cc's on file in the City Clerk's Office