

Dear Matt,

I was unable to attend the last information session as I was out of town. I am writing so as to ensure that my lack of attendance not be interpreted as disinterest in the process. On the contrary I remain very engaged in this process.

I am disappointed with what appears to be a determined effort to steer the results of this process to the least cost options of 3, 5A or 7B wrapped in the guise of being the "most environmentally responsible". This is a betrayal of the original plan for the area and significantly takes away from the quality of life of the neighbourhood. The development of the trail that we currently have is one concrete thing that I have been able to point to when people visit from out of town to show what a forward looking city London is and a city where, although taxes are high, they are investing in parks, recreation and trail systems for residents. Needless to say people are impressed with what they have seen so far in the Medway Valley. The City will be missing a tremendous opportunity to continue development of what can be a wonderful trail system for the city by completing the trail system in the Medway Valley essentially as originally contemplated.

I accept that putting in all of the bridges as originally planned is likely too expensive and is not necessary to basically deliver all of the benefits originally envisioned, but at a much lower cost.

Therefore I remain strongly in support of option 4A - a continuous asphalt trail. Please feel free to forward this letter on to council as they deliberate on this Medway Valley trail system.

Best regards,

David Bronicheski  
314 Rivertrace Close  
London, Ontario  
N6G 5J8