

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING COMMENTS

3.4 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING – 1574 Hyde Park Road and Part of 1712 Hyde Park Road (Z-9109)

- Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mr. Tomazincic. Is the applicant here? Would you like to speak?
- Ben McCauley, Zelinka Priamo Ltd., representing the applicant Good afternoon members of Committee and Madam Chair, my name is Ben McCauley, Zelinka Priamo Ltd., representing the applicant. We have no further comments at this time. We agree with staff's recommendation and I am here to answer any questions you may have. Thanks.
- Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mr. McCauley. Any technical questions from Committee? Not seeing any. Members of the Gallery, Mr. Hewitt, we'll go with you first.
- Robert Hewitt : Thank you. I just want to thank the City for being supportive of this development. I'm a long-time resident in the area and it's exciting to see this happening to Hyde Park, a type of development that is not just a Wal-Mart or another parking lot somewhere or something where people are going to be living and enjoying Hyde Park so I couldn't be in more support of this especially in view of what was approved just a couple of weeks ago as well so everyone in Hyde Park is really excited about this and I've heard nothing negative about it at all; however, I do have a question. So, here's my question and it is pertaining to where it says purpose and effect of recommended action, my understanding of what purpose and effect of recommended action, why this is in any zoning is presented before Planning or before the public or before the City is for members of the public or for members of Council or for members of the Planning Committee to understand what is actually being granted. Zoning sometimes can be confusing, understanding what is actually happening but this helps someone understand what's going to be the end result of what the special provision is here or what is being granted. Can, my question is, can, is it in a future timeframe with City staff, can the special provision ever be interpreted any way that doesn't match the purpose and effect that was put forth before the Council when it was passed, or what was put forth to the public or the applicant? Can the staff take a position that is totally contrary to what the purpose and effect was or the understanding that Planning had or that the applicant had when they originally applied? Thank you.
- Councillor Cassidy: Thank you. Any other members of the public who would like to speak to this application? Any other comments, questions? I'm seeing none. So I will look for a mover.