

External Resource and First Nation Comments						
Reviewer	Reviewer	Comment #	EMG	Page #	Type of Comment	Comment and Suggested Action
E.g. EEPAC	T. River	1	1.0	29-34	2	The EIS Checklist is not user friendly.
EEPAC	Working Group	1	ToR, 1.0	2	2	Objective currently includes "identify data gaps". Greater specificity is needed here. Data gaps with regards to what? What is the "data"?
EEPAC	Working Group	2	ToR, 2.0	2	2	Language switches between "policy" and "policies". Should be made consistent.
EEPAC	Working Group	3	ToR, 3.1	2	1	"where possible" This text should be removed. Current data and external sources should be updated absolutely.
EEPAC	Working Group	4	ToR 3.1	2	1	Background and reference documents included should explicitly list peer-reviewed scientific studies, particularly those conducted in Southern Ontario
EEPAC	Working Group	5	ToR 3.1	3	3	Add as reference document: Categorizing and Protecting Habitat under the Endangered Species Act, Feb 2012, Ontario
EEPAC	Working Group	6	ToR 3.1	3	3	Add as reference document: Forest Edge Management Plan Guidelines, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, 2004
EEPAC	Working Group	7	ToR 3.1	3	3	Add as reference document: Conservation Halton Ecological Monitoring Protocols, version 1.0, February 2017
EEPAC	Working Group	8	ToR 3.1	3	3	Add as reference document: Ecological Buffer Guideline Review, Beacon Environmental for the Credit River Conservation Authority, Dec 2012
EEPAC	Working Group	9	Tor 3.1	3	1	Other secondary source literature should include information relevant to strategies for mitigation, restoration and monitoring (both compliance and effectiveness monitoring)
EEPAC	Working Group	10	ToR 3.1	4	3	Background and reference documents should include examples of similar guidelines from other Ontario municipalities and Conservation Authorities
EEPAC	Working Group	11	Tor 3.1	4	1	Insert text: "Additional references as may be provided by stakeholders throughout the process."
EEPAC	Working Group	12	Tor 3.2	4	1	Insert text: "For example, the CITY OF LONDON ESA EVALUATION CRITERIA APPLICATION GUIDELINES as they are part of the current Official Plan and the London Plan."
EEPAC	Working Group	13	ToR 3.2	5	4	First nations to be included in consultation should be explicitly named. Insert text: "Chippewas of the Thames First Nation, Munsee-Delaware Nation, Oneida Nation of the Thames, Other First Nations groups as applicable."
EEPAC	Working Group	14	ToR 3.2	4	4	This paragraph should be moved to Phase 1 (changing the timeline) because between now and the initial meeting is when comments on the 2007 documents will be received, not cutting off all stakeholders including EEPAC
EEPAC	Working Group	15	ToR 3.2	4	4	The consultant will be responsible for up to two meetings per external resource group or First Nation band during Phase 1 of the consultation process. The consultant will be responsible for meeting minutes <INSERT TEXT>
EEPAC	Working Group	16	ToR 3.1	4	1	Include conclusions and recommendations of past subwatershed studies by the City of London
EEPAC	Working Group	17	ToR 3.2	5	4	A second draft shall be prepared for external resource groups and First Nations review. All external resource groups and First Nations shall be invited to discuss areas of disagreement and attempt to resolve differences in a
EEPAC	Working Group	18	ToR 3.2	5	4	Proposed modified timeline: Comments on existing 2007 EMG → Draft 1 → Comments on Draft 1 → Draft 2 → Comments on Draft 2 → Final Draft Presentation to EEPAC. This timeline should be made clear in a
EEPAC	Working Group	19	ToR 3.3	5	4	Insert Text: "The consultant should update only those sections of the Guidelines that need to be updated. However, a recommendation may be that some or all of the Guidelines not be revised. The consultant shall
EEPAC	Working Group	20	ToR 3.3	5	4	New separate guideline for monitoring should be considered, reflecting pre- and post- construction period.
EEPAC	Working Group	21	ToR 5.0	6	4	Modified timeline for Phase 1: "April 16, 2020 – EEPAC presentation and circulation of the updated Draft EMGs for comment May 21, 2020 – Deadline to receive comments on the Draft EMGs from external resource groups
EEPAC	Working Group	22	ToR 5.0	6	4	Modified timeline for Phase 2: Begin external resource group consultation on the Draft EMGs <INSERT TEXT> "(minimum two sessions per group)"
EEPAC	Working Group	23			3	The working group recommends that a supplementary document be included as an appendix to the EMGs which lists secondary sources that are relevant to the revision of the EMGs. These sources include but are not limited
EEPAC	Working Group	24			1	The EMGs should be reviewed at minimum every 5 years. The frequency of this review should reflect changing conditions due to the effects of climate change (e.g. weather patterns, species shifts, species stress, greater
EEPAC	Working Group	25			4	The ToR should include provisions for EISs and other studies to make reference to climate change and/or make it a prominent factor when analyzing development projects or when creating Conservation Management Plans.
EEPAC	Working Group	26			3	The EMGs must take a landscape approach to area analyses. Ecosystems rarely stand alone and species frequently cross between areas. If the City is seeking to boost connectivity and work against fragmentation,
EEPAC	Berta Krichker	27	2.0		3	Data Collection Standards for the Ecological Inventory needs to be based on detailed evaluations of the subject areas/sites and its' existing conditions that will be undertaken in accordance with specific field
EEPAC	Berta Krichker	28	2.3		1	Assessment of Development Impact (direct and indirect impact) needs to be assessed by presenting of viable alternatives where the identified impact will be defined in specific details (potential evaluated short and long term
EEPAC	Berta Krichker	29	3.0		1	Guidelines Document for ESA Identification, Evaluation and Boundary Delineation will be required to include all applicable and viable information that in detailed will identified all ecological/environmental functions and featured
EEPAC	Berta Krichker	30	5.0		3	Guidelines for Determining Setbacks and Ecological Buffers shall include all applicable and viable information that in all required details will identified all ecological/environmental functions and featured of the subject ESA and