

Please add this communication to the agenda for the CWC Meeting May 14 - under the One River Agenda (How ever possible)

Mr Squire & CWCommittee

For clarity , I have attended 2 of the 3 public meetings offered up by Ms Rammeloo , the One River Team and consultants and attended the LAHC MTG - April 10 to review and comment on the Cultural Heritage Report; so I have and continue to be engaged in this process. I fully support the recommendations offered in the EA Report and the executive summary forwarded to this CWC Meeting with respect to the dam decommissioning and most river management options.

I have the following deep concerns with the any of the " Ribbon Option " alternatives offered up in this EA for the Forks of the Thames ;

1. At both Public Input meetings , I and others were never offered an opportunity to select a " Do Nothing " alternative for the Forks of the Thames Options on the PI input forms where this option was available for both for the Dam & River Management categories. I met at least three (3) other people at these meetings who were equally frustrated by this obvious strategy to steer the selection process and suggest to the CWC that this has deeply flawed the completed EA conclusions and results @ respect to the Forks of the Thames alternatives. Not many of the 300 plus people attending these meetings would have taken the initiative and the time to send the one River Team to advise how they really felt after this experience. That's an EA Process Quality issue.
2. The Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (Golder & Assoc - Henry Cary - specialist) silently screams at any who actually read the impact statements that Ribbon Alternatives No 1 or No 2 block wide views of our Canadian Heritage River & the historic Kensington Bridge and only recommends mitigating these risks with consideration of low impact and transparent building materials. The LAHC Meeting (April 10 -2019) committee has serious concerns about this very issue and voted 10 - 3 to " NOT TO SUPPORT " the any of the recommended ribbon options . This does not appear to be an issue highlighted in either the Executive Summary or full EA going to the CWC at this meeting and should be cause for both concern and review. Losing our unobstructed view of the Forks at The Thames would be have a multi - generational impact.

Thanks for the consideration >. Chris Butler - 863 Waterloo St .