

From: c mcalister
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2019 11:37 AM
To: Cassidy, Maureen <mcassidy@london.ca>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Windermere

Good morning Maureen, thank you so much for your feedback.

This evening Council is discussing a neighbouring development: may I give our opinion on that matter, please?

Maybe you would be so kind as to pass it on.

We are very concerned with the type of development planned for the Windermere location, opposite Spencer Hall. The developers show a grave lack of understanding of community building, it seems, preferring to maximize their own financial returns, over the interests of our neighbourhood.

Somehow developers here get away with so much, by simply using a little urban 'jargon', such as infill.

There can be good redevelopment and bad redevelopment and they both fall under the category of infill:

1. The suggested design is dreadful. (Can we not appeal to developers to incorporate architect design?)
2. The number of units is excessive and
3. The well established trees are essential to the character of this community, as well as our city.
4. The many passers-by enjoy it as much as the locals. This is our city.
5. Are the developers even aware, for example, of the great attraction presented by the garden directly next door to the proposed plan? Many drive by especially to see the wonderful flowers that the owner grows with considerable and constant effort.
Do they really think it's alright to put a monument of ugliness next door?
6. Change is difficult; it is not, however, change that is the problem here but rather the lack of quality of what is planned to replace the existing situation.

It is very hard for Council to be caught in the middle of these types of decisions, so thank you for taking the time to consider everything.

Thank you so much,
Christiane McAlister