## Andrew:

Thank you for your presentation. I have reviewed the detailed recommendations contained on your webpage: <a href="https://getinvolved.london.ca/playyourway/active-living">https://getinvolved.london.ca/playyourway/active-living</a> and will leave any individual comments I might have there for Ms. Baxter and your team to review.

I am generally impressed with your presentation and congratulate you and your staff for the excellent work done and for your transparent approach to public consultation.

That said, I must respectfully reiterate my concern that Advisory Committees in general, and CAC in this particular case, continue to receive insufficient advance notice of publication of recommendations by City Staff to the general public. The Open House for public comment was held on April 3rd, fully two weeks before your notice of same to CAC. You also failed to provide your presentation for either the Agenda Mail-Out or Added deadlines which is unacceptable in my mind but which was not challenged by me as a courtesy and out of respect for your office.

Such "time-boxing", whether inadvertent or by design, prevents AC's from meeting and making recommendations as a group. This makes it impossible for ACs to fulfill the mandate specified in the Terms of Reference to meaningfully consult with City Staff and publicly endorse and promote the output of these consultations. It is my professional opinion that this will not change until and unless consultations with AC's are included in the Critical Paths of Staff projects/programs.

I look forward to working with you to leverage the opportunity presented by the Council approved 2 year mandate pilot and the Clerk's ongoing Advisory Committee Review to address these concerns through meaningful consultation and proper Project Management.

Cheers,
Dan Foster PMP
TAC Representative to CAC