

**LACH Stewardship Sub-Committee
Report**

Wednesday January 30, 2019

Location: City Planning Office, 206 Dundas Street

Start Time: 6:30pm

Present: M. Whalley, J. Hunten, J. Cushing, M. Tovey, K. Waud, T. Regnier, H. Elmslie; K. Gowan, K. Gonyou (staff)

Agenda Items:

Rapid Transit – Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports (CHERs)

1. CHER University Drive Bridge

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by WSP for the University Bridge, located on Western University campus over the north branch of the Thames River. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- The name of the bridge is “University Bridge”
- It should be noted that there are additional resources at the Western Archives, and other potential sources including historic photographs and postcards, regarding the construction of University Bridge which could contribute to an understanding of its history, that should be consulted in preparing a Heritage Impact Assessment

2. CHER Highbury Avenue North Overpass

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by WSP for the Highbury Avenue North Overpass, located between Dundas Street and Oxford Street East over the CPR tracks. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- Historic photographs of the previous structure are available and should be included within this CHER to complete its historical research and documentation; these photographs from the *London Free Press* collection could be included within newspaper articles related to the replacement of the previous structure and should be investigated

3. CHER Clark’s Bridge (Wellington Road/Wellington Street)

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by WSP for Clark's Bridge, which carries Wellington Road/Wellington Street over the south branch of the Thames River. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- Should the bridge be expanded or rebuilt, the bridge at this location should be named Clark's Bridge and the bridge plaque be salvaged and reinstated

4. CHER Richmond 5 Group

Overall comment

- The comparative examples that were provided were not sufficient or entirely applicable for the properties discussed in the Richmond 5 Group CHER

a. 736 Richmond Street

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by WSP for the heritage listed property located at 736 Richmond Street. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- The front gablet (hipped gable) is believed by the Stewardship Sub-Committee to be original as it relates to the stable style/type
- The structure is constructed of brick, and believed to be an early example of a brick stable (and a potential rare example, as few stable buildings remain)
 - Known historic stables: at rear of property at 400 Princess Avenue, 620 Marshall Street (livery), 4257 Colonel Talbot Road (barn), 850 Highbury Avenue North (barn), 660 Sunningdale Road East (barn), 1017 Western Road (coach house), 566 Dundas Street (coach house), 335 St. George Street (coach house)
- The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes the property is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of the area through the continued application of the red brick upper façade cladding, a material which defines the character of The Village particularly the east block of Richmond Street between Piccadilly Street and Oxford Street East (724-750 Richmond Street) and later echoed at 205 Oxford Street East, 743 Richmond Street

- The applied red rug brick veneer is consistent with the materials found in The Village and appears to have been applied before July 1940 based on archival photographs (see Glenbow Archives)
- Historical information on the Campus Hi-Fi restaurant was not sufficient; further research should be completed
- The Stewardship Sub-Committee disagrees with the comments on the integrity of this cultural heritage resource (Section 4.1.3 of the CHER), as this building has continued to evolve and be adapted to new uses
- As a former stable, is it a rare example of a type – meeting an additional criteria of physical/design value
- The heritage attributes (Section 4.1.6 of the CHER) identified do not fully reflect the cultural heritage value of this property
- The Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report be revised and provided to Stewardship Sub-Committee for review

b. 740 Richmond Street

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by WSP for the heritage listed property located at 740 Richmond Street. The Stewardship Sub-Committee does not support the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes that the property at 740 Richmond Street demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project. The Stewardship Sub-Committee would like the following to be considered in a re-evaluation of the property:

- Consultation should undertake with the former property owner at the time of construction of the present building, as this may assist in the identification of further details which illuminate the building's past (e.g. architect, plans, etc.)
- The information provided by M. Tovey be reviewed and applied in the evaluation of the property
- The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes the property meets the criteria of O. Reg. 9/06 in the following ways:
 - The property is a rare example of a purpose-built, independent bookstore
 - The property is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of the area through the continued application of the red brick upper façade cladding, a material which defines the character of The Village particularly the east block of Richmond Street

between Piccadilly Street and Oxford Street East (724-750 Richmond Street) and later echoed at 205 Oxford Street East, 743 Richmond Street

- The Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report be revised and provided to Stewardship Sub-Committee for review

c. 742 Richmond Street

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by WSP for the heritage listed property located at 742 Richmond Street. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes that the comparative examples provided were not sufficient and believes this property meets the design/physical value criteria as the property is a rare example of a 1925 Taxi Building
 - A more appropriate comparative example is the J.C. Beemer building (131 Queens Ave; demolished) that appears in *London 1914*
- The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes the property is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of the area through the continued application of the red brick upper façade cladding, a material which defines the character of The Village particularly the east block of Richmond Street between Piccadilly Street and Oxford Street East (724-750 Richmond Street) and later echoed at 205 Oxford Street East, 743 Richmond Street
- The Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report be revised and provided to Stewardship Sub-Committee for review

d. 744 Richmond Street

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by WSP for the heritage listed property located at 744 Richmond Street. The Stewardship Sub-Committee does not support the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes that the property at 744 Richmond Street demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project. The

Stewardship Sub-Committee would like the following to be considered in a re-evaluation of the property:

- The photograph of the property at 744 Richmond Street does not clearly depict the property as numerous cars in front of the property and the shadow hinders the depiction of the building's facade
- It should be noted that the cladding is Queenston limestone not Angel Stone
- John A. Irvine, property owner and proprietor of Irvine Appliance, was the builder of the building. John A. Irvine was also a City Councillor (Alderman; 1960-1963) and Member of Parliament (1963-1965, 1965-1968)
- The information provided by M. Tovey be reviewed and applied in the evaluation of the property
- Noting the following design features of the building:
 - Large rectangular opening for windows and doors
 - Inset window that contrasts with the rusticated wall detailing
 - In the style of the 1950s
 - Stringcourse of smooth stone that unifies the buildings at 744 Richmond Street and 746 Richmond Street
 - Tile flashing of the parapet (still extant on the building at 744 Richmond Street)
 - Although replaced, the windows and doors maintain the original openings and surrounding details
- The building at 744 Richmond Street was built a few years after the building at 746 Richmond Street; it was constructed between 1950 and 1955
- The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes the property meets the criteria of O. Reg. 9/06 in the following ways:
 - A representative example of Mid-Century commercial architecture
 - Has direct historical associations with John A. Irvine, who is significant to the community as a former City Councillor and Member of Parliament
 - Is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of the area through the continued application of the red brick upper façade cladding, a material which defines the character of The Village particularly the east block of Richmond Street between Piccadilly Street and Oxford Street East (724-750 Richmond Street) and later echoed at 205 Oxford Street East, 743 Richmond Street
 - Is physically, functionally, visually and historically linked to its surroundings, particularly the building at 746 Richmond Street; the building at 744 Richmond Street was built as an infill project to match the design of the building at 746 Richmond Street.

- The Stewardship Sub-Committee emphasized the connection between the properties at 744 Richmond Street and 746 Richmond Street
- The Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report be revised and provided to Stewardship Sub-Committee for review

e. 746 Richmond Street

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by WSP for the heritage listed property located at 746 Richmond Street. The Stewardship Sub-Committee does not support the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes that the property at 746 Richmond Street demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project. The Stewardship Sub-Committee would like the following to be considered in a re-evaluation of the property:

- The photograph of the property at 746 Richmond Street does not accurately depict the property as numerous cars in front of the property and the shadow hinders the depiction of the building's facade
- It should be noted that the cladding is Queenston limestone not Angel Stone
- John A. Irvine, property owner and proprietor of Irvine Appliance, was the builder of the building. John A. Irvine was also a City Councillor (Alderman; 1960-1963) and Member of Parliament (1963-1965, 1965-1968)
- The information provided by M. Tovey be reviewed and applied in the evaluation of the property
- Further properties for comparative analysis be sought, including: 766 Adelaide Street North, 228 Oxford Street East, 1050 Dundas Street, 608 Dundas Street, 762 Dundas Street
 - As a contrast comparison: major Downtown bank locations
- Noting the following design features of the building:
 - Large rectangular opening for windows and doors, which are dark and contrast with pale grey limestone surrounding
 - Inset window, which is outlined by strips of smooth stone that contrasts with the rusticated wall detailing
 - In the style of the 1950s
 - Stringcourse of smooth stone that unifies the buildings at 744 Richmond Street and 746 Richmond Street
 - Tile flashing of the parapet (still extant on the building at 744 Richmond Street)

- Although replaced, the windows and doors maintain the original openings and surrounding details
- The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes the property meets the criteria of O. Reg. 9/06 in the following ways:
 - A representative example of Mid-Century commercial architecture, particularly small bank branch architecture
 - Has direct historical associations with John A. Irvine, who is significant to the community as a former City Councillor and Member of Parliament
 - Is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of the area through the continued application of the red brick upper façade cladding, a material which defines the character of The Village particularly the east block of Richmond Street between Piccadilly Street and Oxford Street East (724-750 Richmond Street) and later echoed at 205 Oxford Street East, 743 Richmond Street
 - Is physically, functionally, visually and historically linked to its surroundings, particularly the building at 744 Richmond Street; the building at 744 Richmond Street was built as an infill project to match the design of the building at 746 Richmond Street
- The Stewardship Sub-Committee emphasized the connection between the properties at 744 Richmond Street and 746 Richmond Street
- The Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report be revised and provided to Stewardship Sub-Committee for review

5. CHER Wellington 35 Group

Sub-Group 1

a. 1 Kennon Place

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 1 Kennon Place. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

b. 26 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 26 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee would like to provide the following comments:

- There may be more examples of concrete buildings in London than the 5 noted, however this building is still believed to be a rare example of this material overall and particularly significant as it has a rare cladding pattern with rusticated and smooth blocks
- Comparison to the properties at 28 Wellington Road and 30 Wellington Road may be suitable in the evaluation, but should this be included as a heritage attribute of the property?
- Further research into concrete block houses as a building material may be fruitful to better contextual these properties

c. 28 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 28 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee would like to provide the following comments:

- There may be more examples of concrete buildings in London than the 5 noted, however this building is still believed to be a rare example of this material overall and particularly significant as it has a rare cladding pattern with rusticated and smooth blocks
- Comparison to the properties at 26 Wellington Road and 30 Wellington Road may be suitable in the evaluation, but should this be included as a heritage attribute of the property?
- Further research into concrete block houses as a building material may be fruitful to better contextual these properties

d. 30 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 30 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee would like to provide the following comments:

- There may be more examples of concrete buildings in London than the 5 noted, however this building is still believed to be a rare example of this

material overall and particularly significant as it has a rare cladding pattern with rusticated and smooth blocks

- Comparison to the properties at 26 Wellington Road and 28 Wellington Road may be suitable in the evaluation, but should this be included as a heritage attribute of the property?
- Further research into concrete block houses as a building material may be fruitful to better contextual these properties

e. 32 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 32 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

f. 34 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 34 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

Sub-Group 2

g. 74 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 74 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

h. 78 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 78 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

i. 88 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 88 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

j. 98 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 98 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

k. 118 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 118 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

Sub-Group 3

l. 134 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 134 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

m. 136 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 136 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee does not support the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes that the property at 136 Wellington Road demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project. The Stewardship Sub-Committee would like the following to be considered in a re-evaluation of the property:

- The bay window is unusual, particularly with the hipped roof that intersects with the gable roof over the porch
- This built heritage resource demonstrates a high degree of integrity
- Noting the stylistic connection between the buildings at 136 Wellington Road, 138 Wellington Road, and 142 Wellington Road, that the buildings were constructed by Simon Smith in c. 1930
- Further comparison to similar buildings should be undertaken
- The Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report be revised and provided to Stewardship Sub-Committee for review

n. 138 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 138 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee does not support the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes that the property at 138 Wellington Road demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project. The Stewardship Sub-Committee would like the following to be considered in a re-evaluation of the property:

- A high degree of integrity of the built heritage resource
- Noting the stylistic connection between the buildings at 136 Wellington Road, 138 Wellington Road, and 142 Wellington Road, that the buildings were constructed by Simon Smith in c. 1930
- Further comparison to similar buildings should be undertaken
- The Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report be revised and provided to Stewardship Sub-Committee for review

o. 140 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 140 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property

does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- The property at 140 Wellington Road may have been originally designed and constructed in a manner consistent with the properties at 136 Wellington Road, 138 Wellington Road, and 140 Wellington Road however subsequent alterations have compromised the integrity of this property

p. 142 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 142 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee does not support the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee believes that the property at 142 Wellington Road demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project. The Stewardship Sub-Committee would like the following to be considered in a re-evaluation of the property:

- The bay window is unusual, particularly with the hipped roof that intersects with the gable roof over the porch
- A high degree of integrity of the built heritage resource
- Noting the stylistic connection between the buildings at 136 Wellington Road, 138 Wellington Road, and 142 Wellington Road, that the buildings were constructed by Simon Smith in c. 1930
- Further comparison to similar buildings should be undertaken
- The Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report be revised and provided to Stewardship Sub-Committee for review

q. 166 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 166 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

r. 174 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 174 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property demonstrates sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- Noting that former property owner, Robert Livingstone, QC is a Judge
- Consultation with the former property owners is recommended; both still maintain active legal practices
- This may be an early example of a split level house in London; comparison with this type of residential building (split level) should be undertaken

s. 19 Raywood Avenue

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 19 Raywood Avenue. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

Sub-Group 4

t. 247 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 247 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

u. 249 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 249 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

v. 251 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 251 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of

the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

w. 261 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 261 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

x. 263 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 263 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

y. 265 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 265 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

z. 267 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 267 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

aa. 269 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 269 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property

does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

bb. 271 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 271 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

Sub-Group 5

cc. 273 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 273 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- What is described as a “buttress or a Flemish gable” should be referred to as a buttress

dd. 275 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 275 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

ee. 285 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 285 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

ff. 287 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 287

Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

gg. 289 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 289 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project.

hh. 297 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 297 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- The Stewardship Sub-Committee had a discussion regarding the use of bungalow as a style or a type, with no conclusion

ii. 301 Wellington Road

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report prepared by AECOM for the heritage listed property located at 301 Wellington Road. The Stewardship Sub-Committee supports the conclusions of the evaluation (based on the criteria of Ontario Regulation 9/06) that the property does not demonstrate sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant further cultural heritage assessment related to the Rapid Transit project, with the following comments:

- This larger house is unusual within its context of smaller houses on Wellington Road
- This is the former location of the Archibald, Gray & McKay Land Surveyors in the 1970s-1980s

6. Old East Village Dundas Street Corridor Secondary Plan – Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (CHAR)

The Stewardship Sub-Committee reviewed the Cultural Heritage Assessment Report prepared as a background study for the Old East Village Dundas Street Corridor. The

Stewardship Sub-Committee commended the inventory compiled for this CHAR, and noted some additional information to update the inventory.

The Stewardship Sub-Committee recommends that the potential cultural heritage resources identified by the Cultural Heritage Screening Report prepared as a background study for the Old East Village Dundas Street Corridor Secondary Plan be added to the Register (Inventory of Heritage Resources). A list of the potential cultural heritage resources is appended to the Stewardship Sub-Committee's report.

APPENDIX C: Summary of Previously-Identified and Potential Cultural Heritage Resources in the Study Area for this Cultural Heritage Assessment Report

Table 3: Summary of Previously-Identified and Potential Cultural Heritage Resources in the Study Area

Heritage Listed Properties	
Feature ID	Address
CHR1	360 Adelaide St N
CHR2	429 Adelaide St N
CHR3	430 Adelaide St N
CHR10	376 Burwell St
CHR11	387 Burwell St
CHR12	389 Burwell St
CHR13	386 Colborne St, 412 King St
CHR18	459 Dundas St
CHR19	461-463 Dundas St
CHR20	465 Dundas St
CHR21	467 Dundas St
CHR22	469 Dundas St
CHR23	471 Dundas St, 381-387 Maitland Street
CHR25	520-526 Dundas St
CHR26	525 Dundas St
CHR39	609-619B Dundas St, 390-400 Adelaide St N
CHR45	625 Dundas St
CHR49	632 Dundas St
CHR58	662 Dundas St
CHR59	663 Dundas St
CHR61	665 Dundas St
CHR62	666-668 Dundas St
CHR64	670 Dundas St
CHR65	672-674 Dundas St
CHR68	694-698 Dundas St
CHR72	715 Dundas St
CHR78	754-760 Dundas St
CHR79	762 Dundas St
CHR85	776 Dundas St
CHR87	782-784 Dundas St
CHR92	848 Dundas St
CHR93	850 Dundas St
CHR94	857-859 Dundas St
CHR95	858 Dundas St
CHR96	865 Dundas St
CHR99	874 Dundas St, 420 Ontario St
CHR100	876 Dundas St
CHR101	880 Dundas St
CHR102	884-890 Dundas St
CHR103	892-898 Dundas Street
CHR104	900 Dundas St
CHR108	920-940 Dundas St
CHR109	976 Dundas St
CHR110	980 Dundas St



CHR111	982 Dundas St
CHR112	984 Dundas St
CHR113	992-994 Dundas St
CHR114	998 Dundas St, 422-424 Woodman St
CHR116	1010-1010B Dundas St
CHR117	1014-1018 Dundas St
CHR118	1030 Dundas St
CHR119	1033 Dundas St
CHR120	1034-1036 Dundas St
CHR121	1038 Dundas St
CHR122	1042 Dundas St
CHR123	1044 Dundas St
CHR124	1046 Dundas St
CHR125	1048 Dundas St
CHR126	1050 Dundas St
CHR128	1062 – 1066 Dundas St
CHR129	1068 Dundas St
CHR131	413 King Street
CHR132	414 King Street
CHR133	440-442 King Street
CHR134	454 King St
CHR135	457-459 King St
CHR136	458-460 King St
CHR137	463 King St
CHR138	466 King St
CHR139	469 King St
CHR140	470 King St
CHR141	474 King St
CHR142	478 King St
CHR143	546 King St
CHR144	551 King St
CHR145	567 King St
CHR147	579-583 King St
CHR148	629-631 King St
CHR149	649 King St
CHR150	689 King St
CHR152	697 King St
CHR153	701 King St
CHR154	713-715 King St
CHR155	721 King St
CHR156	723 King St
CHR159	757 King St
CHR161	762 King St
CHR162	763 King St
CHR163	764 King St
CHR164	765 King St
CHR165	768 King St
CHR166	769 King St
CHR167	771 King St
CHR168	773 King St
CHR169	774 King St
CHR170	786 King St
CHR171	790 King St



CHR172	794 King St
CHR173	796 King St
CHR175	900 King St, 925 Dundas St
CHR176	347 Lyle St
CHR181	367 Maitland St
CHR182	369 Maitland St
CHR184	371 Maitland St
CHR186	373-375 Maitland St
CHR187	377-379 Maitland St
CHR191	620 Marshall St
CHR193	485 Queens Ave
CHR194	507 Queens Ave
CHR195	513 Queens Ave
CHR197	533 Queens Ave
CHR200	575 Queens Ave
CHR210	432 Rectory St
CHR217	421 William St

Heritage Designated Properties

Feature ID	Address
CHR9	442 Adelaide St N
CHR24	482 Dundas St
CHR35	566 Dundas St
CHR60	664 Dundas St
CHR70	710 Dundas St
CHR74	717-721 Dundas St
CHR86	778-780 Dundas St
CHR90	795 Dundas St
CHR97	869-871 Dundas St
CHR98	864-872 Dundas St, 471 Ontario St
CHR185	372 Maitland St
CHR199	571 Queens Ave
CHR220	429 William St
CHR222	442 William St

Potential Cultural Heritage Resources

Feature ID	Address
CHR4	431 Adelaide St N
CHR5	433 Adelaide St N
CHR6	435 Adelaide St N
CHR7	437 Adelaide St N
CHR8	439 Adelaide St N
CHR14	390 Colborne St
CHR15	421 Dundas St
CHR16	425 Dundas St
CHR17	451 Dundas St
CHR27	528 Dundas St
CHR28	532 Dundas St
CHR29	533 Dundas St
CHR30	534 Dundas St
CHR31	538 Dundas St

CHR32	540-544 Dundas St, 422-424 William St
CHR33	541 Dundas St, 399 William St
CHR34	546 Dundas St
CHR36	572 Dundas St
CHR37	602 Dundas St
CHR38	604-606 Dundas St
CHR40	610-612 Dundas St
CHR41	614 Dundas St
CHR42	616 Dundas St
CHR43	621 Dundas St
CHR44	623 Dundas St
CHR46	627 Dundas St
CHR47	629 Dundas St
CHR48	630 Dundas St
CHR50	634 Dundas St
CHR51	636 Dundas St
CHR52	638 Dundas St
CHR53	640-644 Dundas St
CHR54	646-650 Dundas St
CHR55	656 Dundas St
CHR56	658 Dundas St
CHR57	660 Dundas St
CHR63	675 Dundas St
CHR66	680 Dundas St, 420 Elizabeth Street
CHR67	682 Dundas St
CHR69	700-706 Dundas St
CHR71	714 Dundas St
CHR73	720 Dundas St
CHR75	724 Dundas St
CHR76	745 Dundas St
CHR77	755-761 Dundas St
CHR80	765 -769 Dundas St
CHR81	768 Dundas St
CHR82	772 Dundas St
CHR83	773 Dundas St
CHR84	775-791 Dundas St
CHR88	788 Dundas St
CHR89	790 Dundas St
CHR91	796 Dundas St
CHR105	920 Dundas St
CHR106	924 Dundas St
CHR107	930 Dundas St
CHR115	1006-1008 Dundas St
CHR127	1051 Dundas St
CHR130	430 Elizabeth Street
CHR146	575 King St
CHR151	693-695 King St
CHR157	754 King St
CHR158	755 King St
CHR160	758 King St
CHR174	800 King St
CHR177	343 Maitland St
CHR178	345 Maitland St



CHR179	347 Maitland St
CHR180	349 Maitland St
CHR183	370 Maitland St
CHR188	434 Maitland St
CHR189	438 Maitland St
CHR190	440 Maitland St
CHR192	477 Queens Ave
CHR196	529 Queens Ave
CHR198	567 Queens Ave
CHR201	587 Queens Ave
CHR202	595 Queens Ave
CHR203	601 Queens Ave
CHR204	603 Queens Ave
CHR205	607 Queens Ave
CHR206	415 Rectory St
CHR207	417 Rectory St
CHR208	418 Rectory St
CHR209	419 Rectory St
CHR211	350 William St
CHR212	356 William St
CHR213	384 William St
CHR214	388 William St
CHR215	393 William St
CHR216	419 William St
CHR218	425-427 William St
CHR219	426 William St
CHR221	433 William St