

Input to Oct. 30, 2018 CWC Meeting

Water Fluoridation – A Concern

Deception

- Fluoridation schemes are dishonest and misleading as they don't inform the residents that the chemical to fluoridate their water is Hydrofluorosilicic Acid (HFSA)*, an industrial toxin. Constituents think, and/or are led to believe, that the fluoride used will be pharmaceutical grade like what the dentists use. **It is illegal for dentists to use HFSA and to use in toothpastes.** Clearly no one in their right mind will vote to agree on adding traces of lead, arsenic, mercury etc. as found in HFSA to their municipal drinking water!
- The above violates Ontario's Safe Drinking Water Act of 2002, which states, Dilution is no defense for adding a contaminant to drinking water.

*HFSA does not meet Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP).

No Safety Studies & Violation of Laws

- NSF60 certification for this chemical, used to justify the addition of this additive, does not have any safety studies for its intended use. NSF60 Standards rely on third party certification from agencies such as Health Canada and FDA. Health Canada and FDA have not approved HFSA, nor the pharmaceutical grade fluoride, as a Natural Health Product, they also do not have safety studies for HFSA, in fact, **even the pharmaceutical grade fluoride cannot be sold in health food stores - it is only available by prescription!**
- The above clearly shows non-compliance with National Sanitation Foundation regulatory statute Standard 60 to which London Utilities is subject. Further it violates food and drug regulations.
- Dumping HFSA in the environment is already illegal (per the federal Hazardous Waste and Species At Risk Acts) so how is it OK (without safety studies) to dump truck loads of this industrial waste via our water supply year after year?

Violation of Laws (Con't)

- Public health officials and water treatment plant engineers/technicians know that they can control neither dosage nor **dose. Simply, it cannot be regulated by setting a fixed level of a substance in water.** Need for water depends from person to person especially when other sources of ingested fluoride and health conditions are not known. Thus many are chronically overdosed. This is yet another deception that is not commonly understood by the public and the councilors.
- This yet again, violates medical ethics. Dosing without knowing patient history and/or vulnerability can only be done under medical supervision. This is particularly significant for children.

Plebiscites to cover their asses

- To save face and protect themselves many cities conduct dishonest plebiscites. Water fluoridation originally started due to such fraudulent plebiscites! The so called health authorities using/abusing our money (we don't have the funds to counter their propaganda) will be out to bait the masses with glories of Fluoride on teeth and then claim it as a health benefit then switch to an industrial toxin. Do you really think that, if people knew this, anyone in their right mind should vote for or agree on lead, arsenic, mercury etc. being added to their municipal drinking water?

Plebiscites to cover their asses (Con't)

- Ignoring evidence of science (such as the fact , that, Fluoride is more toxic than lead) for supporting this practice (i.e. not meeting Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) and the lack of availability of safety studies in hand for the chemicals used to fluoridate water) and ethics (being medicated without consent not to mention all the laws that are being violated) is not an issue that can be decided by plebiscites! This is as ridiculous as determining whether the earth is flat or round by a plebiscite! If costs were not prohibitive, this scheme should never stand a test in the courts as 51% of the people can't force the remaining to be medicated against their will.

Ending Comments

- Despite dental pressure, 99% of western continental Europe has rejected, banned, or stopped fluoridation due to environmental, health, legal, or ethical concerns...
- One can see that the whole issue of water fluoridation can be resolved by simply complying with our laws. Why is there no accountability for such violations? If this is not done then what is the point of having these laws?
- The mandate of City water department is to clean the water - not to deliberately contaminate it and hence violate the said laws.
- As conscientious, moral and ethical Councilors it behooves you to stop this fraudulent practice.