

From: Peter Pendl
Sent: March 29, 2018 4:16 PM
To: Morgan, Josh <joshmorgan@london.ca>
Subject: medway creek project

Hello Josh,

My name is Peter Pendl and I reside at 74 Green Acres Drive. I am writing with regard to the proposed Medway Creek development. In short, the proposed access between 74 and 84 Green Acres Drive will wreck our neighbourhood by adding foot and bicycle traffic, parking on our lawns, possible crime and removing the calm, country-like feel of the neighbourhood for daily walks, children's play areas and interactions. There is no need for a paved trail to be put here.

We know the city owns this road/driveway between our homes but both homes were approved and built with the road being used as access to our garages. Nothing has been done with this space for over 60 years. By placing a paved trail down the middle, it will hinder access to our garages and destroy a 200 year old willow tree in the middle of the trail.

When I say there is no need, this is in response to looking at the city plan. There is a path through the ravine with planned bridges that will connect the north and south, east and west, and a quick route for cyclists already exists straight along Ambleside to Western Road. Andrew MacPherson should not be allowed to upheave our neighbourhood for the sake of another. When we purchased our home in 2015, we chose this neighbourhood for the country-like feel in the midst of the city and paid the prime for the property to be here. If we wanted to live on a thoroughfare, we could have chosen another neighbourhood and purchased a home at less cost.

We've been in contact with Andrew since 2016 to discuss the road/driveway. He said he would get back to us if there were any discussion or plans for the space. Now, we have discovered that there has been a two year planning process, and we were not involved. In fact, no one from our neighbourhood was involved and only found out about the plans by accident last week. We are now following up. We have a petition from the neighbourhood and plan on attending the April 16 city meeting to share our stance against this.

We wanted you to be aware of this background before the meeting. Please say if there is anything we can or should do in the mean time. We are considering consultation with a real estate attorney. Do you think we should bring them to the meeting? I'm asking Andrew for a meeting to discover more information about how the process got to this point in our absence.

Thank you,

Peter Pendl & Allyson Vanstone