

From: [Chris Butler](#)
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 12:19 PM
To: [J. Martin](#)
Cc: [Ashley Rammecoo](#) ; [Phil Squire](#)
Subject: CWC - One River Master Plan - Public Submission

Please add this as public submission & record for the upcoming January 9 - CWC meeting on the One River Master Plan and Springbank Dam.

Chair V. Ridley & CWC Members

As backdrop I have attended the Public Input MTG @ Ashley Rammelo & Team and reviewed the EA - Report ; so please consider the following input ;

- I support the " Do Nothing " or " Decommissioning " Option going forward .
- I find the report conclusion in Section 5 - 7 - 5.35 indicating that the " Decommission " option holds more promise integrating existing or future infrastructure options into the One River Plan as a bit of a stretch to add weight to the decommissioning option reco.
- I do not believe that the CWC should be asked at this juncture to select which free flowing river option (Decommission or Do Nothing) as a plan forward or preference until a Phase 2 of this plan better defines the actual recommended facilities changes (if any) , the capital costs and the funding sources and that this is aired out in a public meeting prior to returning to Council with that input.

As a taxpayer , I do not want to see a repeat of the Blackfriars Bridge reset where the budgeted costs were very poorly defined to Council by City of London staff at the proper decision making juncture.

THXS - CHris Butler - 863 Waterloo St