I. CALL TO ORDER

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

That it BE NOTED that M. Dawthorne disclosed a pecuniary interest in clauses 7 and 8 of this Report, both having to do with the translation of documents into alternate formats, by indicating that his employer provides that type of service.

II. SCHEDULED ITEMS

2. Dundas Place

That it BE NOTED that the attached presentation from D. MacRae, Division Manager, Transportation Planning and Design and B. Huston, Dillon Consulting, with respect to Dundas Place, was received.

3. Conservation Master Plan Phase II for the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (south)

That it BE NOTED that the attached presentation from L. McDougall, Ecologist, with respect to the Conservation Master Plan Phase II for the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (south), was received.

4. Income-Related Subsidized Transit

That it BE NOTED that the presentation, as appended to the agenda, from J. Carter, Manager, Policy and Strategic Issues, with respect to the Income-Related Subsidized Transit Program, was received.
III. **SUB-COMMITTEES & WORKING GROUPS**

5. **Education and Awareness Sub-Committee**

That the Community and Protective Services Committee (CPSC) BE REQUESTED to grant delegation status at a future meeting of the CPSC to allow the Chair or designate to present the attached Executive Summary and findings of the Accessibility Open Houses, with the intent of returning in the new year with specific priorities and requests intended to inform city staff for its update to the City of London's 2018-2021 Accessibility Plan; it being noted that the Education and Awareness Sub-Committee reports from the meetings held on October 10, 2017 and November 12, 2017, were received.

6. **Built Environment and Facilities Sub-Committee Report**

That it BE NOTED that the Built Environment and Facilities Sub-Committee report, from its meeting held on October 10, 2017, was received.

IV. **CONSENT ITEMS**

7. **9th Report of the Accessibility Advisory Committee**

That it BE NOTED that the 9th Report of the Accessibility Advisory Committee, from its meeting held on September 28, 2017, was received.

8. **Municipal Council Resolution**

That it BE NOTED that the Municipal Council resolution, from its meeting held on October 17, 2017, with respect to the 9th Report of the Accessibility Advisory Committee, was received.


That it BE NOTED that the 2016 Annual Status Update Report with respect to the City of London 2013-2017 Multi-Year Accessibility Plan, as appended to the agenda, was received.

10. **Letter of Resignation - B. Quesnel**

That it BE NOTED that the letter of resignation from the Accessibility Advisory Committee, dated November 1, 2017, from B. Quesnel, was received.
V. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

11. Draft City of London Integrated Accessibility Standards Policy

That it BE NOTED that the draft City of London Integrated Accessibility Standards Policy document, as appended to the agenda, was received.

12. Elections Accessibility Plan

That it BE NOTED that the Accessibility Advisory Committee (ACCAC) held a general discussion related to the Elections Accessibility Plan, as provided to the ACCAC at its September 28, 2017 meeting.


That it BE NOTED that the attached presentation from D. Diegel, Supervisor, Facilities Design & Construction, with respect to the Gibbons Park Enhancement Project including two fieldhouses, was received.

14. 2017 Work Plan

That discussion regarding the 2017 Accessibility Advisory Committee (ACCAC) Work Plan BE DEFERRED to the January 25, 2018 meeting of the ACCAC.

15. ACCAC Delegation at Community and Protective Services Committee Meeting

That it BE NOTED that the delegation request noted in the 9th Report of the Accessibility Advisory Committee, with respect to community gardens, will be incorporated into the delegation noted in clause 5 of this Report.

16. ACCAC Terms of Reference

That discussion related to the Accessibility Advisory Committee Terms of Reference BE DEFERRED to the January 25, 2018 meeting.

17. The Forward Movement - Request for Delegation Status

That the request for delegation status by The Forward Movement to present information related to the Dynamic Symbol of Access (DSA) BE APPROVED for a future meeting of the Accessibility Advisory Committee.
18. Next Meeting Date

That it BE NOTED that the next meeting of the Accessibility Advisory Committee will take place on January 25, 2018.

VI. DEFERRED MATTERS/ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

19. (ADDED) Municipal Council Resolution

That it BE NOTED that the Municipal Council resolution, from its meeting held on November 14, 2017, with respect to the 10th Report of the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee and a future delegation before the Planning and Environment Committee related to the Draft Conservation Master Plan for the Medway Valley Heritage ESA, was received.

20. (ADDED) Notice of Public Information Centre #5 - City of London - Bus Rapid Transit System

That it BE NOTED that the Notice of Public Information Centre #5 related to the Bus Rapid Transit System, from J. Ramsay, Director of Rapid Transit, City of London and B. Hollingsworth, Director, IBI Group, was received.

21. (ADDED) Notice of Application to Amend the Official Plan & Zoning By-law - OZ-8852

That it BE NOTED that the Notice of Application, dated November 23, 2017, from L. Maitland, Planner I, with respect to an application by the City of London related to various amendments to the Official Plan and Zoning By-law, was received.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 5:09 PM.

NEXT MEETING DATE: January 25, 2018
Dundas Place Flexible Street
Detailed Design
Accessibility Advisory Committee
November 23, 2017

From Street to “Place”

- Transform Dundas Street into the most exciting street in London
- Revitalize the downtown as a preeminent destination
- Detailed Design follows Environmental Assessment completed in 2016

Key Design Components

- Conversion of Dundas Street to a Flex street from Ridout Street to Wellington Street
- Two lanes of traffic under normal operation, but readily closed to vehicular traffic for events
- Area outside of traffic lanes will include parking, trees, planters, a variety of seating types, bike racks as well as other urban design and landscape architecture features.

Key Design Components

- Final surface will consist of interlocking concrete pavers from building face to building face
- A flush trench drain will be present in place of existing curb and gutter
- Upgraded infrastructure including watermains, hydro, streetlights, traffic signals and roadway
Permanent Sidewalk and Pedestrian Corridors

- 3m clear pedestrian path of travel between building face and street furniture will be provided
- The surface slope of the new pedestrian corridor will be very similar to the existing condition, but will be constructed of interlocking concrete pavers (there will be no curb and gutter, except at intersections)
- Cross slopes and profile will meet City of London requirements

Permanent Sidewalk and Pedestrian Corridors

- Tactile plates will be provided at all intersections and at the pedestrian crossing at Fanshawe College (Kingsmills)
- A detectible warning surface (600mm wide) and physical barriers/objects will be provided along both sides of the street adjacent to trench drain which defines the vehicle travelled way

Accessible Pedestrian Control Signals

- New traffic signals will be installed at the intersection of Dundas Place with Clarence Street, Richmond Street and Talbot Street to full AODA compliance
- The existing signals will be modified at the intersections of Dundas Place with Wellington Road and Ridout Street

Accessible and Paratransit Parking

- There will still be parking on Dundas Place following construction, including one accessible parking spot per block (four in total)
- Paratransit has been consulted and a drop-off/pick-up stop will be provided in front of the library (Paratransit also has stops on Covent Market Lane and on Talbot Street near King – these will be maintained)
- During construction Paratransit will also utilize a spot within the taxi zone near Wellington Street and King for access to the Library
Construction Phasing

- Construction in 2018 and 2019 with 2018 (Phase 1) generally Richmond Street to Ridout Street
- Dundas Street will be closed to vehicular traffic in areas of construction and some intersection closures are also required
- Provision of pedestrian corridor during construction being maintained

Construction Phasing

- 2.4 m pedestrian travelled way maintained along buildings for most of construction using existing or temporary sidewalk

Next Steps

Complete Detailed Design – December 2017
Tendering December 2017 to January 2018
Construction 2018 and 2019

Questions?
Permanent Sidewalk and Pedestrian Corridors

- The surface slope of the new pedestrian corridor will be very similar to the existing condition, but will be constructed of interlocking concrete pavers (there will be no curb and gutter, except at intersections)
- Cross slopes and profile will meet City of London requirements
Medway Valley Heritage Forest ESA (south)
Conservation Master Plan – Phase 2

Accessibility Advisory Committee

November 23, 2017

Medway VHF ESA Southern Portion - Location
BACKGROUND

CONSERVATION MASTER PLAN PROCESS

PHASE 1: Community Engagement and Participation ✓
Life Science Inventory and Evaluation ✓
Boundary Delineation ✓
Application of Management Zones & Review of Existing Trails ✓
Identifying Management Issues ✓

PHASE 2: Community Engagement and Participation ✓
Goals, Objectives, Recommendations ✓
Ecological Protection, Enhancement & Restoration ✓
Trail Planning & Design Process ✓
Priorities for Implementation ✓
Final Conservation Master Plan

Goal of the CMP

To develop a comprehensive multi-year CMP that presents recommendations for achieving long-term ecological integrity and protection of the ESA through the implementation of an environmental management strategy.

The proposed Sustainable Trail Concept Plan complies with the Council approved Guidelines for Management Zones and Trails in ESAs (2016) (Guidelines) written with input from ACCAC for providing enhanced accessibility and protection of ESA ecosystems consistent with AODA requirements.
What We Heard

Over 270 comments received from LAC, ACCAC and EEPAC on the August 2017 “draft for discussion” CMP

KEY THEMES / TOPICS / FAQs

- Protection of ecological integrity
- Non-permitted uses & enforcement
- Closure of informal/unmanaged trails
- Trail linkage across Medway Creek
- Accessibility and Connectivity of trails
- Consultation
- Timelines for implementation

Revised Phase II CMP (October)

What Was Revised?

| 1.0 | Introduction |
| 2.0 | Phase I Summary of Findings |
| 3.0 | Environmental Management Strategy |
|     | • Restoration ✓ |
|     | • Naturalization ✓ |
|     | • Trail Management Plan ✓ |
| 4.0 | Adaptive Management and Monitoring Framework ✓ |
| 5.0 | Continued Community Engagement |
In response to ACCAC comments - new AODA compliant signage is proposed in CMP **at all access points** to include a map and identifies:

- The length of trail
- The type of surface of which the trail is constructed
- The average and minimum trail width
- The average and maximum running and cross slope
- The location of amenities, where provided

_Sustainable Trail Concept Plan_

_Sustainable Trail Concept Plan_ follows_ the _Council approved guidelines for Management Zones and Trails in ESA (2016)_

*Example of Existing Accessible AODA Signage at Westminster Ponds ESA – Tourism Building*
By law, you must make recreational trails accessible if you are:
- a private or non-profit organization with 1+ employee(s) or a public sector organization; and
- building new public recreational trails and planning to maintain them or making major changes to existing ones and planning to maintain them

The Design of Public Spaces Standard (Ontario Reg. 191/11) (“the Standard”) there can be exceptional conditions where the need to provide accessible trails may need to be balanced with other legitimate concerns:
- Exceptions where making the trail accessible would have a negative effect on water, fish, wildlife, plants, invertebrates, species at risk, ecological integrity or natural heritage values
- In such instances, the City is expected to meet the requirements of the Standard to the greatest extent possible.

References to AODA in Guidelines

Section 2.1: Policy for Trail Planning and Design
- Enjoyable, safe, accessible trails for recreation appropriate in an ESA and learning environment will be permitted in accordance with recognized accessibility legislation (such as the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005 (AODA), best practices and the above principles [outlined in Guidelines].

Section 2.3: Policy for Trail Planning and Design
- Trails to permit access for persons with disabilities, consistent with these guiding principles and AODA requirements, will be provided where this can be achieved while protecting the ecological integrity and ecosystem health of the ESA.

Section 7.1 : Design and Construction: Trails
- Design and Maintenance Standards: Where the trail is deemed accessible, the trail in its entirety shall meet AODA recreational trail surface requirements for both firmness and stability.
**Nature Reserve**

- Where it is determined that ecological integrity can be preserved, and specific natural features and their ecological functions can be protected, public access using Level 1 trails (e.g. natural earth surface, wood chips, boardwalk, corduroy logs, stepping stones) are permitted in the Nature Reserve zone to support appropriate low-intensity, nature-based recreation. Structures (e.g. boardwalks, bridges, stairways) may be permitted to reduce impacts to significant ecological features and increase the sustainability of the trail system in the ESA. These are also areas where exceptions to making trails accessible would apply as such activities may have a negative effect on water, fish, wildlife, plants, invertebrates, species at risk, ecological integrity or natural heritage values.

**Natural Environment (NE)**

- Level 1 and Level 2 trails may be located in NE Zones where it can be demonstrated that the trail will not result in negative impact to the adjacent ecological features and functions of the ESA. Trails that comply with the Guidelines in NE zones can/must be made accessible as per AODA. Especially when Utility Overlay is present.

**Utility Overlay**

- Due to ongoing access requirements associated with the approximately 5.5 km of underground and aboveground utility infrastructure (hydro corridor, sewers & forcemain) located within the MVHF ESA (south), a Utility Overlay consisting of a 4 m wide corridor was established following the Guidelines.

- Where maintenance access is required, trails are generally located along the same route to minimize impacts to the surrounding ESA. These trails are to be designed now to remain compliant with the AODA, where exceptions do not apply, so that trails can accommodate persons with disabilities wherever possible.
Revised CMP (October)
Environmental Management Strategy: Trail Management Plan

City required by law to meet AODA standards where possible:

- Linkage A (Bridge) recommended:
  - Area low in sensitive ecological features
  - Would provide increased accessibility, keeping accessible trail and linkage in disturbed area with ongoing access req. (Utility Overlay).
  - Supported by ACCAC
  - Trail use counters proposed to be installed in areas of sensitive ecological features to document baseline use and after

---

What might an Accessible Linkage at look like at A?

- Bridge south of Sunningdale Road West in MVHF ESA
## Next Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 1, 2017</td>
<td>Final Phase II CMP Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2018</td>
<td>Presentation to Planning and Environment Committee (PEC) – Delegation / ACCAC chair to speak at PEC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary and Compilation of the Accessibility Advisory Committee's Open House Project

An examination of the responses received from the five open house events, from Oct. 3, 2017 through Oct. 14, 2017, combined with additional feedback received through an on-line survey and individual contact with members of the community to gather feedback on accessibility in London.

Created by: The Accessibility Advisory Committee (ACCAC)

Received by ACCAC on Nov. 23, 2017
Executive Summary

It's right there in the 2015-2019 Strategic Plan. The idea of creating a Community Diversity and Inclusion Strategy was supported due to the need to "build a diverse, inclusive, and welcoming community" by "supporting all Londoners to feel engaged and involved in our community."

That idea was reinforced by the Community Diversity & Inclusion Strategy's vision, which states that "London is a diverse and inclusive community that honours, welcomes, and accepts all people; where people have the power to eliminate systemic oppressions."

They are lovely words. And while we fully appreciate the sincerity, and dedication of the Community Diversity & Inclusion Strategy's efforts for the future and expect it to play a key role in reshaping our community, historically for people with disabilities in our community, talk about community and inclusion have just been words.

As you will find through this report, there are systemic barriers that have been put in place over the years. In our built environment alone, there are access barriers that prevent people with disabilities from enjoying the same freedom as those without disabilities. But while Heritage Designation is prioritized, accessibility is not.

Yet only one of those two is a protected human right.

In addition to the systemic barriers, there are attitudinal barriers that both people with disabilities and their advocates experience throughout the city and within the confines of City Hall. Many of the issues that we present in this document should not be new to any member of council. In many cases, they are issues that have been brought forth by members of the community and the Accessibility Advisory Committee on repeated occasions.

But they have been ignored, dismissed, deprioritized, or filed away for further use.

Accessibility is not about accommodation. It is not about doing something "extra" or "special." It is a commitment to ensuring that all people in this city have equitable access to the resources, opportunities, and experiences that the city has to offer. A city is a community, but when one perpetuates barriers (whether they be physical, mental, or emotional), one makes a statement about which people get to fully participate in that community.

Recently, one of our committee members engaged in an online discussion with one councillor about the lack of accessibility focus on a BRT discussion in the local newspaper. This councillor, who had not attended any of the five open houses we hosted, said, "I look forward to seeing [this report]."

We hope you do more than see it. We hope that you give it more than a cursory glance. We hope that you read it thoroughly.
We have chosen to keep in the vast majority of the attendees' comments as stated because it's important to know that these are real issues, experienced by real people. They're not statistics, they're not a bottom-line number on a balance sheet for a transit service -- they are people in this community who feel marginalized, who do not feel "engaged and involved in our community," and for whom London has not lived up to its ideal of being an "inclusive community that honours, welcomes, and accepts all people."

If the ideal that "people have the power to eliminate systemic oppressions" is more than just a catchy phrase on a page, then we encourage you to not just "see" this report but act upon it.

**Methodology**

The Accessibility Advisory Committee executed five open houses across the city to solicit feedback from the community for this report. The intent of this report is to help inform city staff for its update to the 2018-2021 accessibility plan.

The open houses were held at the following locations:

- Central Library, Oct. 3 from 1:30 to 4 p.m.
- Central Library, Oct. 3 from 6 to 8:30 p.m.
- Medway Community Centre: Oct. 4 from 12:30 to 2:30 p.m.
- North London Optimist Community Centre: Oct. 12 from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m., and
- South London Community Centre: Oct. 14 from 1:30 to 4 p.m.

The event times and locations were strategically chosen to try to provide for the best opportunity for people from across the city to participate in these events. As we know, transportation is a barrier, so we did not want to follow a "downtown-only" method of engagement. And to facilitate a broader participatory opportunity, the committee chose to offer engagement sessions both during standard business hours and during off-peak times, including on the weekends, to be respectful of attendees' potential work and educational commitments.

Following the final event, the Accessibility Advisory Committee distributed an e-mail survey, designed to both gauge user satisfaction with the events and solicit additional feedback. The survey was distributed to those who registered for the events on Eventbrite, as well as ancillary distribution through social media.

The events were advertised in a variety of ways, appearing in the Mayor's newsletter, on the City of London's Accessibility page, through Twitter, through a liaison with the Ability First Coalition, and with printed posters distributed throughout the city at targeted locations.
including, but not limited to, library branches, educational facilities, and senior's residences. The members of the accessibility advisory committee also amplified these messages throughout their own social media networks.

The results of the open houses, surveys, and other feedback were compiled by the members of the accessibility advisory committee, discussed and prioritized at its Nov. 13, 2017 education and awareness subcommittee event, and presented and ratified, in this format, at the Nov. 23, 2017 meeting of the accessibility advisory committee.
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Key Findings
The results of all of our communication efforts pointed to three key areas of concerns for the respondents: transportation, employment, and infrastructure barriers. In the following three sections, we've summarized some of the top-level findings, but we strongly encourage you to read through the entire documentation in order to understand the depth and diversity of the commentary that informed these three items.

Transportation
Without a doubt, transportation was the number one priority at all of the events. Access to adequate transportation is a must for so many integral reasons:

- Involvement and connection to the community and its events
- Access to reliable transit means more opportunities to pursue employment
- Freedom to be a part of the community and live the same life as other Londoners
- Flexibility of schedule, allowing for the same changes that everyone else has (sudden illness, sick kids)

The biggest barrier the committee and the community members who spoke with us have faced is internal perception amongst those with an opportunity to affect change when it comes to transit. In presentations, we often hear how well paratransit is doing on a cost-per-ride basis.
However, while the numbers can be made to state one thing, the reality is far, far different. We have heard how cost effective paratransit is, but when a large majority of its users have little positive to say about it, is it actually a success? When people choose not to use it due to its unreliability, thereby keeping themselves out of the workforce, is it a success? When people use it in spite of its faults because it's the only option, is that a success?

We have heard that paratransit is not a City issue -- but the simple fact of the matter is that the City funds the vast majority of the paratransit service. Therefore, there's a clear financial -- if not moral -- obligation to improve the service.

From having to spend hours each day in some lottery style game of phone roulette hoping to get a ride for that day, to the unrealistic expectation that one could anticipate illness and emergencies three days in advance in order to cancel a ride is not a positive customer experience.

Our second finding, employment, is directly impacted by reliable transit. Casual and shift work is virtually impossible for those relying on paratransit due to the varying nature of the hours, which is not conducive to meeting the unfair burden of expectations relating to bookings and cancellations. Regular LTC service, whilst more reliable schedule-wise, is not always supportive of accessible needs -- especially in regards to volume. And this doesn't even factor in the limited scope of LTC service as it relates to access to industrial areas and weekend/off-peak scheduling.

There were also access and security issues brought up that are a concern, which should be addressed. Paratransit riders who often ride alone and are literally strapped into a location are the very definition of a vulnerable population. Any and all efforts, up to and including cameras on board, should be a starting point for security.

**Employment Opportunities**

The numbers are clear and as the City of London has a vested interest in accessible employment (ACCAC member Jay Ménard, through Digital Echidna, and the City's own managing director and chief human resources officer, Bill Coxhead, are on the Ontario Government’s Employer’s Partnership Table for Accessible Employment), but yet there are still significant barriers to integrating people with disabilities into the workforce in the broader community.

We have addressed some of the transportation challenges in the previous section. However, there are systemic barriers to employment that must be prioritized in any planning. These include, but are not limited to, misinformation and false assumptions by employers; and hiring policies and practices that unintentionally exclude people with disabilities.

Some of the most effective changes actually wouldn't take money or copious resources. For example, one huge barrier that the City itself has perpetuated in the past is the demand for a
"valid driver's license." However, many people with disabilities either choose not to, or are unable to hold a driver's license. Are they qualified for the job? Yes. Do they apply? Not when they see criteria that clearly excludes them from participation.

Blanket statements to the effect that a company is an equal-opportunity employer are wonderful sentiments. But without an active policy in place behind them that ensures not just that the playing field is levelled, but that all participants can make it to the field of play, they remain just words.

Other than Toronto, London has the most significant presence on the Province's Employer's Partnership Table. We have a real opportunity to lead and be a community that lives up to its stated goal of being "a diverse, inclusive, and welcoming community." The City's accessibility plan need to encourage the city's employment sector -- through partnerships with agencies like LEDC and the Chamber of Commerce -- to make accessible employment policies standard.

Infrastructure
The best way to address this challenge is to provide anecdotes to illustrate the points:

- A driver passes a visually impaired man standing at a bus stop in a construction zone. This stop has been moved due to the work and there is a notification, in print, nearby. Three hours later, the same driver returns on that street. The man is still standing there. The driver pulls over and finds out that the man has been waiting for the bus and was unaware of the change.
- A man in a wheelchair enters the accessible washroom in London's marquee park -- the home of multiple festivals and community events that are ostensibly designed to welcome the community as a whole to celebrate. This man finds that the accessible stall door will not close fully behind him and his wheelchair.
- A woman with mobility challenges reaches a corner, only to find out that it's completely blocked. There's a sign that states, "Pedestrians use other sidewalk." When she crosses (in the middle of traffic), that side is also completely blocked. In fact, all four corners have been ripped up and the first notification was at the point of blockage. That woman now must retrace her steps back to the previous block to walk around the area.
- A woman in a motorized chair drives down Colborne St. at 11 p.m. The sidewalk is blocked on both sides. There is a large cage surrounding the excavation site. Her only option is to drive onto the street, towards oncoming traffic. She is visible to drivers coming towards her only when the vehicle's lights illuminate her.

When it comes to development, this city prioritizes heritage to the point where it can delay or derail buildings because of challenges to heritage designation. While we appreciate the past, why can the same consideration not be made for people in our present and our future. Of
heritage, environment, and accessibility, only one is a protected human right -- yet accessibility is often overlooked or ignored in our infrastructure efforts.

There are significant physical barriers in buildings all throughout the city, but in particular in the downtown core (often protected by heritage designations). These are compounded by man-made barriers that the city and/or services often put up during repair and construction work. Even though these disturbances may only last a few days, that's not acceptable to people who have no other alternatives or options.

First, we need to mandate compliance to accessibility regulations. No street should have all four corners blocked. No construction should be undertaken without ensuring that there is adequate alternative routes put in place. And no public buildings should come with accessibility barriers.

But success comes from reaching out to the private sector. The City needs to use its influence to ensure that private facilities are accessible, that there are incentives for those who want to retrofit, and to find a humane balance between preserving the city's past and making it accessible to those who live in the city today -- and into the future.

This can also be augmented by improved awareness -- finding ways to ensure that people with disabilities are aware of potential mobility barriers. And this cannot be limited to on-line notifications as the fact of the matter is that some people with disabilities don't have the luxury of regular on-line access. For people on fixed incomes, an aged population, or those with mental and or physical barriers that make on-line communication difficult, a website or push notification to a phone isn't enough. We have to ensure that notification, both physical and electronic, is accessible to those who need it.
Compiled Feedback from the Events

Transportation

Paratransit

- Need improved communication between dispatch and drivers
- Customer service speak disrespectfully to riders
- Wait time for rides are too long
- Riders left stranded
- No ability to cancel within three days without being penalized
- "Your life is on hold while you wait." Have to spend up to three hours trying to get an answer on the phone
- Forcing people to pre-determine when they're leaving an event/activity is not equal access
- The system should not be subcontracted out to other vendors
- LTC drivers better trained, better able to appreciate needs of disabled
- Should be better security in paratransit vehicles -- cameras would be a must
- Vendors often undertrained
- Booking system inhumane-- three days ahead, dial many, many times, still no ride available
- Difficult to rely on paratransit for regular employment
- Difficult for people with no cell phone; no way to contact paratransit when left stranded
- Challenge mayor/councilor to use paratransit for a month
- Need extended customer service hours
- Dry-cleaned seats cause sensitivity to riders (need to let people know when this is affecting their bus)
- Scent-free buses
- Why do ODSP recipients pay for paratransit?
- No response from city or AODA or London Transit to enquiries or concerns
- Trouble getting rides home at night
- Why no online-booking? (but need phone booking too for those without internet access)
- Huge issue that crosses over to all other areas of life
- Need more coordinated trips
- More buses required
- More money required
- Paratransit changes times/schedules without informing clients
- Booking process (mentioned every session, every group and came up in every topic)
- No availability for spontaneous outings
- Develop an app to bookparatransit, see where the vehicle is, track the ride
- Allegation of assault levied during one event
- If the City funds the majority of the service, they should control it
• No way of knowing when a ride is appearing -- you have to wait, often outside in inclement weather for the ride
• If you miss it, or they show up early, you're punished
• If you "miss" too many rides, you're kicked off the system -- which is unfair to those with children, etc.
• You can't schedule sick days three days in advance
• The subscription service is OK, but still has many of the aforementioned challenges
• Why can't there be an app, like Uber, that lets you know where your driver is

Regular transit
• Strollers placed in accessible spaces
• New buses are actually less comfortable for wheelchair riders
• All wheelchairs located on one side of the bus
• Buses "racing" over train grades, causing jostling of those in wheelchairs
• Sidewalk obstruction due to snow clearing, garbage day
• Electronic signage boards need to be working well at Dundas/Richmond and be visible from all corners so that disabled pedestrians don't have to walk between corners to find which bus of their three options is next
• Clearer north/south, east/west bus routes
• Downtown new LTC office is accessible
• Need more wheelchair accessible taxis/ride-sharing (only nine licensed in the city)
• On buses, the stop request signs—can’t see what the next stop is once it has been triggered. Is this a solvable technical issue?
• Free transit for those 65+ and people with disabilities in Scotland
• Time to get from A to B on a regular bus takes way more time
• If there are too many people on the bus, wheelchair-bound riders are left at the stop
• One respondent called ahead of time, stating that a group of people who use wheelchairs were interested in attending an event and using the bus to get there. Tried to pre-schedule a trip -- was told not to bother, they'd leave more than half on the side of the road
• Trouble with LTC drivers not putting down ramp or asking people to move from accessible spots. Wheelchair users being left on curb when others taking up the accessible spots
• Need a means to report problem drivers and buses that is user-friendly and monitored
• Seats necessary at bus shelters
• Attitude of driver dropping someone off in the street (cab/Uber)
• Bus shelters are inadequate
• Bus stops are often blocked by construction/snow
• Bus route changes aren't clearly communicated (one respondent told of a blind man who waited for three hours at a bus stop which had been moved due to construction.)
• When planning BRT -- concerned regarding use of technology to speed up traffic that must take into account the time needed to cross intersections (bus transponders?)
• Accessibility doesn't seem to be a part of BRT -- one proposed Richmond street area will create barriers for people trying to cross the street

**Employment**
• Need to educate employers for understanding ways to accommodate people with disabilities who can do the job but need some modifications
• Mandating that accessibility to job interviews/training etc. should be looked upon as negative or a black mark against the prospective job seeker
• Allowing communicative devices for deaf clients to be used in the workplace
• City must be a leader for employing people with disabilities
• Challenge employers to adapt so that shorter shifts or more part-time opportunities are available
• Challenge employers to help solve the job situation by creating a disability percentage
• Enforcement of AODA standards for employment
• More supportive employment agency geared specifically for people with disabilities
• More diversity in type of employment needs
• All City of London jobs seem to require a driver’s license to apply online (very limiting for those with disabilities)
• Employers not flexible with work hours so that employee can access transportation
• Lack of transportation (even to job fair!!)
• Individual told outright “we don’t know how we can accommodate you”...more education and support needed
• Need accessible doorways/automatic buttons
• Bigger meeting spaces
• Teleconference resources
• Workplace compensation/accommodation
• Flexible schedules (especially to accommodate transportation)
• Opportunity to work from home
• Workplace committee to deal with duty to accommodate/requirements for new construction of workplaces and for retrofits/standards in legislation/training of architects
• Communication between business, employees and customers in plain language
• Need affordable transportation to employment
• Transportation is an issue -- can't rely on paratransit to get to jobs, especially if they're shift-work or the times change

**Infrastructure**
• Curbs—install more of the new rubber corner aids at crosswalks
• Stop making new/repaired curbs inaccessible
• Park entrances and exits need to be properly graded
• Citizen line/website to report inaccessible curbs (like is done for potholes)
• Store entrances need to be accessible
• Construction; covering a pipe with stones does not make it passable
• Construction that covers/blocks a sidewalk must have an accessible cover so wheelchairs can pass over safely
• “Public” places need ramps and accessible doors
• Downtown curbs and sidewalks are terrible...cracks, slopes, curbs not to code
• Interlocking brick streets are awful (Richmond between York and King is essentially a slalom course)
• Many downtown buildings are not accessible
• Heritage prioritized over accessibility
• Even "accessible" washrooms aren't always accessible -- Victoria Park is an example. You can't close the washroom door in a stall, the turn radius is too small
• Roundabouts difficult for pedestrians with disabilities to navigate safely
• Snow clearing problematic especially at intersections (piles block sidewalks and curb cuts)
• Media weather should give info on sidewalk ice conditions for pedestrians as well as drivers
• Remind property owners that they cannot pile snow on public sidewalks (media campaign?)
• Encourage property owners and businesses to scrape snow right down to the sidewalk level (sidewalk plows leave a thin layer which can freeze or get compacted, making it difficult for those with mobility challenges to pass by)
• Streets without boulevards can be a problem in winter and on garbage day
• Accessible door buttons—who fixes them if they are incorrectly placed or not working?
• Need reporting system for code violations
• Accessible ramps, washrooms, menus in all businesses and entertainment venues
• Accessible washrooms at festivals and special events
• Accessible parking —need proper size, signage, ramps and location
• Need better awareness of the differences in accessible parking spots (those with the diagonal lines are intended for vehicles with ramps)
• Rail crossings are terrible for people with wheelchairs -- a very real concern (ex. train tracks near Western Fair, train tracks at Ridout near the Copp's) that a wheel could get stuck in the grooves and leave one at risk of getting hit
• Can we better inform people about construction and access barriers? Better use of online? Push notifications? Putting up a sign the block before a barrier so that people with mobility issues don't have to get to the point of the barrier only to have to turn back?
• Any notifications must be respectful of those without electronic access due to physical, mental, or financial restrictions
• Bus route changes aren't clearly communicated (one respondent told of a blind man who waited for three hours at a bus stop which had been moved due to construction.)
• Full communication access in every business and entertainment venue
• Lighting conducive to deaf people to communicate around a table (often too dark!)
• “Get a pass when you eat here’—cannot use for the movie you want to see (without pass too expensive)
• Safety of downtown especially for vulnerable people
• Accessible washrooms locked at Central Library during this Open House
• Mall restrooms without power buttons on doors
• More accessible restrooms (unlocked) in public buildings/spaces
• More accessible pools
• Southcrest pool is fantastic!!
• Support/promote portable ramps for businesses
• Curbs/sidewalks in downtown are NOT accessible
• The standard for curb-cuts in this city are inadequate
• Timing for street crossing. There is not enough time to cross (e.g. Wonderland and Oxford near Hutton House)
• Crossing times are too short for people with mobility challenges, including Parkinson's
• Need better indications for pedestrians of intersections with advanced left turns. Often no signage in the pedestrian view
• Need a way to report problem intersections
• Does Chantal work with public planners?
• When planning BRT, concerned re use of technology to speed up traffic that must take into account the time needed to cross intersections (bus transponders?)
• Accessibility doesn't seem to be a part of BRT -- one proposed Richmond street area will create barriers for people trying to cross the street
• Do we have traffic data analysis to monitor our intersections? If we do, what happens with that data?
• Walkable communities are more accessible by nature
• Need visible corners. Clear obstructions (e.g. hedges)
• Snow removal often makes sidewalks and streets inaccessible
• Need more accessible (and close) parking at hospitals
• Need better way to address disruptions caused by construction that blocks an accessible route
• Accessible infrastructure for new sub-divisions. Consider grading
• Bike routes could keep sidewalks safer
• Align building entrances with access points like parking lots, sidewalks, etc....is there a way to require this to be reviewed by people with disabilities or city support staff?
• Should Public Engineering be required to pass a course in this so it’s done right the first time?
• Lack of sidewalks along Wellington S. near Dearness
• City hospitals are not accessible. Long distances to walk, restrooms not adequate
• Could there not be a group with lived experience who can review and test proposed building plans?
• Can we incentivize developers to make accessibility a part of their designs?
• Can we mandate a certain percentage of a development to be accessible?
Housing

- There is a 10-15 year wait for independent living
- Serious shortage of accessible, affordable housing
- Aging population will increase the need for this type of housing
- Need variety of housing models: flex, co-op, etc
- Houses need to be visitable (better social opportunities)
- Share your home model—Alice Saddy Association is a share provider
- Grey Street Housing—no management/criminal activity
- Verbal abuse from other tenants
- Government help for home modifications
- Wait list for years even with severe disabilities
- Apartment entrances need ramps and door buttons
- Lack of geared to income housing
- More affordable, accessible housing
- Remove bylaw re: minimum separation distances for group homes
- Incorporate accessible housing/group homes into city planning
- Enforcement of AODA/Building Code for new buildings and renovations
- Universal design for new buildings
- How to get more affordable and accessible housing. Include all types of housing: apartments, condos, single family
- Is the legislation clear enough on requirements for new, grandfathering and retro-fitting
- Who inspects to see that rules are followed?
- Raise the number of accessible units mandatory in apartment buildings and housing complexes
- Drop houses to ground level
- Make builders aware of need for accessible units and how sellable they are
- Funding has been cut for March of Dimes so very little funding available for renovations
- Filling out applications at the right time is a barrier
- Ontario Renovates runs out of money and funding is only for removable items...no structural changes allowed
- Building code: change it. Ground-level entrance, wider doorways and hallways, bathroom larger
- Make new homes easier to become accessible
- Money is the barrier!!!
- Research accessibility needs so we have facts to establish policy changes/building code changes/funding increases
- How can city support/advocate for funding/support from agencies and other levels of government?
- How can city form connections?
- Unsafe houses (e.g. group homes)
• Shelters are inaccessible. One homeless person with a disability was unable to access supports due to accessibility barriers

**Attitudinal Barriers**

• Need more public awareness campaigns (as we do for bicycles/motorcycles) to address rude pedestrians and multi-purpose path sharing
• Sensitization march for inclusion
• Video series and lived experience stories
• Radio/TV advocacy for awareness
• Need grassroots advocacy effort
• Need assistance from city for community events to provide accessibility accommodations
• Assistance from city to make neighbourhood associations accessible to all
• Is there assistance from the city to help people with disabilities submit paperwork for grants?
• More outreach and ways to give feedback to ACCAC and city staff
• Our post-secondary campuses seem to be doing a good job with accessibility
• Airshow was very welcoming for people with disabilities
• Limited access to entertainment due to ODSP
• Need public education campaign (like they do for school buses) to make people aware of needs of people with disabilities while navigating our streets and public places
• ACCAC not accessible to everyone
• No one to listen to our concerns
• Make services for those with disabilities more widely known ie garbage/mail pick up at door
• Let people know about accommodations available
• Lack of education re: reasons for needs for sidewalk changes in neighbourhoods (Oakridge)
• Attitude toward mentally ill. No supports in place to take someone off the street into services. They can’t make that leap on their own
• Need supportive housing (not just for seniors!!)
• Other issue is for the general population using the streets safely in the downtown among the mentally ill. It is scary for the public
• Denial of disability makes it high risk for everyone
• Need for public education re: all disabilities (dementia, mental health, TBI, included)
• ODSP is insufficient. Costs out of whack
• Automated phone systems not user-friendly for people with hearing loss, processing disorders, etc. It seems that efficiency of organization trumps needs of population ie. Pin #'s, automated operators, etc.
• Need education about disability...people with disabilities need to be visible
• Increase spectrum programming to include periodic recreational/leisure trips
• Better awareness of people with other disabilities -- Parkinson's, for example, often gets misinterpreted in the general public

Online Survey Results

What do you feel is (are) the biggest issues relating to accessibility in the City of London?

• Transportation -- lack of accessible taxi services and limits to paratransit
• Getting existing building updated.
• Education and understanding of the issues faced by individuals and organizations (the City).
• Pedestrian accessibility and transportation
• For us, it is road safety at intersections. There seems to be reluctance to assist with our accessibility by installing four-way stops.
• Transportation -- more paratransit buses are needed
• A greater focus on the development of walkable neighbourhoods -- walkable neighbourhoods are also better for wheelchair/walker/cane/scooter users when walkways, stores etc are also accessible. Residential neighbourhoods that are in walkable range of grocery stores, libraries, drugstores reduce the need for longer distance transportation vehicles and reduce the fatigue for disabled individuals, and the dependence on others for basic needs. This also means that persons with disabilities interact with neighbours in the course of their daily lives, resulting in greater understanding and acceptance of persons with disabilities.
• Accessibility on sidewalks during construction. Transportation. Overloaded paratransit system, lack of digital booking options for paratransit, decreasing urgency installing sidewalks, snow removal/clearing, stigma in employment/lack of employment opportunities, limited implementation of existing AODA standards.
• One issue two tide accessible transit and accessible apartments
• Lack of employment opportunities for those with disabilities
• Buildings are either not accessible or say they are but not what people with disabilities really need.
• Transportation, employment
• The complete lack of awareness by our ENTIRE City Council to the issues.
• Access to timely transportation
• Transportation in winter for wheelchair users. Paratransit for full time workers that use wheelchairs needs improvement.
• The six to seven-year waiting list for subsidized housing.
Do you have any additional comments, suggestions, ideas, or statements that you would like to make regarding the state of accessibility in the City of London?

"Why can't the City mandate upgrades to existing building be done prior to AODA coming into effect. Give building owners incentives (or disincentives) for making changes sooner rather than later. It's been years since its introduction and it seems that everyone is just waiting till the drop dead date to make improvements to existing properties."

"More and ongoing opportunities for those living with disabilities to speak and voice their concerns is needed. Also, follow up and feedback to those voicing concerns is important. People need to know they are heard."

"There should be more effort made to better include people with various disabilities to accommodate all motor & non-motor issues. This effort should go well beyond just participating on various committees."

"It seems that if someone has a physical disability, it is more noticeable and accommodations are made to assist with accessibility. HOWEVER, cognitive issues are more difficult to notice and can be more easily ignored from an accessibility standpoint."

"London is a leader in accessibility but I wonder if people looking into doing renovations could be encouraged to consult the City before instituting accessibility measures to get it right."

"AAC should be given stronger recommendation powers within city hall -- as a purely advisory committee, too many good ideas/suggestions/criticisms are 'noted and filed' by council and not acted upon."

"Ask people with disabilities their expert opinion on how things should be accessible and not guess what they need."

"If people with disabilities can have complete access to transportation it could help them to find gainful employment, then, many other issues will also be resolved."

"We are still years behind. INVEST NOW."

"All city counselors should have to take paratransit to understand the short fall in funding."

"Accessible buses are great, but bus stops are impassible for days after snowfall."

"Suggest City Council consider a by-law to make at least 10 per cent of residential rental units RGI (rent geared to income)"
**Post Open-House Feedback**

This includes responses from Cheshire London, Anova, and three community members.

**Paratransit**
Consumer was missed in the morning because they showed up 15min before his booking was done. Afternoon had been automatically cancelled since it was a no show in the morning.

Winter time: Consumer was supposed to be picked up at 4:30PM in downtown, Paratransit did not show up, when called and asked where they are. Answer was they had to dispatch the busto Woodstock. Consumer was outside in the cold for 5hrs waiting in the cold, not able to feel cold or heat.

Riders are concerned about their safety on buses. They want to be free from physical/sexual violence, harassment, and verbal abuse.

Patients that need to be at the hospital for dialysis can’t get rides and the cost of taking cabs is too much.

There needs to be medical transportation for seniors that’s affordable.

The new telephone booking system is hard to use and is creating a barrier due to holding for long periods of time, difficulty canceling rides, rides booked up before getting through, and having to need assistance of another person to make calls to get through.

Sometimes rides are booked way before person needs to leave their home which creates another barrier for people that are chronically ill or have personal care needs. They cannot be out too long.

Paratransit has been better at picking up people in the past year.

**Taxi Cabs**
Call was placed for a Van who is also taking service dogs. Driver not educated to get a ServiceDog in the car. The driver did not feel the need to take the dog’s leash. Dog was getting dangerously close to the road. Staff ran out to make sure the service dog got in the car and advised the driver of the importance of this dog for the owner.

**Conventional Transit**
Winter time: Consumer got stuck with his wheelchair in the snow; Bus driver ignored the situation and closed the door. Consumer and Service Dog where stuck in the cold.

Strollers on conventional transit take up space for those with mobility issues, especially those with wheelchairs and scooters. Bus drivers need to ensure that those with strollers/other
collapsible items collapse them to make room for chairs and scooters. The sign on the bus is not enough to get people with strollers to move. When not done people with chairs or scooters are left at the stop and this becomes an even worse problem in the winter.

Riders are concerned about their safety on buses. They want to be free from physical/sexual violence, harassment, etc.

**Housing**
Lack of accessible showers in two bedroom apartments

Cigarette smoke seeping into hallways and apartment units is buildings aggravates those with breathing and health issues. There isn’t much done to alleviate this.

Likes the idea of having students residing in senior residences for cheaper rent

Wished there was a sliding scale for rent in the private building market. It would open up housing opportunities and in better kept apartment buildings

There’s a need for safe accessible affordable housing

**Entertainment**
Many of the restaurants on Richmond are not accessible by wheelchair and their washrooms are not accessible to people with mobility issues

The Taco Bell on Oxford East isn’t accessible

**Built Environment**
Something needs to be done about icy sidewalks in the winter

**Social Services**
City’s application process to get medical devices (e.g. orthotics) is very intrusive into an individual’s finances. Other places only ask for the individual’s income

**Community Involvement**
Being able to use Skype or other technologies to have meetings for advisory and working groups (not everyone has access to transportation to attend meetings in person)

Having the City up-date access to the internet at all facilities. Some provide better internet service than others. The Kiwanis Senior Community Centre has extremely poor internet service.
Survey Results (Satisfaction Metrics)

Central Oct. 3 at 1 (n=2)
- Location 9.5
- Time 9.5
- Facilitation of Event 9
- Quality of Discussion 7.5
- Opportunity to Speak 8
- Amenities (snacks/beverages) 9
- Interaction with Facilitators 9

Central Oct. 3 at 6 (n=1)
- Location 8
- Time 8
- Facilitation of Event 8
- Quality of Discussion 8
- Opportunity to Speak 8
- Amenities (snacks/beverages) 8
- Interaction with Facilitators 8

Medway (n=2)
- Location 4.5
- Time 5.5
- Facilitation of Event 6.5
- Quality of Discussion 7.5
- Opportunity to Speak 5.5
- Amenities (snacks/beverages) 5
- Interaction with Facilitators 5

North London Optimist (n=5)
- Location 7.6
- Time 7
- Facilitation of Event 8.2
- Quality of Discussion 7.8
- Opportunity to Speak 8.6
- Amenities (snacks/beverages) 7.6
- Interaction with Facilitators 7.8
South London Optimist (n=1)
- Location 9
- Time 9
- Facilitation of Event 9
- Quality of Discussion 9
- Opportunity to Speak 9
- Amenities (snacks/beverages) 8
- Interaction with Facilitators 9

Survey Results Charts

Location of Attendance

For the chart above, the details are as follows:
- Eight respondents did not attend a session
- Five attended at North London Optimist Community Centre
- Two attended at Medway Community Centre
- Two attended the 1:30 p.m. Central Library event
- One attended the South London Community Centre event
- One attended the 6 p.m. Central Library event
Are You a Resident of London?

For the above chart, 16 respondents identified as residents of the City of London; three said they were not.
What is Your Age Range?

For the above graphic, the responses were as follows:

- Five were between the ages of 45 and 54
- Four were between the ages of 55 and 64
- Four were between the ages of 65 and 74
- Two were between the ages of 35 and 44
- Two were between the ages of 25 and 34
- One was between the ages of 18 and 24
- One was between the ages of 75 and 84
For the chart above, asking what was the attendee's primary reason for attendance, the answers were as follows:

- Six have a disability
- Five work for an agency or organization related to accessibility or provides services for people with disabilities
- Two are interested in the issue of accessibility in London
- Two work with/for a person or people with disabilities
- Two live with or care for a person with disabilities
- One has been living below the poverty line for over 20 years.
Gibbons Park
Enhancements

Accessibility Advisory Committee Meeting
November 23, 2017

Purpose of Meeting

- Review the Context of the Project
  - What is included in the Project Scope?
  - Share the intended design plans.

- AODA Consultation
  - Project deliverables applicable to AODA.
  - Building design compliance to OBC and FADS.

- Provide information on Next Steps
  - When and how will the project move forward.
Project Scope of Work

- Fieldhouse Designs
  - Replacement of existing fieldhouse along Thames Valley Parkway
    - New gender neutral washrooms; one barrier-free and two accessibility enhanced
    - Year round fieldhouse – pilot project
  - New fieldhouse near Play Structure and Spray Pad
    - Gender neutral washrooms; one barrier-free and two accessibility enhanced
    - Seasonal building design

Site Layout

Legend
1. Existing Play Structure
2. Existing Spray Pad
3. Existing Bathhouse & Pool
4. Replace Fieldhouse A
5. Existing West Parking Lot
6. Existing East Parking Lot
7. Existing Gazebo
8. Existing Pathways
9. NEW Fieldhouse B

london.ca
Fieldhouse Washroom
Building Design

Fieldhouse A
Fieldhouse B (Option A)

Note: 2 possible path locations. Outcome to be determined based on Regulatory Requirements and Decisions.

Fieldhouse B (Option B)

Fieldhouse Washroom
Building Floor Plan

- Three Gender Neutral Washroom Stalls
  - One Barrier-Free Accessible
  - Two Accessibility Enhanced

- Hydration Station Including:
  - Drinking Fountain
  - Water Bottle Filler

london.ca
Next Steps

Tender Preparation
December 2017

Complete Construction
Tendering Process-
Fieldhouse A and B
January 2018

Complete Construction
Phasing Timelines
Fieldhouse A: March - May 2018
Fieldhouse B: March - June 2018

london.ca

*Pending Final Approvals