



Waste Management Community Liaison Committee Meeting #5 Summary



Meeting #5 Monday February 26, 2018
 6:00 pm – 7:30 pm
 Location: Covent Garden Market

CLC Member Attendance: Skylar Franke (Co-Chair), Dave Raney, Mike George, Toni Krahn, Derek Armstrong, Marie Ross

City Staff Attendance: Jay Stanford, Wesley Abbott, Jane Kittmer

Previous Meeting November 20, 2017

Item #	Item
1	CALL TO ORDER
a	Review of Agenda <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>No items added</i>
b	Items that Must be Added to this Agenda <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>No items added</i>
c	Approval of notes from November 20, 2017 meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Notes approved</i>
2	ITEMS FOR THIS AGENDA
	Community Engagement Update including results of Open House 2 and Home Show <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Home Show was very successful at obtaining feedback – over 550 responses. A small blue box was provided to those who provided feedback. 80% of people want changes to waste diversion programs.</i> ▪ <i>Open House 2 was also very successful with 72 visitors and 34 responses.</i> ▪ <i>Mattresses, carpet, wood furniture etc are considered as potential City of London driven diversion programs because producer funded programs are several years away for these items.</i>
3	STANDING ITEMS
a	Update Resource Recovery Strategy (Between November 20, 2017 and February 23, 2018)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Information provided</i> ▪ <i>The organics program must be ready to be implemented by 2022. This isn't enough time to implement a new process (mixed processing) in London. We need to choose an option that won't trap us into something long-term. Orgaworld, Stormfisher, perhaps something further away or a small organics handling facility around W12A would be short-term options.</i> ▪ <i>The steps to achieve 60% diversion won't be a big surprise. Options presented on the boards at the Open House and the Home Show result in enough diversion to reach 60%. Some of the options presented on the boards at the Open House and the Home Show will be community driven without City involvement. A detailed report will be created for council outlining the initiatives to achieve 60% diversion.</i>
b	<p>Next Steps – Resource Recovery Strategy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Information provided</i> ▪ <i>The 60% Diversion Plan will aim for 60%, but will be flexible so that we can increase beyond 60% diversion.</i> ▪ <i>The Resource Recovery Strategy will be a document outlining a 10 year time frame which will be re-evaluated regularly and request public input.</i>
c	<p>Update – Residual Waste Disposal Strategy – EA for a Proposed Landfill Expansion (Between November 20, 2017 and February 23, 2018)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Information provided</i> ▪ <i>General comments from the Open House included: preference for going up rather than out for the landfill expansion; the environmental components that people are most concerned about are groundwater quality, aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems and air quality; the environmental components that people are least concerned about are cultural heritage resources and landscapes and archaeology.</i> ▪ <i>We are creating the Draft Terms of Reference document to provide to the public and government review team. Hope to have this finalized and ready for submission to the Minister of the Environment and Climate Change by June 2018. The election could interfere if responses from the government review team aren't received quickly. Council may not be able to approve the report if it's too close to the election.</i>
d	<p>Next Steps – Residual Waste Disposal Strategy – EA for a Proposed Landfill Expansion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Information provided</i> ▪ <i>Request for Information (RFI) will be issued to service providers to capture new, emerging and next generation waste diversion and resource recovery technologies.</i>
4	CLC DISCUSSION
a	Discussion about 60% Diversion Plan and the individual components that will make up the plan (i.e., encourage any remaining CLC members to go on-line and submit

	<p>their answers/comments. Also, consider creating a collective CLC response that considers all questions and is based on the average score of the CLC).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>CLC members group response is shown on Attachment A</i>
b	<p>Discuss 2018 plan for the CLC group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Meetings will be held when there is new information to provide to the group.</i> <p>Meeting dates for 2018</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Next meeting will likely be in April when the Draft Terms of Reference is ready to submit to public and government review team.</i> ▪ <i>Meeting date will be decided by Doodle Poll.</i> <p>Fill vacancies in membership</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>5 vacant spots will be advertised on getinvolved.london.ca specifically looking for 1 or 2 members from a community association, 1 member from the local business community, 1 member from the W12A PLC and 1 member at large</i>
5	ADDITIONAL BUSINESS
a	<p>Key items for next meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Status of Draft Terms of Reference
b	<p>Date of next meeting: in April 2018, exact date to be determined by Doodle Poll</p> <p>5:30 pm Food, mingle/network time</p> <p>6:00 pm Meeting</p>

**Attachment A
CLC Members Group Response to 60% Diversion Plan**

60% Diversion Plan Feedback Results of CLC Members

#1 Getting to 60% by 2022		Count	Percentage
\$0 per household per year		0	0%
\$1 to \$25 per household per year		3	50%
\$26 to \$50 per household per year		3	50%
\$51 to \$75 per household per year		0	0%
\$76 to \$100 per household per year		0	0%
Total Votes		6	

#2 Food Waste Avoidance		Count	Percentage
No Change	\$0, 0% Diverted	0	0%
Moderate Program	\$1 per household, 0.12% Diverted	5	83%
Significant Program	\$7 per household, 1.3% Diverted	1	17%
Total Votes		6	

#3 Home Composting		Count	Percentage
No Change	\$0, 0% Diverted	2	33%
Moderate Program	\$0.75 per household, 0.2% Diverted	3	50%
Significant Program	\$1.20 per household, 0.7% Diverted	1	17%
Total Votes		6	

#4 Community Composting		Count	Percentage
No Change	\$0, 0% Diverted	3	50%
Low Tech, Private	\$0.01 per household, 0.01% Diverted	2	33%
Low Tech, Public	\$0.15 per household, 0.01% Diverted	1	17%
High Tech, Public	\$0.45 per household, 0.1% Diverted	0	0%
Total Votes		6	

#5 City Wide Organics - Curbside Program		Count	Percentage
No Change	\$0, 0% Diverted	0	0%
Green Bin Program	\$20 per household, 9% Diverted	6	100%
Mixed Waste Program	\$40 per household, 14% Diverted	0	0%
Total Votes		6	

#6 City Wide Organics - Multi-Residential Program		Count	Percentage
No Change	\$0, 0% Diverted	1	17%
Green Bin Program	\$7 per household, 1.5% Diverted	5	83%
Mixed Waste Program	\$14 per household, 5% Diverted	0	0%
Total Votes		6	

#7 A&B Other Recyclables		Count	Percentage
No Change	\$0, 0% Diverted	0	0%
	\$0.30 to \$0.80 per household, 0.1%		
Carpet	Diverted	6	100%
Mattresses and Box Springs	\$3 to \$6 per household, 0.3% to 0.6% Diverted	6	100%
Wood Furniture	\$0.05 to \$0.50 per household, 0.1% Diverted	6	100%
Electrical Equipment	\$0.10 to \$0.60 per household, 0.1% to 0.2% Diverted	6	100%
Textiles	\$0.0 to \$0.60 per household, 0.2% to 0.5% Diverted	6	100%
Bulky Plastics	\$0.01 to \$0.40 per household, <0.1% Diverted	0	0%
Total Voters*		6	

* all voters preferred "significant" resources to be invested

#8 Other Waste Reduction Initiatives		Count	Percentage
Lending Libraries	\$0.25 to \$0.50 per household	5	83%
Repair Workshops	\$0.25 to \$0.50 per household	5	83%
Promote Reuse Events	\$0.25 to \$0.50 per household	5	83%
Waste Reduction Education/Outreach	\$0.55 to \$1.10 per household	6	100%
Total Voters**		6	

** 17% of voters preferred "significant" resources to be invested, 66% of voters preferred "moderate" resources to be invested, 17% of voters preferred "low" resources to be invested

#9 Waste Reductions Policies and By-Laws		Count	Percentage
Expand and enforce material bans		5	83%
Clear bags for garbage		2	33%
Reduce garbage container limits		4	67%
User pay (pay per bag or container)		3	50%
Performance - based incentives		2	33%
Total Votes		6	