

A.007/12 Alanna Riley

то:	CHAIR AND MEMBERS - PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE
FROM:	JOHN M. FLEMING DIRECTOR, LAND USE PLANNING AND CITY PLANNER
SUBJECT:	APPLICATION BY: UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO 1465 RICHMOND STREET MEETING ON MAY 28, 2012

### **RECOMMENDATION**

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Land Use Planning and City Planner in response to the letter of appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board, submitted by the University of Western Ontario relating to Minor Variance A. 007/12 concerning 1465 Richmond Street, the Ontario Municipal Board **BE ADVISED** that the Municipal Council supports the Decision of the London Committee of Adjustment and that the City Solicitor be directed to provide legal representation at the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing to support the London Committee of Adjustment's decision, and that the City Solicitor retain professional planning advice in support of this matter.

#### **BACKGROUND**

On January 18, 2012, an application for minor variance was submitted for 1465 Richmond Street by the University of Western Ontario, requesting permission to construct an institutional building with a south interior side yard setback of 0.5m (1.6') whereas 13.2m (43.4') is required to an Open Space Zone line and an east interior side yard setback of 0.5m (1.6') whereas 13.2m (43.3;) is required to an Open Space zone line.

The Official Plan designation is Regional Facility and Open Space. The zone is Regional Facility.

At its meeting of March 12, 2012, the London Committee of Adjustment REFUSED the application for 140 North Centre Road for the following reasons:

- 1) The requested variance does not meet the general intent and purpose of the Zoning Bylaw:
- 2) The requested minor variance is not minor in nature.


Planning Staff supported the request for minor variance. Comments submitted to the Committee of Adjustment were as follows:

## **REQUESTED VARIANCES**


- 1. To permit a south interior side yard setback of 0.5m (1 .6') whereas 13.2rn (43.4') is required to an Open Space Zone line; and
- 2. To permit an east interior side yard setback of 0.5m (1 .6') whereas 13.2m (43.4') is required to an Open Space zone line.

### **PURPOSE OF VARIANCES**

To permit reduced side yards to allow the construction of an institutional building for medical students.



# A.007/12 Alanna Riley



### **EVALUATION**

In order for this application to be acceptable as a minor variance under the provisions of Section 45 of the Planning Act, the following requirements must be met:


- Is the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan maintained?
- Is the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law maintained?
- Is the variance minor in nature? and
- 1) 2) 3) 4) Is the variance desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land, building or structure?


### Official Plan

The Official Plan designation is Regional Facility and Open Space which permits the use.

## **Z**oning

The lands are zoned Regional Facility which permits the use.





A.007/12 Alanna Riley

The applicant is proposing to construct a institutional building for medical/dental students. However, during the site plan review stage, it was determined, a side yard setback is required as the subject site is located next to an Open Space zone. Conforming to the existing required setbacks would preclude constructing a building of the required size on this site.

The UTRCA has been actively involved in the site plan pre-consultation meetings and have no objection to this minor variance application.

This variance should not cause substantial detriment, hazard or annoyance nor detract from the character of nearby properties. The requested application should not have any significant impacts on adjacent properties and is a matter of local consideration.

The proposed minor variance conforms to the City of London Official Plan and Z.-1 Zoning Bylaw; the minor variance is desirable and minor in nature. The Planning and Development Department recommends the variance be approved.

It should be noted, through the site plan approval process, Parks Planning staff reviewed natural heritage and resolved that a full EIS was not required for this proposal based on the location of the building, parking and driveway.

The date for the hearing date for this appeal has been scheduled for July 4, 2012.

PREPARED BY:	SUBMITTED BY:		
ALANNA RILEY, MCIP, RPP	JIM YANCHULA, MCIP, RPP		
COMMUNITY PLANNING AND	MANAGER OF COMMUNITY PLANNING		
URBAN DESIGN SECTION	AND URBAN DESIGN SECTION		
RECOMMENDED BY:			
JOHN M. FLEMING, MCIP, RPP			
DIRECTOR, LAND USE PLANNING AND CITY PLANNER			

May 16, 2012 AR/ar

Y:\Shared\implemen\VARIANCE\OMB\A.007-12\1465 Richmond Street\OMB PEC Report.doc