

I would like this to be on the public record.

Councillors  
and  
Planning Staff involved in  
8076 Longwoods Rd Re-Zoning

Among all the stuff I don't understand in what council is trying to do by not only rewarding someone in violation of the bylaws by allowing them to completely disregard the law, but planning and council are also going to reward them with an abattoir. This seems to be a trend with the governments and certain groups these days.

But what I really don't understand is why does the city bylaws even have a zoning that DOESN'T allow livestock (AG4). The way Anna Hopkins talks.. it is obsolete.

There must be a reason that this bylaw does exist. Hmmm

Could it be... So livestock isn't raised on a property to small to properly deal with livestock ?

Hmmm,, or could it be that in areas that have urban growth, where livestock would cause a problem, that the zoning could be used to allow for any other viable farm use other than problematic livestock operations?

Maybe the problem here is that City Planers and councillors are just that: CITY people who don't realize that farming consists of more than just livestock!!!

For example: other Farming Operations

- fruit
- vegetables
- market gardening
- landscape products and sales
- cash crops
- sod sales
- flowers and greenhouses

Etc etc

SO ... You brilliant CITY people THINK a bit about why this Property was Zoned agriculture excluding livestock by your predecessors, and what its TRUE highest and best use is. And why people have been building and developing Residential properties in the area.... Which they HAVE BEEN AND ARE STILL DOING (Council totally lied about that in its comments this morning,, ) IT'S BECAUSE IT MADE SENSE AND THE ZONING EXISTS FOR A REASON . Livestock doesn't make sense in an area that was annexed for the purpose of urban growth. FOR YOUR INFORMATION THERE IS HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF ACRES OF LIVESTOCK-ZONED AGRICULTURAL LAND JUST OUTSIDE THE CITY BOUNDARIES!!!!!!!!!!

Steve Saker  
Neighbor & Realtor