TO: CHAIR AND MEMBERS
COMMUNITY AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES COMMITTEE
MEETING ON JUNE 5, 2017

FROM: LONDON POLICE SERVICE

SUBJECT COMMUNITY POLICING PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM

RECOMMENDATION

That, on the recommendation of the Chief of Police, the following action be taken with respect to the Contractual Agreement and Schedules in relation to the Community Policing Partnership Program:

(a) the attached by-law BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting on June 13, 2017 to approve the agreement noted above between Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario as represented by the Minister of the Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services, The Corporation of the City of London and the London Police Services Board; and,

(b) the Mayor and City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute the said agreement on behalf of The Corporation of the City of London.

PREVIOUS REPORTS PERTINENT TO THIS MATTER


BACKGROUND

In November, 1998, the Province introduced the Community Policing Partnerships (CPP) Program. The program was initiated as a five-year partnership between the province and municipalities where the Ontario Government provides fifty percent of salary and benefit costs to a maximum of $30,000 per allocated officer. The program is intended to increase police presence and support targeted enforcement initiatives addressing high crime areas such as residential break and enters, vehicle thefts, crimes impacting neighbourhoods, and street crime. The London Police Service receives funding for 30 front-line officers representing annual revenue of $900,000.


It is anticipated that this grant will be replaced in 2018 by the Police Effective and Modernization Grant (PEM). There are no guarantees that the same level of funding will be maintained after April 1, 2018.

Risk Management has reviewed the Indemnification clause in the agreement and provides the following information: “The Recipient and the Board each hereby agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Indemnified Parties from and against any and all liability, loss, costs, damages, and expenses (including legal, expert and consultant fees), causes of action, actions, claims, demands, lawsuits, or other proceedings, by whomever made, sustained, incurred, brought, or
prosecuted, in any way arising out of or in connection with the Project or otherwise in connection with the Agreement, unless solely caused by the negligence or wilful misconduct of the Indemnified Parties.”

In the opinion of Corporate Insurance/Risk Management, the indemnity clause should not prevent the Board from moving forward with final approval of this agreement, as the potential benefit of the funds outweigh the associated risk from the indemnity clause.

The Recipient and the Board will mitigate risks associated with this transfer payment agreement by using the optimum level of oversight, control and discipline enabling us to manage risk in changing environments and help provide the proper level of assessment to ensure that the planning outcomes meet the necessary objectives. This will be done using clearly defined expectations of the objectives, functions, and eligibility criteria for all planning activities that are supported by this transfer payment agreement.”

RECOMMENDED BY:

John Pare M.O.M., CHIEF, LONDON POLICE SERVICE