

Kallie P. Miller  
19-925 Lawson Road  
London, Ontario, N6G 4X3

April 29, 2012

To: The Mayor and Councillors of the City of London

I want to know why your Water Quality Manager has not done his due diligence and discovered that there are no toxicology/safety studies on the long term use of hydrofluorosilicic acid, a toxic waste that cannot be put into our rivers or lakes environmentally yet you can put it in our drinking water. Approximately 1% of the water is ingested by humans and the remaining 99% goes into the environment.

Dan Huggins in emails has explained that the NSF 60 Certificate requires toxicology/safety studies for long term use of HFSA so it is safe. He never did his due diligence and checked it out as I did. THERE ARE NO TOXICOLIGY/SAFETY STUDIES. He was told there were no safety studies.

This is an issue that affects every citizen in London from a fetus to until we die. Yet there were councillors who would not meet with us so we could tell them what my group, Safe Water London had found.

London's fluoridation product, hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFSA) is not regulated by Health Canada, and has no safety (toxicology) studies, a fact acknowledged by Stan Hazan, General Manager, Drinking Water Additives Certification Program, National Sanitation Foundation (NSF) in a 2004 disposition to the US Congress. Furthermore, in January 2007 NSF indicated it does not evaluate the safety of chemicals, such as HFSA, added to water for the purpose of the treatment or mitigation of disease in humans. NSF limits its testing to contaminants (e.g., arsenic, lead, copper, See list on page 3) in the HFSA rather than the HFSA itself. As such, no fluorosilicic acid products are included in the NSF Product and Service Listings. This means there is no proof that HFSA has been evaluated by NSF for safety or toxicity in our drinking water. Therefore, the NSF certification of analysis does not provide proof of compliance of HFSA (**conformity**) to either NSF Standard 60 or the legal requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act of Ontario, Section 20.

I do not know how any councillors but two will vote at the city council meeting tomorrow. I just want to know how any councillor can possibly vote to keep this untested toxic waste in our drinking water with no safety studies. Fluoridated toothpaste is safe and effective and available for those that want it. In closing, I don't think giving unsafe drinking water to the poor is looking after them. I have hope they use the Precautionary Principle and stop fluoridating.

Regards

Kallie Miller  
19-925 Lawson Rod  
London, ON N6G 4X3