

Hello Allister and Bruce.

I would like to have these comments added to the package for planning committee members and ensure that they become a part of the public record.

Some of the commentary is the same or similar to that which I sent you the other day but did not hear back from you after this.

I have a couple of things that I have noticed from the recommendation for 160 Sunningdale that you sent out the other day to Matt Brown:

1. Firstly I would like the wording changed in the recommendation. It currently suggests that the community residents "support" the proposal. In actual fact we are not opposing it contingent on the reduced height and zoning amendments to the single family residential from R-1-5 to R-1-6. There is a difference. We were careful in our choice of words and the recommendation should reflect that.
2. Secondly, there is no mention of the Change to R-1-6 from R-1-5 in the recommendation from the city. This piece was paramount in our not opposing the development. It must be a part of the recommendation.
3. I would ask committee and council to re- affirm the special provision that was made for the property surrounding the original Tricar building on Richmond street. There are going to be more high density applications and this parcel (to the south, west and north of this building) must be protected.
4. I would ask that committee and council implement a similar provision to the west, south and east of this new development so that we do not end up with a wall of high density there. There is already enough high density proposed in the area to create a mix of housing.

Best Regards
Chris Callaghan,
SRCA