From: Sarah Peirce

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2016 5:18 PM

To: Lysynski, Heather <hlysynsk@London.ca>; Sandy Levin

**Subject: Invasive Species Comments** 

## Hello,

I am sorry that my comments are late. Please find attached my detailed comments for James in the PDF of the document. As for the agenda my main comments are as follows:

- The listing of policies and legislation in the report, while helpful, could be done more concisely and with greater purpose. For example, the section on the Urban Forest Strategy includes and introductory sentence and then states the relevant policies. This strategy would be helpful throughout the report, especially in areas where the application of policy and legislation is less obvious (i.e. not explicitly related to invasive species) or redundant.
- This document could be strengthened with references and more relevant/local examples.
- The outline of the strategic process is well done, with clear goals and purposes.
- The reasons for the priority species should be more clearly outlined.
- How will the 'watch-list' be used in the future? Should it be part of the recommendations?
- The other three priority species should be mentioned in the recommendations.

Best wishes, Sarah