

From: bill brock

Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2016 2:32 PM

To: City of London, Mayor <mayor@london.ca>

Cc: Zuidema, Art <azuidema@london.ca>; Saunders, Cathy <csaunder@london.ca>; Hayward, Martin <MHayward@London.ca>

Subject: Re: Shift Business case

To SP&P Committee Aug. 29, 2016

The issue is apparently a draft document from IBI Group about changes to "Business Case" or Shift from that approved by Council in November as the way to proceed. Normally these are internal documents with staff to revise original report given Council previous decision. The confusion from Mayor's letter implies drafts should go to Council for approval before public sees complete document. It is time to clarify what is administrative role and what is Council role! For those named above you need to present a report (full disclosure) identifying time lines from when business case was presented by London Transit and approved by City Council (2013) and then document all the steps where changes were made commencing in November of 2015 approved by Council.

This should include all the documents that Council or Councillors or staff (used in their discussions; individual or otherwise) to get buy in from community and other levels of government. As an aside, webcasts will indicate past discussions and or actions regarding this whole matter.

I just received a hard copy of the May 2016 Shift report. What was used to present other levels of government with a valid business case? Who (IBI) or staff prepared draft; noting it may be a draft submitted by IBI?

Before you approve this motion so draft can be made public you better get the facts straight and factual process as to what has gone on. Secondly this Council and senior staff better clarify the roles, openness and accountability of leadership now including individual Councillors acting without Council authority?

Note this is not an issue before the Compensation Task Force which is dealing only with dollars paid!