

Please add to agenda: Email presentation:  
To Planning & Environment Committee Meeting June 13, 2016

The following recommendations are made in light of the process followed ; noting that every presentation today, .in writing or in presentation allows those parties to appeal if something doesn't satisfy them after approved. The question is given all the issues raised why wouldn't you answer them first?

Recommendation #1: In Challenge section on page 9 quote " All Londoners want our city to be prosperous so that it can offer opportunity, employment, quality of life and wellness." The London Plan doesn't do that and any inference in this plan to "All Londoners" should be removed; thereby so recommended as first.

Recommendation # 2: That restriction of Londoners who did not participate in the process are restricted from raising any objections in the future be removed! Rationale; based on 222,000 voters (not 381,000 Londoners) only 20, 000 participated! Staff indicated may be high because duplication hard to monitor. City Council consistent with Provincial legislation has a policy that any Councillor at a committee or Council meeting who doesn't vote is recorded as negative! Over 90% didn't participate in the London Plan process and are automatically disqualified! This is wrong!. For a new Council that electioneered and was elected on being more transparent, open, inclusive and act in the best interests of all 381,000 Londoners hiding behind legislation is wrong! Also; according to staff the public notice only went to those involved, missing 90%.

Recommendation 3: Revamp the sales pitch to an analogy of the sand box and experience of Mississauga!

Reason for this is the public is being mislead. Map 1, pg.455 shows the city boundary which identifies Mississauga problem; they are there no place to go but up! London wants to build in the Urban Growth Boundary (can't force development ; it is permissive)! However it is okay for developers to build outside (Sifton's example) and possibly 3 more major malls which will certainly affect the core (negatively). Sandbox scenario is that you can pile all the sand you want in the middle but eventually the sand box will fill. You can't stop it; you may only delay it. Please today isn't a public relations tool it is a concern about real issues being ignored!

Bill Brock