

Good Afternoon,

Thanks for the opportunity to comment on the Urban Growth Boundary.

We support the efforts to bring business and growth opportunities to London.

We have expressed our concerns about how the city appears to downzone some privately held properties that are inside the urban growth boundary adjacent to existing services and developments and also south of 401. We would like the same opportunities as provided to others for development.

We are concerned with recommendation # 3 - *Other alternative locations across the city have been evaluated and were lower ranked against evaluation criteria that included market trends and demands regarding parcel sizes, locations, and servicing.* This recommendation has a number of biases as there are other opportunities that can and should be readily explored. In our case, our 85 and 95 acre properties are split in the middle by the urban growth boundary line. Perhaps these type of situations should be given a better rating.

With thanks for considering our comments

Jug Manocha
Ken Patpatia
3326 & 3326 Westminster Dr