

City of London
300 Dufferin Avenue
P.O. Box 5035
London, ON
N6A 4L9

Sept. 23, 2011

Attention: Clerk-City of London – C Saunders & B. Haklander Storm Water Management Unit

RE: NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT OF DRAINAGE WORKS
STANTON MUNICIPAL DRAIN, MAIN DRAIN, BRANCHES 'A', 'A1', 'B', 'B1', 'D', 'G' and
STANTON COUNTRY ROAD NO 20 MUNICIPAL DRAINS
BY-LAW NUMBERS: 2093, 4269, 5130 AND 6083
PROPERTY ADDRESS: 0 FANSHAWE PK W- 1422, 1426, 1430 F. Pk. Rd. W.
ROLL NUMBER: 090 465 144 06 0000

RE: Stanton Drain Re-designation letter of Aug. 30/2011 from Billy Haklander & Don Simpson & the Sept. 26/2011 meeting of the Built and Natural Environment Committee

I sent a notice of appeal to the Clerk's office (City of London) on Sept. 8, 2008 regarding the abandonment of Drainage works, namely Stanton County Rd. # 20 Municipal drain & others. I received an acknowledgement of receipt of appeal from the Clerk's office on Sept. 15, 2008.

Under Section 84(3) of the Drainage Act an appeal was filed with the Clerk's office (City of London) on Sept. 8 and this appeal was acknowledged by the Clerk's office. The City of London did not follow the requirements of Section 84 (3) of the Drainage Act which requires an engineering report be done. The City of London says in their letter of Aug. 30, 2011 that it is unable to consider the objection as a formal appeal. This statement is invalid under section 84 (3) of the Drainage Act and the city has no grounds to pass a bylaw for the abandonment of the Stanton Drain as they did not follow the requirements of 84 (3) . Section 84 (4) & (3) of the Drainage Act provides for a report similar to the report for the construction of a drainage works. Section 8 (3) & 84(3) of the Drainage Act provides for the appeal process & engineer's report which the City of London never followed and thus has no grounds to pass a bylaw for the abandonment of the Stanton Drain 20, etc.

I would strongly suggest that the Clerk of the City of London follow the laws in this drainage appeal which is the Drainage Act and its regulations. I would suggest you delay or cancel your Sept. 26, 2011 request to the Built and Natural Environment Committee until the proper procedures under the Drainage Act are followed in this matter & as proscribed by law. Your attempt to circumvent the Drainage Act and my rights under the Drainage Act should be taken seriously. I have tried over the years of this appeal and this Drainage Act matter to work with Don Simpson and Berta Krichker but to no avail it seems. I have continually explained the Drainage Act process that this is required to be followed in this matter and you have continued to rebuff my efforts to resolve this Drainage Act issue. I

again repeat, you appear to overlook the proper appeal process under the Drainage Act and have a total disregard for the law in these matters.

I ask the Clerk to please respond to these matters as the appeal was made to her office.

Douglas Jack
President
719299 Ontario Inc.