

Glen Emery 283 Sarnia Rd

We live in a world where most of us count on the endorsements of governmental agencies to give us the right advice, as most don't have the time to read the scientific literature for them selves. But remember these same governmental agencies also told us that tobacco, DDT, Thalidomide, leaded gasoline were all safe and effective. If you follow the money it's easy to see how all of these endorsements stem from industry. The toxic waste from the fertilizer industry that is being used to fluoridate our water is no different. Our chief dental officer from HC, Peter Cooney also has admitted that he has ~~ties~~ ties to the funding of industry.

Once reading the literature for yourself on fluoridation there are some <sup>Concerning</sup> ~~important~~ facts that become apparent, and currently there are 4000 professionals including PHDs, MDs, Dentists, Pharmacists, Lawyers who have read the literature and have signed a petition to end artificial fluoridation using this toxic waste Hydrofluorosilicic Acid. There have been many professionals that have been threatened and some that have lost their jobs for speaking out against fluoridation or publishing studies that cast a negative light on fluoridation. <sup>for</sup>

Once reading the literature myself I was shocked to find out that there are many peer reviewed published studies linked to harm including over one hundred studies showing a direct link to neurological damage. And although there are many endorsements from government agencies there is not one peer reviewed study proving this chemical from the fertilizer industry is safe for human consumption, its just like the false claims and endorsements used to promote tobacco. It must be clear that an endorsement without science means nothing.

When HC is questioned on the literature they ultimately say that they only recommend it, that they accept no accountability and they are quick to point out that it's our city councillors that are putting it in the water. This practice is absurd, dangerous and unethical to have people with no medical background responsible for the mass medication of this **unregulated** chemical with no study proving it's safety, where the dose cannot be controlled, forcing us, many against our will to be medicated **internally** with a chemical intended as a tooth medicine. I'm sure everyone has heard HC state the following, that the CDC credits fluoridation as one of the top 10 health achievements of the 20th century" what they fail to tell you, is that the CDC now states in the 21st century that fluoride is **only** beneficial when applied topically ~~in a tooth paste or mouth wash~~ and warns mothers not to make baby formula with fluoridated water.

What our city councillors <sup>should ask</sup> ~~must ask~~ themselves

1. Are we **qualified** to be the ones responsible for medicating people.
2. Should **we** have the authority to **mass** medicate **everyone**, without knowing the patient's pre existing health condition, even though it is **illegal** for a doctor to medicate even one person without their informed consent
3. How can we **ensure** the public that they will not be hurt by this practice of mass medication where we have no idea who is getting what dose. HC can't even tell us what the safe dose is
4. HC and the BOH are **unwilling** to accept liability for this unregulated drug and are pointing the fingers **our** way, are we comfortable being liable
5. The CDC and ADA are now saying that fluoride is only effective topically and warns mothers not to give fluoridated water to their babies, does it make any sense that we add it to the drinking water when clearly many mothers have no other choice but tap water.
6. Does it make any sense that it is illegal for the manufactures to dump this chemical in the environment but that its ok to add it to our water where by most of it ends up in the environment.
7. Does it make any sense that swallowing this chemical will go through the entire body without affecting any other part of the anatomy but the teeth.
8. There are many peer reviewed scientific studies that are warning it has the potential to due harm which HC hasn't taken the time to even look at, shouldn't we take the time to look at the literature ourselves since we are the ones liable.

*The vast majority of cities do not fluoridate and have*

9. There are many cities around the world with just as good teeth or better than Londoner's ~~which don't~~  
~~fluoridate~~. There might be better solutions to dental health than adding an regulated untested chemical  
to the water where we can't control the individual dose.

It is my great hope today that our City Councillors will have the wisdom and common sense and return  
the responsibility of medication back to the doctor and the individual where all can have their basic right  
to informed consent and for those who want fluoride it is very easily and cheaply obtained by the use  
of ~~CEs recommended~~, safe topical form of tooth paste and mouth rinse.

*the*