

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING COMMENTS

### 14. Properties located at 1643, 1649 and 1653 Richmond Street (OZ-\*310)

- Robert Collins, 1603 Richmond Street - indicating that this property is the heritage property just south of this extensive development; stating that it was built in 1843 and was one of the first heritage properties designated by the City; thanking the Chair and Committee Members for this opportunity; acknowledging much great work over many months amongst all the parties to reach this point and advising that the goal of he and his wife, as residents, is to preserve the residential area while recognizing realistic intensification expectations and ensuring that significant traffic concerns are addressed; stating that they think much has been addressed in this proposal; indicating that when you look at the first diagram the staff shared there are extensive commercially zoned properties in this area; concerned with commercial migration further south from 1653 Richmond Street and suggesting this is not welcome and needs to be clearly signaled; suggesting that other developers will believe that they too can come forward and add new elements to these properties; requesting the committee review clause c) on page 224 in the agenda and consider a way of signaling this desire to conserve the residential nature of the property by adding a few words to clause c) of the amendment, such as "in support of its residential uses" to indicate this speaks to the whole block development not just this specific area; advising that might help others understand clearly that the intention of these properties is to be developed for residential rather than commercial property and thanking the Committee for their consideration.
- Gary Brown, 35A-59 Ridout Street – indicating that according to the current Bicycle Master Plan, stating this is the only one we have because the review that was supposed to be completed this year hasn't even started yet, this is identified as a bicycle corridor right up north and south Richmond Street; noting he isn't representing, but advising that the Cycling Advisory Committee (CAC) haven't received a single planning application since the committee's formation, suggesting that is why the CAC was created, so the committee can review plans like this from a cycling point of view; stating that this is also supposed to be a rapid transit corridor; suggesting that in the Transportation Master Plan it says enhanced active transportation connections to our transportation nodes and again asking if this was even considered; advising that we are supposed to see bike lanes down Richmond Street at some point in time; asking if anyone even looked at or considered this or if all that was considered was how cars get up and down our streets in London; advising it is the time to start taking action rather than speaking about cycling; indicating he had just seen the three year plan for cycling and that he was horribly embarrassed; reiterating that it is time to start looking at this; asking the question again if this was even considered.