

## MUNICIPAL, PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT LAW

27 August 2014

Sent via E-mail

Mr. John M. Fleming Managing Director, Planning City of London 300 Dufferin Avenue London, ON N6A 4L9

Dear Mr. Fleming:

Re: Greenhills Shopping Centres Limited - Application for Zoning By-law Amendment City of London File No.: OZ-8324

We are the lawyers for Greenhills Shopping Centres Limited ("Greenhills"), with respect to the development of its lands at the southeast corner of Wonderland Road and Exeter Road (the "Greenhills Property") in the City of London (the "City"). The Greenhills Property is designated Wonderland Road Community Enterprise Corridor (the "WRCEC") in the Southwest Area Secondary Plan (the "SWAP") that was modified and approved by the Ontario Municipal Board on 29 April 2014. As you know, the establishment of the WRCEC in the SWAP was the result of an extensive public consultation process that included our client.

Over the years, our client has had numerous discussions and meetings with the City and its representatives regarding the development of the Greenhills Property as a commercial centre, with a portion of the property being developed, over time, for residential uses in a mixed-use format.

Our client's application (the "Greenhills Application") to develop the Greenhills Property in accordance with the policy direction of the SWAP was filed in December 2013, and was deemed complete on 20 February 2014. The City's Planning and Environment Committee held a statutory public meeting regarding the Greenhills Application on 22 July 2014. This meeting was well-attended by several landowners and developers and/or their representatives.

The City also placed the matter of the Greenhills Application on the agenda of the Planning and Environment Committee held on 26 August 2014, and afforded the opportunity for interested persons to be added as delegations for that meeting. Again, several landowners and developers and/or their representatives made delegations at that meeting.

## 27 August 2014



In our client's presentation at the 26 August 2014 Committee meeting, our client presented the Committee with two options for a holding provision to provide further assurance that the development of the Greenhills Property would conform with the policies of the SWAP.

As the Greenhills Application, with either of the two proposed holding provisions, conforms with the policies of the SWAP, our client respectfully requests that the City support the Greenhills Application and pass the site-specific amendment to the City's Zoning By-law at the next meeting of Council. It has been over 120 days since the Greenhills Application was deemed complete, and a timely decision of Council would assist our client in assessing its options.

It is our opinion that a further public meeting is not required as interested parties have already had two opportunities to make representations to the Committee, and the proposed holding provision provides additional assurance that the development of the Greenhills Property will conform with the policies of the SWAP.

Yours very truly,

Wood Bull LLP

Sharmini Mahadevan

S.Mahad

c. Mr. Jim Barber, City Solicitor's Office Client