

City Clerks Office – Please consider this my approval to post this E Mail response as part of the Public Record to the CPSC Meeting Monday July 15th with respect to Item # 3.1 Renovations By Law . Please distribute to CPSC – Chair & Members prior to the meeting without any further approval required.

Chair – D Ferreira – CPSC Members - Please accept this E – Mail as both your taxpayer / your customer and an long time owner occupied duplex that is also my house & residence ;

1. Feedback – Keep It Simple – I’m completely gop- smacked by the July 4 th “ Public Notice “ (very few of those anymore) on this July 15 CPSC meeting to add red tape and City of London Admin – By Law costs to insert themselves in an already tightly controls provincial N – 13 process. This is the 1st I haven’t heard of any of this !! I am not available to come to Mondays Meeting.

2. Comment & Feedback on Report your reviewing - This is extremely “ thin soup “ with respect **to actual feedback** from landlords of all categories , both us little guys that required Licenses & Major Building Landlords that don’t . That should be a huge red flag to this CPSC Committee .

3. Under no circumstances should I be asked for feedback under the umbrella of “ GET INVOLVED LONDON “ or even this MTG , until I have the actual proposed

>>> City of clear draft bylaw related specifically to this N – 13 Reno issue

>>. **Of even higher priority** , a flow chart of the step by **process** of the activities and responsibilities of all the partners in this process (Landlord / tenant / City of London by-law & permitting teams ETC) with a time line. Unless you can complete this in within a 24 hour turn around , its unless . **With the City of London the process is always the challenge especially on – line tools.**

>> Tell me what the \$\$\$ **costs** are ; to who these costs are allocated and Why I should be responsible for this new red tape ?? There is zero in this report on that .

>> I see nothing here that specifically indicates that I don’t need this proposed new license or part of the process for any empty unit already off the market or being converted to a new housing unit ?? That’s what building permits are for not new licenses fees or both .

Under no circumstances should this initiative move forward to full Council until these details are served up to **ALL LANDLORDS WITH ARARTMENT LICENSES** , you have are E – Mail addresses and you have not reached out to us (yes I talked to a few that are similarly surprised this is even being considered) . You will see a huge increase in feedback & response then and suggestions going forward .

THXS For your Consideration – Chris Butler – 863 Waterloo St – Sams Ward