

The Community and Protective Services Committee [ CPSC]  
and City of London Council.

June 23, 2024

Dear Council Members,

The public has a right to know the truth about the practical horror of abortion. While you personally might believe that such violence is justifiable, it's a tyrannical over-reach of your mandate to pretend that it is the purview of a Municipal Council to censor, limit or curate in any way the truth of ANY behavior that occurs within the city limits; especially when it involves the termination of a human life.

The Federal Government uses pictures to Show the TRUTH about the consequences of smoking tobacco. A picture really is worth 1000 words.

I don't see how it is the CPSC's role to prevent people from being triggered whilst in London. This is an incredibly arrogant and tyrannical view of what "protecting" people actually entails. There are myriad reasons why people might not want to see images of aborted humans, how many of them actually are in need of Municipal protection? By assuming the position that CPSC knows what's best for people and sets out to mandate "protection", the CPSC is also assuming the consequences of their actions if they are proven wrong.

What is the truth of abortion? Dr. Angela Lanfranchi, a gifted breast cancer physician wrote a book with the DeVeber Institute: Complications: Abortion's impact on Women. She and two co-authors interviewed and analysed the results of 100 women who had abortions. In the final chapter of a very worrisome body of results we find,

"Every one of the women who shared her story stated that if she had it to do over again she would go through with the pregnancy, and all but one would counsel others not to have an abortion, no matter how difficult the circumstances."

Is the CPSC prepared to bear the responsibility for harming more women who do not know the truth of abortion nor the range and rate of complications they will suffer afterwards? Is the CPSC so certain of it's expertise and mandate to not only facilitate the continued ignorance of the general public about the truth of abortion but to ban information that could potentially prevent the complications that so frequently follow them. How certain is the CPSC that objections to being shown images of the truth of abortions do not flow simply from feelings of guilt, denial, remorse, political ideology, etc? Is this arena

of consequences where CPSC belongs? A public conversation where the rights of all community members (already protected by laws and bylaws) really needs to take place in the public square.

If the SPSC chooses to protect people who do not want to see images that are objectively truthful rather than protect people who have suffered complications from abortions that they never consented to, the SPSC can, and should, be held accountable for this choice. In making such a choice, the SPSC adds insult to injury to those women who felt coerced into having abortions and, you usurp freedom of speech and association rights on everyone.

While the SPSC's heart may be in the right place, the truth of the matter needs to be fully explored in public and not manipulated by the CPSC, the City of London, nor any level of government who sees an opportunity to signal support for one politically favored group over another.

The Community and Protective Services Committee [ CPSC]and June 30, 2024  
City of London Council.

Dear public servants:

Please include the accompanying letter in support of showing the reality of abortion on the soonest agenda that can be accommodated. I am the sole author and give my permission for it to be read in public on condition that my address and contact information be kept strictly private. This means sharing my contact information within the sphere of influence of the City of London on any basis other than a "need to know" basis is expressly withheld.

Brian Smith

Lakefield