Dear Mayor Ed Holder, by-law committee members and city council:

Re: Flyer Deliveries to Residential Properties

A news report on CTV2 News London, on Sunday, February 27, 2022, at 18:40 hrs has spurred me on to address the issue discussed – proposed graphic abortion image flyers by-law will be challenged in court if the bill passes. This news segment shows an Oct. 28, 2020 video clip of two womenⁱ (Handmaids) dressed in white caps and red gowns, and a man bellowing out an anti-pamphlet chant on the steps of City Hall. It also features Deanna Ronson of Abortion Rights Coalition of Canada.

I made a presentation to the committee on November 16, 2021, along with a host of other presenters. I reiterate what I said then: Please drop this by-law initiative; it is a Pro Choice, political and ideological initiative.

On the political front, "pro-choice"/ pro-abortion people lead by Katie Dean and others, brought forward to City Hall the desire to have a by-law set against these images; they also have appealed to our local NDP MPPs to push forward legislation (Bill 259) to ban these images or similar images from public view – Peggy Sattler, Theresa Armstrong, and Terence Kernaghan.

Ideologically, we have Ronson and Dean supporting the proposed by-law and Bill 259.

All four of the above mentioned individuals are pro-abortion. They want the killing of innocent pre-born babies to continue and they especially do not want any opposition from the pro-life advocates. I suspect too that there are city councilors who are also politically and ideologically in support of abortion. This I would say is a conflict of interest!

Does City Hall really want to proceed with this by-law? Do the committee members, City Council and our Mayor really want to use their time and our tax dollars on an ideologically and politically motived agenda? Does our city really want to use time and money on legal challenges? Does City Hall really want to align itself with a movement that wants to perpetuate the assault on pre-born children and their mothers?!

Where our time and money should really be going to, is to address what the <u>real harm</u>, not the alleged "harm" 'that Ronson refers to.

The real harm that is being made is to the pre-born children in their mothers' wombs, and to their mothers, and the fathers and family members, and ultimately to our society.

When you take a close look at the true desires of the above-mentioned individuals, and their involvement with this bylaw proposal and their involvement with the provincial Bill 259 (viewer discretion), it is an attempt to silence the pro-life voice that advocates for the right to life of the pre-born children and the support for their mothers.

What we really need to turn our focus on is how our City can help the mothers who think that their only option is to have their children killed by abortion, whether by surgical or chemical means!

Our city, our province, our nation needs to invest in the multiple ways in which it takes to help these pregnant mothers and their children – pre-birth and post-birth! Where there is the will, there will be the way!

Dear Mayor, and councilors, please vote against this by-law!

Praying to end abortion!

Sincerely, *John S. Bulszaⁱⁱⁱ*, N5Z 2R5

C.c. Jerri-Joanne Bunn, committee clerk

My point is this, these "pro-choicers", these same people who want legislation against graphic images also, but primarily, don't want any pro-life messages being presented, advertised, or promoted. It is their agenda, their goal, to keep abortion operative in our city, province and nation, under the guise of being "Pro-Choice". Real choice means offering pregnant women all the resources and help at our society's disposal to protect their children in their wombs and outside their wombs and enable the mothers to live a good, healthy and prosperous family life and contribute to building a healthy, prosperous and just society!

Seeing an image, and being affected by it can be resolved, as long as this person will speak to someone who can, in an honest, compassionate, and calm way, explain what the person saw, and if appropriate explain how that image may relate to that person's history (rape, miscarriage, fetal anomalies, having had an abortion, etc.)

In the end, this "harm" can be mitigated or even eliminated. What cannot be mitigated or eliminated is the real terminal harm done to a pre-born child by abortion.

What is interesting to notice is how those promoting this by-law and Bill 259, are admitting that the graphic images are horrible, disturbing, disgusting, et cetera! At least they are getting one thing right – terrible harm, death, is done to these children in the womb.

If it is so disturbing that it could even do alleged "harm" on children and adults alike, then doesn't that tell you that something is terribly wrong with abortion? As well, if the "pro-choice" people are okay with abortion as an option, then what is wrong with seeing such images of abortion that they support?!

These graphic images present what we as individuals, professionals, and society are doing to pre-born children!

I am a grandfather – and when I look at my little grandson ZJT, I think: "How could anyone support abortion – chemical or surgical? I see more clearly why I am so much in support of advocating for those little ones, and their mothers!

And, let's acknowledge that the issue is a moot point! "Harm", the by-law, and Bill, are barking up the wrong tree, because that which is in the human womb is not a human being according to Section 223(1) of the Criminal Code of Canada says so. It is the law! BUT – we ALL know that that is not true! We know that that which is in the womb is a pre-born human being! And this child deserves legal protection, support; and the mothers need societal support.

On October 31, 2020, these same Handmaids and their two dozen "pro-choice" friends came to the corner of Wellington and Commissioners Roads, adjacent to Victoria Hospital and made every effort to block about 8 pro-lifer individuals from presenting their message to the public. These pro-life individuals were participating in an event called 40 Days For Life, holding signs that read "Pray To End Abortion". One or two signs also advertised crisis pregnancy hot lines. Most of these pro-life individuals and their signs were prevented by the "pro-choicers", from being seen by the public walking or driving by. Pregnant women who might be going by could not see the hot line number, nor the positive message of praying to end abortion.

[&]quot;Harm. This word was used a lot by those supporting this by-law proposal. The allegations of harm being done to people who see the images of aborted babies is merely a smoke screen for the motivation of those proposing the by-law and Bill 259. The main objective is to perpetuate the "Pro-Choice" pro-abortion ideology – a cop out to what the real problem is and what the real solutions need to be!

iii Pardon me for any missed typos!