nsı				London	
Measu re			2010	2011	2012
	Managed and Balanced Growth				
34		Measure Definition	To report new residential units in new detached house houses, row houses and apartments/condos Settler areas within cities, towns, villages or hamlets which I for development in an approved municipal official planew lot creation occurring in settlement areas.		lement areas means h have been designated
34	Percentage of new development with final	Municipal Comparator	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
34	approval which is located within settlement areas and the number of new lots, blocks and or units.	London Data Comments			
34		Additional Notes	1882 new units	1229 new units	1558 new units
Measu				London	
Me re			2010	2011	2012
	Managed and Balanced Growth				
35		Measure Definition	This measures the hectares of land specifically designated as agriculand or bearing any other designation intended to preserve agriculturin an Official Plan at the end of the year and determines the hectare land designated agricultural at the beginning of the year to determine changes. This measures the preservation of agricultural land.		preserve agricultural land mines the hectares of e year to determine the
35	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes, which was preserved and number of	Municipal Comparator	98.3%	100%	100%
35	hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses	London Data Comments			
35		Additional Notes	264.1 hectares	264.1 hectares	264.1 hectares
Measu			2040	London	2042
			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion		L		
5	Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre	Measure Definition	municipality completes in list of the maintenance a	n the maintenance of a roactivities has been develop RA). This measure reflects it also include administrat is and allocation of progra and maintenance services	bed by the Ontario Good the OGRA definition of ion, direct overhead, m support. This
5		Municipal Comparator	\$4,144.26	\$5,066.57	\$4,634.37
5		London Data Comments			

nsı				London	
Measu			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
6	Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads	Measure Definition	This measure for unpaved roads captures only a municipality completes in the maintenance or list of the maintenance activities has been devided Roads Association (OGRA). This measure refl loosetop maintenance, but also include adminimated interdepartmental transfers and allocation of programme asures efficiency of road maintenance serv		oad system. A complete ed by the Ontario Good the OGRA definition for tion, direct overhead, am support. This
6	per lane kilometre	Municipal Comparator	\$13,688.58	\$14,416.17	\$14,913.08
6		London Data Comments			
Measu				London	
Me F			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
7	Operating costs for winter control maintenance	Measure Definition	Winter control includes or combination plowing/salti administration, ice blading fencing, snow removal, s winter standby, but not cl to determine efficient win	ng/sanding, winging back g, salting, sanding includi pring clean-up, winter dra earing sidewalks and parl	snow. It also includes ng spot sanding, snow inage, winter patrol,
7	of roadways per lane kilometre	Municipal Comparator	\$3,410.53	\$3,221.34	\$2,318.45
7		London Data Comments			
Measu				London	
Me F			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
8	Percentage of paved lane kilometres rated as good to very good	Measure Definition	Adequacy of roads is dete (PCI) or the Ministry of Tr System (RIMS) or other s Ontario Good Roads Ass a decision matrix for dete good and which roads red system has a pavement of	ansportation's Road Inve similar rating system used ociation (OGRA) has a Po rmining which roads are r quire repair. This measure	ntory Management by the municipality. The CI method that includes rated as good to very es that the paved road
8		Municipal Comparator	53.0%	52.7%	61.5%
8		London Data Comments			

nsı		London			
Measu			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
1		Measure Definition		eaks/leaks in municipal w easures the improvement	
1	Number of breaks in water mains per 100	Municipal Comparator	8.24	7.65	6.66
1	kilometre of water main pipe in the year	London Data Comments			
Measu				London	
Ψ S			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion		•		
	3	Measure Definition	windblown snow, sleet, fi winter event is a series o	ner condition, affecting roa reezing rain, frost, black io if winter control activities r e appropriate response to	ce, etc. A response to a elated to one winter
	Percentage of winter event responses that met or exceeded municipal road maintenance standards	Municipal Comparator	98.30%	100.00%	100.00%
	· 9	London Data Comments			
Measu				London	
Me			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
2	Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons	Measure Definition	and benefits for active, p leisure; contribute to pre- environment and are ger include areas designated other areas which may n	outdoor open spaces that assive and programmed of servation and protection of the properties of the properties of the properties of the propension of the designated by the most of parks. This measurion.	community recreation and fopen space and the ublic. Open space will fficial plan, as well as tunicipality but which are
2		Municipal Comparator	6.61	6.67	6.86
2	5	London Data Comments			

ns				London	
Measu re			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
13	Number of sewer main backups per 100	Measure Definition	A backup in a wastewate overload in a municipal s which may enter a house from a house to the was include mains on private management practices t hazards.	backup of wastewater uction in a lateral line s in a backup. Does not municipal sewage	
	kilometres of sewer in the year	Municipal Comparator	0.51	0.36	0.23
13		London Data Comments			
Measu re				London	1
_ ნ			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
12	Total collection, treatment and disposal cost of wastewater per megalitre	Measure Definition	sanitary/storm sewer sysincluded. Collection inclumaintenance of pipes ar and disposal includes, traintaining the dischargagreements with the Oncontractors/suppliers. The systems.	separate sanitary sewer stems. Separate storm se udes pumping (lift station) and emergency operations, eatment, sludge disposal, e/effluent system and inclario Clean Water Agency his is to measure efficience.	wer systems are not , cleaning and connections. Treatment , operating and ludes service operating and other y in the wastewater
12		Municipal Comparator	\$369.35	\$334.13	\$408.39
12		London Data Comments			
Measu re				London	1
<u>₹</u> 6			2010	2011	2012
37	Median number of working days to review a	Measure Definition	metres and includes: A townhouse, or row hous dwelling unit. A detache does not exceed 55 squ		tached house, s located above another building listed above, that a. This is to measure tha
37	complete building permit application and issue a permit or not issue a permit - Houses	Municipal Comparator	N/A	5.70	11.00
37	permit or not issue a permit - Houses	London Data Comments		I nis measure and there hav calculated, the standard fo	

nsı		London			
Measu re			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
38	Median number of working days to review a complete building permit application and issue a	Measure Definition	building height, not excer are used for major occup and personal services oc hazard industrial occupal farm buildings that do ne	small buildings having thre ed 600 square metres in b ancies such as residentia cupancies, mercantile ocu ncies and low hazard indu xt exceed 600 square mei omplete building permits a	uilding area and which I occupancies, business cupancies, medium astrial occupancies and tres in building area.
38	ermit or not issue a permit - Small Building	Municipal Comparator	N/A	8.50	9.00
38		London Data Comments	-	is measure and there hav alculated, the standard fo	
Measu re				London	
e Me			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
39	Median number of working days to review a complete building permit application and issue a permit or not issue a permit - Large Building	Measure Definition Municipal Comparator	used for major occupance detention occupancies, of includes buildings exceet exceeding three stories in as well as farm buildings	large buildings (large dustrial/institutional) which ies classified as: Assembly high hazard industrial or ding 600 square metres in building height and used that exceed 600 square romplete building permits a	oly occupancies; care or cupancies. It also building area or for major occupancies netres in building area.
39		London Data Comments		is measure and there hav alculated, the standard fo	
Measu re				London	
ē Ā			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion		T		
40	Median number of working days to review a	Measure Definition	including hospitals, power and complex buildings w	complex buildings such as er/water, fire/police/EMS, o ith mezzanines. This is to essed quickly and accura	communications stations measure that complete
40	complete building permit application and issue a	Municipal Comparator	N/A	15.70	23.00
40	permit or not issue a permit - Complex Building	London Data Comments		is measure and there hav alculated, the standard fo	

nsı				London			
Measu			2010	2011	2012		
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion						
17		Measure Definition	Treatment of drinking water is defined as all at to the completion of treatment (the point where plant). Include administration costs and service the Ontario Clean Water Agency and other coordinate of the other waterworks system expenditures that per drinking water. Distribution is defined as all act water leaves the treatment plant and reaches the costs of connections and hydrant leads. The water services.		water leaves the treatment operating agreements with ractor/suppliers. Include ain to the treatment of vities from the point where ivate property lines. Include		
17	mega litre	Municipal Comparator	\$481.40	\$548.52	\$667.44		
17		London Data Comments	Although the total costs have increased by \$5m over 2011, resulting in increase of 21%, direct operating costs have only increased by 3% over 2012. The majority of the increase in costs, representing 17% of the increase, is related to unforeseen, one-time costs, including settlement disputed invoices and legal matters related to crop losses of the Lake Huron Joint Water Board and deficiency repair costs associated with the South East Reservoir project. (Note, the deficiency repairs are 100% funded by insurance proceeds that are recorded as revenue and there not accounted for in this measure).				
Measu re			2010	London 2011	2012		
≥ 2	Community Vitality		2010	2011	2012		
4	Total crime rate as defined by statistics (per 1,000 persons)	Measure Definition	attempted murder, sexua offences, abduction and unlawful acts with the int use or threat of violence vehicle theft, fraud, and loffences, including prost arson, bail violations, collescaping custody, indecincluding actual incidents communities.	naving stolen goods. Incluitution, gaming and bettinunterfeiting currency, distrent acts, kidnapping, publis involving youths. This m	ault, other sexual y crime, these involve hich does not involve the aking and entering, motor ides criminal code g, offensive weapons, urbing the peace, ic morals, others, all are easures safety in		
4		Municipal Comparator	72.72	69.07	66.29		
4		London Data Comments	mostly due to a decrease Enters, Assaults, Auto Ti	London's Total Reported Crime Rate decreased by 4% from 2011-201 mostly due to a decrease in certain crime categories including Break & Enters, Assaults, Auto Thefts and Robberies. Reported crime statistics have been steadily declining and is a consistent trend across the country.			
Measu re				London			
₹ 5			2010	2011	2012		
	Community Vitality		<u> </u>				
36		Measure Definition			ported by the Fire se OFM standard incident		
36	Residential structural fires (per 1,000 households)	Municipal Comparator	1.07	1.03	0.99		
36	ŕ	London Data Comments					

nsı				London	
Measu re			2010	2011	2012
	Community Vitality				
26		Measure Definition	Total kilometres of trails should include the len municipal responsibility or control. Include leas lease agreement and the trail is managed and and made available for public use. Trails shoul use, be mapped and have signage. This meas recreation opportunities.		ails if there is a formal rolled by the municipality land dedicated to trail
26	Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons	Municipal Comparator	0.53	0.54	0.56
26		London Data Comments			
Measu re			2040	London	0040
ž ē			2010	2011	2012
	Community Vitality				
27	Total participant hours for recreation programs	Measure Definition	leased facility or area, in the program. Recreation municipality or operated	e an activity taking place ir volving municipal staff in the programs may be directly through partnerships with o rograms are meeting the r	ne planning or delivery of operated by the community partners.
27	per 1,000 persons	Municipal Comparator	12,224.24	12,404.54	13,181.14
27		London Data Comments			
Measu re				London	
ē Ā			2010	2011	2012
	Community Vitality				
28		Measure Definition	purposes of community r include some form of ope	de built or enclosed struct ecreation and leisure. Rec erating function and some nat the recreation facility s	reation facilities usually form of controlled
28	1,000 persons	Municipal Comparator	414.83	413.75	409.51
28		London Data Comments			

nsı		London			
Measu			2010	2011	2012
	Progressive Transportation System				
11	1 Number of conventional transit passenger trips	Measure Definition	Conventional transit is defined as all regular public opposed to specialized transit services for persons unable to access regular public transit services. Conot include GO transit. Regular service trips are detrips where the fare system is applicable, including fare, free trips, passes and tickets. This measures conventional transit services.		s with disabilities who are onventional transit does efined as all passenger regular fare, reduced
11	per person in the service area	Municipal Comparator	58.54	61.47	63.48
11		London Data Comments			
Measu				London	
Me Te			2010	2011	2012
	Environmental Leadership				
14		Measure Definition	and has by-passed any f effluents may occur whe plant. This measures the	sses treatment refers to s form of municipal treatmer in the influent exceeds the municipal sewage managend human health hazards.	nt. Note that untreated capacity of the treatment gement practices to
14	Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by- passed treatment	Municipal Comparator	0.07%	0.44%	0.01%
14		London Data Comments			
Measu			2010	London	2040
ž e			2010	2011	2012
	Environmental Leadership		•		
19	Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the Medical Officer of Health,	Measure Definition	water advisory issued by or all of the municipal wa when calculating the nun ultimately responsible for	r days refers to the number the Medical Officer of He tter supply. Include comm nber of boil water days be r the on-going operation a that the water is safe and	alth was in effect for part unal or satellite systems cause municipalities are nd maintenance of these
19	g applicable to municipal water supply was in	Municipal Comparator	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	effect.	London Data Comments			

ns				London	
Measure			2010	2011	2012
	Infrastructure Renewal and Expansion				
21	Total number of solid waste sites owned by the	Measure Definition	Solid waste management facilities means facilitie for which there is a Certificate of Approval from the (MOE). Solid waste management facilities include composting sites, material recovery facilities, how facilities and open or closed landfill sites. This means the number of solid waste management compliant.		e Ministry of Environment transfer stations, sehold hazardous waste asure puts into context
21	municipality	Municipal Comparator	5	6	6
21		London Data Comments			
Measu				London	
a S			2010	2011	2012
	Environmental Leadership				
22	Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of solid waste and recycled material per 1,000 households	Measure Definition	concerning the collection customer does not receil Record complaints about up, spilled garbage, lack blue boxes. Complaints concerning reprojects should not be in residential, commercial, recognized that municipals.	n of garbage and recycled ve the prescribed level of t curb side related service of courteous service, dar municipal policy, such as cluded. Include complaint industrial, institutional, lar	service. e. e.g. garbage not picked naged garbage cans and service levels and pilot is from all users, e.g. adfills, etc. It is cedures for recording and
22		Municipal Comparator	33.95	33.42	33.11
22		London Data Comments			
sasn				London	
Meas			2010	2011	2012
	Environmental Leadership		1		
23		Measure Definition	tonnes diverted from dis	ial solid waste diverted fo posal to recycling. This m rting waste from landfill ar	easures the solid waste
23	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted	Municipal Comparator	40.9%	42.4%	43.9%
23		London Data Comments			

nsı		London			
Measure			2010	2011	2012
	Creative, Diverse and Innovative City				
31		Measure Definition	Total library uses. To me	easure the increased use o	f library services.
31	Library uses per person	Municipal Comparator	39.56	40.63	40.35
31		London Data Comments			
Measure				London	
e Me			2010	2011	2012
	Creative, Diverse and Innovative City				
32		Measure Definition	The number of electronic	c uses. To gain better infor	mation on library usage.
32	Electronic uses as a percentage of total library uses	Municipal Comparator	42.9%	42.5%	44.5%
32		London Data Comments			
Measu				London	
₹ e			2010	2011	2012
	Creative, Diverse and Innovative City				
33		Measure Definition	The number of non-electusage.	ronic uses. To gain better	information on library
33	Non-electronic uses as a percentage of total library uses	Municipal Comparator	57.1%	57.5%	55.5%
33	·	London Data Comments		•	

nsı			London		
Measu re			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability				
1			rnance and corporate mar cipal costs, including finan cipal government.		
1	Operating costs for general government as a percentage of total municipal costs	Municipal Comparator	4.00%	4.30%	4.20%
1		London Data Comments			
Measu re			2010	London	2010
Ž ē			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability		1		
2		Measure Definition	system, auxiliary service	Services expenditures for s, equipment, fire-fighting n, hydrant rental and othe	force, fire halls, fire
2	Operating costs for fire services for \$1000 of assessment	Municipal Comparator	\$1.60	\$1.62	\$1.60
2		London Data Comments			
Measu re				London	
e Re			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability				
3	Operating costs for building permits and	Measure Definition	activities undertaken by to f permits for the construservices inclue administrenforcement activities includes review, record kee	ling permit and inspection the building department the interior, renovation or demo- ation, assessment of aplic cluding issuing orders, per ping and reporting oblication Designed to monitor efficient	at relate to the issuance lition of buildings. These able law compliance, mits, prosecutions, ons, site inspections,
3	inspection per \$1,000 of construction activity	Municipal Comparator	N/A	\$4.27	\$5.71
3		London Data Comments	2nd year of measure		

nsı		London			
Measu re			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability				
3		Measure Definition	This measure is for Polic services board, equipme services, Police animals, and other. Designed to n	ces, payment for OPP stems, police service	
3		Municipal Comparator	\$246.66	\$263.89	\$265.91
3	Operating costs of police services per person	London Data Comments		olice Services per person stantially lower than previo	
Measu re				London	
₹ 5			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability		1		
10	Operating costs for conventional transit per	Measure Definition	opposed to specialized t unable to access regular not include GO transit. C the Canadian Urban Tra	efined as all regular public ransit services for persons public transit services. Conventional transit expensit Association and are to This measures the efficien	with disabilities who are proventional transit does ditures are developed by be reported net of
10	regular service passenger trip	Municipal Comparator	\$2.50	\$2.34	\$2.27
10		London Data Comments			
Measu re				London	
a a			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability		T		
15	Operating costs for collection per kilometre of	Measure Definition	through closed conduits (curb and gutter) which a official plans. An urban s drains but may include d	stem is one where stormwood located in roadways with a lare located in areas define torm water system consist itches, especially where the limit measures efficiency in the large water and the large water large	an urban cross section d as urban in municipal ts primarily of closed ne urban area has grown
15	urban storm drainage system	Municipal Comparator	\$3,947.36	\$4,887.70	\$6,809.43
15		London Data Comments			

ns				London	
Measu re			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability				
16		Measure Definition	along side of roadways v	m is one where stormwat with a rural cross section municipal official plans. A This measures efficiency	(open ditches) located in A rural system may
16	Operating costs for collection per kilometre of rural storm drainage system	Municipal Comparator	\$113.70	\$118.87	\$136.37
16		London Data Comments			
Measu re				London	
a A			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability		T		
20		Measure Definition		at consists of solid waste of solid waste of sefficiency in solid waste	
20		Municipal Comparator	\$60.54	\$67.20	\$85.71
20	Average operating costs for solid waste management per tonne (disposed & recycled)	London Data Comments	3270, London accrues al existing and closed lands to anticipated future post 2012 increase was all re 2012 to be overstated in	fill sites. The 2011 liabilit t-closure costs. The 2011 corded in 2012, causing t comparison to 2011. 2011 cost would be \$75.6	st-closure costs for y was understated related I increase, along with the he cost per tonne for 60 and 2012 cost would be
Measu				London	I
ē Ā			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability				
24	Operating costs for parks, recreation programs and recreation facilities per person	Measure Definition	active, passive and prog contribute to the preserv environment and are ger when programs are not t range of programs, servi some form of activity tak or area. Recreation prog drop-in programs. Recre used for the purposes of	ation and protection of op- nerally accessible to the p- aking place. Recreation p- ce and activities. A recrea- ing place in a municipally rams include both register ation facilities include buill community recreation and some form of operating funeasures the efficient opera-	eation and leisure; sen space and the public all of the time, or programs include a broad ation program involves owned or leased facility ared and unregistered to renclosed structures d leisure. Recreation notion and some form of
24		Municipal Comparator	\$97.98	\$100.86	\$104.59
24		London Data Comments			

nsı				London	
Measu			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability				
29				ons to regional library boa efficiency of library service	
29	Operating costs for library use per person	Municipal Comparator	\$47.09	\$51.67	\$50.13
29		London Data Comments			
Measu				London	
ē Ā			2010	2011	2012
	Financial Stability				
30		Measure Definition		ons to regional library boa e efficiency of library service	
30	Operating costs for library services per use	Municipal Comparator	\$1.19	\$1.27	\$1.24
30		London Data Comments			

asu re	sed «	ecti	
0	0	-	Economic Prosperity
34	100	1	Percentage of new development with final approval which is located within settlement areas and the number of new lots, blocks and or units.
34	101	1	
34	102	1	
34	103	1	
35	104	1	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes, which was preserved and number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses
35	105	1	
35	106	1	
35	107	1	
0	0	2	Core Infrastructure Sustainability
5	13	2	Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre
5	14	2	
5	15	2	Operating costs for uppeyed (loose top) reads per
6	16	2	Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre
6	17	2	
6	18	2	
7	19	2	Operating costs for winter control maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre
7	20	2	roddwdyd por idrio Miorifodd
7	21	2	
8	22	2	Percentage of paved lane kilometres rated as good to very good
8	23	2	
8	24	2	Number of breaks in water mains per 100 kilometre
18	52	2	of water main pipe in the year
18	53	2	
18	54	2	Heatenes of an an analysis and 000 manage
25 25	73 74	3 3	Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons
25 25	74 75	3	
_3		,	
0	0	3	Community Vitality
4	10	3	Total crime rate as defined by statistics (per 1,000 persons)

11 12	3 3
76 77	Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons 3
79	Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons
80 81	3 3
82	3 Square metres of recreation facility space per 1,000 persons
84	3 3
0	4 Environmental Responsibility
34	Total collection, treatment and disposal cost of wastewater per megalitre
35 36	4 4
37	Number of sewer main backups per 100 kilometres of sewer in the year
38 39	4 4
40	Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by- passed treatment
41 42	4 4
55	Weighted number of days when a boil water 4 advisory issued by the Medical Officer of Health, applicable to municipal water supply was in effect.
56	4
57	4 Total number of solid waste sites owned by the
	4 municipality
	4
	4 Percentage of residential solid waste diverted
68	4
69	4
0	5 Enriched Cultural identity
91	5 Library uses per person
92	5
93	5
94	5 Electronic uses as a percentage of total library uses
95 96	5 5
	76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 0 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 55 61 62 63 67 68 69 0 91 92 93 94 95

33	97	5	Non-electronic uses as a percentage of total library uses
33	98	5	
33	99	5	
0	0	6	Financial Stability
2	4		Operating costs for fire services for \$1000 of assessment
2	5	6	•
2	6	6	
3	7	6	Operating costs of police services per household
3	8	6	
3	9	6	
10	28	6	Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip
10	29	6	
10	30	6	
15	43	ь	Operating costs for collection per kilometre of urban storm drainage system
15	44	6	
15	45	6	Operating posts for collection nor kilometre of mural
16	46	6	Operating costs for collection per kilometre of rural storm drainage system
16	47	6	
16	48	6	Total to attend to and distribution and of water and
17	49	6	Total treatment and distribution cost of water per megalitre
17	50	6	
17	51	6	
20	58	6	Average operating costs for solid waste management per tonne (disposed & recycled)
20	59	6	
20	60	6	
24	70	О	Operating costs for parks, recreation programs and recreation facilities per person
24	71	6	
24	72	6	0 "
29	85	6	Operating costs for library use per person
29	86	6	
29	87	6	Operating costs for library convises per use
30 30	88 89	6 6	Operating costs for library services per use
30	90	6	
0	0		Enhanced Service Attitude
U	U	1	Limanceu Service Attitude
9	25	7	Percentage of winter event responses that met or exceeded municipal road maintenance standards
9	26	7	

9	27	7
11	31	7 Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area
11	32	7
11	33	7

Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of solid waste and recycled material per 1,000 households

22 66 7

- 0 0 8 Increased Service Capacity
- 0 0 9 Supportive Work Place Culture
- 0 0 10 Leadership and Accountability

Operating costs for general

- 1 10 government as a percentage of total municipal costs
- 1 2 10
- 1 3 10

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Additional Notes

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment
Additional Notes

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment
Measure Definition
Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition
Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator

London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment
Measure Definition
Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition
Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment
Measure Definition
Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator

London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator

London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment
Measure Definition
Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment
Measure Definition
Municipal Comparator
London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator

London Data Interpretation comment

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

Complaints are defined as the total number of formally recorded complaints concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials when a customer does not

Measure Definition

customer does not receive the prescribed level of service. Record complaints about curbside related service, e.g. garbage not picked up, spilled garbage,

Municipal Comparator

In 2004, London's number does not include the number of complaints for recycling (curbside or apartment programs) or the the clooection of garbage from

London Data Interpretation comment

from apartment buildings. An improved comparator number for 2004 would be 25 (not 9.83). These additional numbers will be reported in 2005.

Measure Definition

Municipal Comparator London Data Interpretation comment

	Hamilton	Windsor	Chatham	St. Thomas	Sarnia
2005	2005	2005	2005	2005	2005
100%	97.88%	100%	100%	100%	39.50%
4,425.0 new lots	1,705	N/A	N/A	N/A	
99.30%	99.92%	100%	100%	100%	99.30%
99.0 hectares	43	0	8.0*	0	62
\$1,339.59	\$2,193.76	\$972.22	\$537.66	\$3,860.04	\$1,715.94
\$6,020.88	\$1,271.38	\$205.38	\$1,541.03	\$3,860.00	N/A
\$2,465.95	\$3,829.40	\$1,196.39	\$649.54	\$1,583.55	\$1,016.39
58.01%	58%	38.60%	70%	69.80%	48.60%
11.47	16-49	11.23	9.54	33.65	18.125
5.23	4.72	5.27	2.35	7.49	6.917

Guelph Kingston

2005

2005

Barrie

2005

82.31	64.51	110.57	77.19	68.99	67.33
0.33	0.11	0.41	0.21	2.02	0.67
11,906.60	471.29	38,531.86	2,299	22,394.98	20,127
350.59	219.37	187.34	536	2,365.67	849.06
\$288.04	\$196.07	\$178.48	\$387.03	\$200.57	\$488.32
1.54	1.35	0.6	5.89	0.57	2.86
0.48%	0.68%	2.48%	0.01%	2.32%	N/A
0	Less than 0.01 days	0.12	Less than 0.2 days	0.0005	0
3	18	2	10	0	N/A
37.10%	26.90%	35.20%	27.50%	47.70%	37.50%
27.67	23.13	18.29	20.35	22.04	N/A
17.00%	18.00%	22.00%	28.30%	1.00%	N/A

83.00%	82.00%	78.00%	71.70%	99.00%	N/A
03.00%	02.0070	70.0070	11.1070	99.00%	IN/A

\$	1.56	\$	1.47	\$	2.16	\$	1.15	\$	2.72	\$	2.23
\$420	6.22	\$531	.16	\$678	3.57	\$430	0.92	\$469	.17	\$467	7.56
\$2.	.46	\$2.	59	\$3.:	28	\$3.	56	\$3.	17	\$4.	.20
\$2,21	15.45	\$2,78	6.39	N/	A	N	'A	\$3,02	4.97	\$1,27	79.45
\$36	7.86	N//	Ą	N/	A	N	'A	N//	4	N/	/A
\$36`	7.41	\$309	.73	\$163	3.21	\$522	2.56	\$9,26 *Distrik	ution	\$377	7.73
\$52	2.21	\$106	i.92	\$136	3.78	\$11	7.95	\$147	7.98	\$32 (coller \$94 (recyc	ction) 07
\$80	0.88	\$83.	69	\$121	1.97	\$93	.04	\$65.	16	\$75	5.42
\$46	5.13	\$47.	06	\$36	.98	\$29	.77	\$44.	67	N	/A
\$1.	.67	\$2.0	03	\$2.	02	\$1.	46	\$2.	11	N	/A
90.9	91%	100	%	100)%	100	0%	989	%	70.0	00%

53.1 47.31 26.8 5.84 9.12 13.78

9.83 48.86 56.58 9.95 14.31 71.12

5.01% 2.71% 3.80% 2.50% 1.10% 5.00%

Ottawa	Sudbury	Thunder Bay	Brantford
2005	2005	2005	2005