Agenda Item # Page #



TO:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

## CHAIR AND MEMBERS CIVIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING ON OCTOBER 7, 2013

EDWARD SOLDO, P. ENG. DIRECTOR, ROADS AND TRANSPORTATION

RAILWAY PEDESTRIAN CROSSING SAFETY

## RECOMMENDATION

That on the recommendation of the Director, Roads and Transportation, the following actions **BE TAKEN** with respect to a proposed Railway Pedestrian Crossing Safety program:

- a) Civic Administration **BE DIRECTED** to liaise with the railway companies regarding opportunities to increase public awareness of rail safety issues;
- b) Civic Administration **BE AUTHORIZED** to continue discussions with the respective railway companies and Transport Canada to refine and jointly implement the draft program of engineering crossing safety treatments attached hereto as Appendix "B" under appropriate cost sharing and utilizing any federal rail safety funding programs that are available; and,
- c) A new two-year project **BE INTRODUCED** for consideration in the 2014 budget for the implementation of engineering crossing safety treatments.

## PREVIOUS REPORTS PERTINENT TO THIS MATTER

• February 25, 2013 – Civic Works Committee – Railway Pedestrian Crossing Safety

### BACKGROUND

The City of London is serviced by a network of railway lines that move goods and passengers. The network is comprised of railway owned by Canadian National Railway (CNR), Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) and Goderich-Exeter Railway (GEXR). The railways are crucial to the economic prosperity of London and Southwestern Ontario as they provide a reliable and affordable way to transport goods.

The City has a number of ongoing railway safety related initiatives in London. At the Council meeting on March 5<sup>th</sup> 2013, staff were authorized to undertake a Railway Pedestrian Crossing Assessment study to determine appropriate pedestrian focussed solutions at railway crossings. This report presents Committee and Council with the initial results of a network Railway Pedestrian Crossing Assessment.

Railway safety is a function of education, engineering and enforcement. The objective of assessment was to determine best practices for providing effective warnings to non-motorized users of roadway/railways grade crossings that inform the user of the presence of a crossing, and inform the user to take appropriate action to prevent a collision. A draft capital program of safety improvements to reduce the risk of railway pedestrian collisions was developed. The program would form the basis for engagement and potential future discussions with the railway companies and Transport Canada.

### DISCUSSION

Rail pedestrian incidents are relatively infrequent events, however, when they do occur, they often result in tragic consequences or significant harm. These rare events have significant



adverse effects on communities as well as personnel operating the trains.

#### Pedestrian Crossing Safety Statistics

Records indicate fourteen railway-pedestrian incidents have occurred in London in the five years from 2008 to 2012, eleven of which resulted in a fatality. Five of the fourteen collisions occurred at roadway/railways crossing locations and the remainder were mid-block or rail yard trespassing occurrences.

Non-compliance with warning devices is commonly the root issue in these incidents. There were half as many rail-vehicle collisions suggesting that warning device compliance is less reliable amongst pedestrians. 84% of all incidents involved males. Recent pedestrian fatalities include incidents at the CPR/Third Street railway crossing in December 2012 and the CNR/Colborne Street railway crossing in July 2013. During both of these incidents, the roadway gates, flashing lights and bell were operating.

#### Railway Safety Education

Education is an important component in order to reduce/eliminate railway incidents. The Railway Association of Canada and Transport Canada operate the Operation Lifesaver (<u>www.operationlifesaver.ca</u>) program. Operation Lifesaver uses education, engineering and enforcement to prevent rail collisions and to prevent trespassing incidents that can lead to serious injury or death.

During Rail Safety Week, Operation Lifesaver volunteers engage in a number of local events and activities across Canada, including crossing blitzes, mock collision scenarios, public service announcements and presentations by volunteers to schools, youth clubs, drivers associations and community groups. The goal of educating people of all ages about the dangers of railway crossings and the seriousness of trespassing on railway property is mainly to prevent trespassing incidents that lead to serious injury or death.

Canadian National (CN) and the Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) deliver a variety of railway safety education and awareness programs in London. The educational programs are intended for various audiences such as kids, teens, new drivers, adults, professional drivers and emergency vehicle operators. The methods used to reach the public include the production and distribution of related educational material, early elementary and driver education curriculum activities, civic presentations, as well as media coverage. Canadian Pacific Railway police officers delivered Operation Lifesaver presentations at 13 schools in London from January to June in 2013, including one held at the F.D. Roosevelt elementary school, the school that the youngster associated with the



December 2012 incident at the railway crossing at Third Street near Dundas Street attended.

The following summarizes few examples of educational materials that the railway agencies provide in relation to pedestrians and cyclists:

- Activity Placemats for Kids: a set of eight (4 English/4 French) activity oriented placemats for kids
- Public-Rail Safety Guide: a guide to railway warning signs and devices with safety tips for vehicle operators and pedestrians
- Tips for Cyclists: a guide to cyclists of all ages on how to stay safe around trains and railway property



 Tips for Parents and Caregivers: a guide to parents and caregivers on how to keep children safe around trains and railway property



- OL Activity Book with Rover the Rabbit: activity book for children on staying safe around trains and railway property
- Student Safety Guide: "Find the errors" type puzzle

## Railway Crossing Engineering Safety Assessment

In 2007, a review was completed by the City, Transport Canada, CNR, CPR and Goderich-Exeter Railway of all railway crossings in the City of London. The review looked at signage, pavement markings, vegetation, fencing and other issues. The City completed all of the recommended changes that were within its control (e.g. signage, pavement markings and some vegetation). The review provided a good basis for Railway Pedestrian Crossing Assessment.

The City of London retained CIMA Consultants for the Railway Pedestrian Crossing Assessment. The firm is a leader in roadway and pedestrian safety and is currently developing the City's Road Safety Strategy. The assessment provided a best practices literature review and developed a guideline for safety assessment of railway crossings for vulnerable road users. The Executive Summary is attached in Appendix "A". The report:

- identified current best practices, regulations and standards used by various jurisdictions;
- identified common risk factors at grade crossings;
- identified appropriate treatments/countermeasures for specific risk factors; and,
- developed a computerized site assessment tool to evaluate crossing compliance, identify risks and recommend countermeasures.

City staff, in conjunction with rail company staff from CN, CPR and GEXR conducted detailed on-site assessments of all railway pedestrian crossings within London during July and August of 2013. Of the 59 railway crossings in London, 46 railway crossings have pedestrian paths and are listed as follows:

Railway	Pedestrian Crossings
Canadian National (CN)	27
Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR)	12
RailAmerica Goderich-Exeter Railway (GEXR)*	7

\* Under agreement with CN

The assessment inspected an extensive list of crossing characteristics including warning devices, clearing sight distance, track angle, slope on approach to the railway, and width of crossing. The assessment identified deficiencies at crossings that do not conform to the Transport Canada standards as well as potential hazards that may increase the risk for pathway users. Transport Canada minimum requirements include:

- Flashing light post needs to be within 3.6 m of the centre of the sidewalk
- Bell and flashers must be located on the side where the pedestrian path exists
- Adequate sightlines (sight triangle clear from obstructions such as buildings and trees)
- Crossing width must extend 0.5 m beyond the width of the approach at a crossing and approach width needs to be 1.5 m or greater
- Stop-bar pavement markings
- Pedestrian warning signs must be 2 m or less in height
- "Trip-free" crossing surface conditions (the elevation difference of the track and the adjacent surface must be less than 13 mm)

In addition to the above minimum requirements, other measures such as additional warning signs, painted delineated pathways, automated gates, maze barriers or zigzag crossings were considered at specific crossing locations to protect and to raise the pedestrian awareness. Exposure factors such as train and pedestrian volume were also considered to evaluate risk.



Figure 1 below provides an example of a sample risk assessment result from the computerized site assessment tool created.





### Proposed Engineering Safety Treatments

The assessment recommended a variety of countermeasures to improve pedestrian safety at railway crossings. During the inspection, it was observed that most pedestrians do not look around for trains before walking through railway pedestrian crossings. As such, additional signage and pavement markings are recommended to be installed at all crossings as visual cues to encourage pedestrians to stop and look both ways before crossing.

Pedestrian deflection mechanisms such as maze barriers or gates as shown in Figure 2 to slow pedestrians and force them to look both ways are recommended where probability of a collision is deemed to be high. The probability of a collision is mainly determined based on the pedestrian volumes, number of trains, speed of trains, length of a crossing, number of tracks and other site specific characteristics such as grade of approaches and adjacent site conditions. Other site specific conditions such as hidden informal pathways or "goat" paths are also identified in the inspection. Additional signage or barriers would be required to prevent pedestrians from accessing those dangerous pathways.

Pedestrian gates currently exist at two locations – CPR/Richmond Street and CN/Egerton Street. However, only two pedestrian gates exist at CN/Egerton Street where four are required to serve all pedestrian approaches. New pedestrian gates are recommended at CN/Egerton Street to complete the installation at this location. CN/Egerton Street is a highly complex location with numerous tracks and highly variable train speeds.

Agenda Item #	Page #

Figure 2 - Deflection Mechanism Example



Pedestrian gates are not recommended at other locations due to ineffectiveness at ensuring compliance. For example, a pedestrian crossing fatality has occurred at Richmond Street with pedestrian gates in place and operational. National statistics reaffirm that a high number of collisions occur at crossings with active warning devices (flashing lights, bells, gates). Pedestrian gates also have high installation and operating costs.

### Engineering Safety Treatments Cost

The identified engineering safety treatments recommended by City Staff in order to improve pedestrian safety at all 46 railway crossings are estimated to cost in the order of \$470,000. A table summarizing the recommended countermeasures is attached in Appendix "B".

The proposed treatments need to be reviewed with the railway companies, particularly treatments within the crossing that would require railway installation or cooperation. Cost sharing of some treatments with the appropriate railway companies is appropriate and will be pursued further. Rail transportation is under the jurisdiction of the federal government and funding may be available through the Government of Canada's Grade Crossing Improvement Program. Staff involved Transport Canada in this assessment.

With the introduction of City funding, many treatments can be implemented in 2014. Treatments that require more involvement or installation by project partners may take longer, therefore staff is recommending a two-year program for the installation of all measures. The schedule is subject to railway partnering and funding.

#### Whistle Cessation

Train whistling requirements are set out in the Canadian Rail Operating Rules, which state that trains must whistle as they pass through public and pedestrian crossings at grade. There are provisions in the *Railway Safety Act*, 2001, for eliminating the use of train whistling at a crossing at the request of a municipality. Equipment that meets specific safety standards, including adequate warning systems, must be put in place to compensate for the elimination of whistling.

Municipal Council has passed several by-laws to regulate the use of train whistles in the urban areas in conformity with Transport Canada guidelines. The oldest by-law still in force is dated September 3<sup>rd</sup>, 1963.

Council had directed staff to review and consider the repeal of those whistle cessation by-laws at all or some locations so that train crews would announce their approach to a crossing. While the by-laws regulate the use of train whistles at railway crossings, it should be noted that it does not prevent trains from utilizing whistles in the case of emergencies. CN and CPR have both confirmed that while they refrain from their automatic use at roadway crossings, they are



activated whenever there is a circumstance that warrants it, such as the presence of pedestrians on the track.

The compensatory warning systems are robust and maintained to operate reliably. The analysis indicates that rail-pedestrian incidents are most often a result of non-compliance. The analysis indicates that reinstating train whistling within the urban area of London would provide a negligible benefit to safety and it is recommended that the whistle cessation bylaws be maintained.

### SUMMARY

Railway safety is a function of education, engineering and enforcement.

- Rail pedestrian incidents are relatively infrequent events, however, when they do occur, they often result in tragic consequences or significant harm.
- Operation Lifesaver is one of the leading programs that promote railway safety.
- The Canadian National (CN) and the Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) deliver a variety of railway safety education and awareness programs in the City.
- 46 of the 59 railway crossings in London have pedestrian path crossings. A Railway Pedestrian Crossing Assessment study was conducted to determine appropriate pedestrian focussed safety solutions at railway crossings.
- The assessment recommended a variety of countermeasures to improve pedestrian safety at all pedestrian crossings at an approximate total cost of \$470,000 to be shared with the appropriate railway companies. Potential federal government funding assistance will be pursued.
- The recommended treatments need to be reviewed with the railway companies and Transport Canada.
- Staff is recommending the introduction of a two-year railway pedestrian safety project in the 2014 budget. This will allow installation of many easy-to-implement countermeasures in 2014. Countermeasures requiring a longer lead time due to 3<sup>rd</sup> party involvement or installation would follow subject to railway and Transport Canada agreement.

#### Acknowledgements:

This report was prepared within the Transportation Planning and Design Division with input from Shane Maguire, Manager, Roadway Lighting & Traffic Control and Maged Elmadhoon; Manager, Transportation Planning, and with the assistance of Shaun Chen, Engineer-In-Training.



PREPARED BY:	RECOMMENDED BY:
DOUG MACRAE, P. ENG. DIVISION MANAGER	EDWARD SOLDO, P. ENG. DIRECTOR. ROADS AND
TRANSPORTATION, PLANNING & DESIGN	TRANSPORTATION
REVIEWED & CONCURRED BY:	
JOHN BRAAM, P.ENG. MANAGING DIRECTOR, ENVIRONMENTAL & ENGINEERING SERVICES & CITY ENGINEER	

Y:\Shared\Administration\COMMITTEE REPORTS\Civic Works\2013\DRAFT\10 07\CWC-Railway Peds-Oct 7 2013.docx

- Appendix "A" Railway Pedestrian Engineering Safety Report, Executive Attach: Summary, July 2013 Appendix "B" – Recommended Engineering Safety Treatments
- c.

Sgt. Ryan Scrivens, London Police R. Marsh, Manager, Community Relations, Canadian Pacific Railway Lindsay Fedchyshyn, Regional Manager - Public Affairs, Canadian National Railway S. Lee, Railway Signals Systems Inspector, Transport Canada



# Appendix "A" Railway Pedestrian Engineering Safety Report Executive Summary, July 2013

The City of London (the City) conducted a safety assessment of the 59 crossings in 2007 in response to a relatively high frequency of collisions at railway crossings in the City. This assessment was conducted in collaboration with CN, CP, and GEXR, identified deficiencies in terms of signage, pavement markings, vegetation, fencing and other issues and recommended treatments to address the deficiencies.

The City initiated this project to develop guidelines for safety assessment of railway crossings for vulnerable road users. The guidelines will assist the City staff to collect required information at crossings, identify risk factors, and recommend countermeasures and treatments to mitigate potential risks. The main objectives of this project include:

- Identification of common risk factors at grade crossings,
- Identification of current practices, regulations, and standards used by different jurisdictions to mitigate risks at grade crossings,
- Identification of appropriate treatments for specific risk factors,
- Development of a computerized tool to assist the City in identification of risk factors and recommendation of countermeasures, and
- Development of a computerized tool to evaluate compliance of grade crossings with the existing Canadian regulations and standards.

This report presents the identified risk factors and their related treatments. The identified risk factor include: Presence of vulnerable road users; Train operations; Site Characteristics; Channelization; and vulnerable road user action and condition. A risk assessment matrix is introduced in which potential countermeasures are suggested to mitigate risk factors at grade crossings. Moreover, a set of criteria were presented to evaluate different countermeasures, including: Accessibility; Comprehension; Compliance; Maintenance costs; Operating costs and Capital costs.

A field checklist was created to assist in collecting required data (site characteristics, pedestrian approach/crossing characteristics, train operations, etc.) during a site visit for crossings. A Microsoft Excel based tool, Railway Crossing Safety Assessment Tool (RCSAT), was developed to assess existing treatments at grade crossings against Canadian standards and regulations, identify risks at each crossing, identify countermeasures to mitigate risks, and rank countermeasures based on their costs or effectiveness to mitigate risks.

It is important to highlight that the recommendations of this report and the RCSAT are supplementary tools to Transport Canada Guidelines and procedures and have been developed and recommended only for vulnerable road users.



Control Measures     Decentation     Description     Description     Description     Description     S6:159       Apply parement marking:     2.2     Double yellow releases splittines     2.1     Timb ubdies and the bandmest to increase the different area to the crossing and along the s6.159     56.159       Transport     Transport     Double yellow releases splittines     2.1     Timb ubdies and the bandmest to increase the different area to the crossing and along the s6.159     56.159       Transport     Improve the Surface Condition of the group of the side walk in the side walk i			Number of			
Apply parement markings     42     Double yellow traitserse pedestrian parement     25% are in good to fair condition     56.150       Trim Burket to increase sight lines     2.2     Trim burkets to increase sight lines     2.2     Trim burkets to increase sight lines     2.2     Submits     54.400       Transport     Trim Burket to increase sight lines     2.2     Trim burkets and the width of the Approach to     2     Extern the order to accurate faser     Adjacent area to the cossing and along the     54.400       Transport     Transport     2     Extern the width of the Approach to     2     Extern the burket of increase sight lines     53.00       Transport     Submits     1     Reconstruct the sidewalk at cost set set signs and replace signs andesint the condition     \$4.400		Counter Measures	locations	Description	Notes	Total Cost *
Tim Burkers to increase sight lines     2.2     Tim Burkers and tree branches to increase the Adjacent area to the crossing and along the state to the with of the keprosach to 2 signification.     Adjacent area to the crossing and along the state to increase sight lines     54.00       Transport     Free off the keprosach to 2     Extend the with of the Approsach to 2     Extend the Approsach to 2     Extend the approsach     \$22.800       Requirement     Requirement (Figure "Second Tian" Warning     11     Recurstruct (Figure Second Tian" Warning     \$22.800     \$22.800       Requirement Reference     11     Reconstruct the crossing surface     Extend the keprosach to active (Figure Second Tian" Warning     \$23.800       Requirement Reference     11     Reconstruct the crossing surface to a life crossing surface to a life crossing first handler of reference     \$2.800       Reduce Harder Aboreach Grade for     11     Apply apply of the Approsach Crade for setting the work side (Figure Apply apply and the crossing surface to a life of the resong and regonach life of the resong surface to a life of t		Apply pavement markings	42	Double yellow transverse pedestrian pavement	25% are in good to fair condition	\$6,15 <b>0</b>
Trim Bushes to increase sight lines     22     Tim bushes and tree branches to increase the adjacent area to the crossing and along the sight.     54,400       Increase the Width of the Approach to 2     Executed the Adjacent Haser Haser Haser Haser Haser Haser Pacter at 13 and 10 width.     \$22,680       Increase the Width of the Approach to 2     Executed the Adjacent at 25 signs and replace 4 signs     Created or settled sidewalk     \$22,680       Reprinted     Excound Train "Warning     11     Recond Train "Warning     13     Recond Train "Warning     \$22,680       Reprinted to 7     23,680     Executed the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the cossing surface     \$22,680       Reprinted to 7     31     Reconstruct the cossing surface     Description     \$24,680       Reprinted to 7     31     Reconstruct the approach     Stating the sole on releated at \$2,530       Reprinted to 7     31     Reconstruct the approach     Stating the sole on releated at \$2,530       Reprinted to 7     31     Reconstruct the approach for a for the cossing surface to 7     Stating the sole on releated at \$4,533       Reprinted to 7     31     Reconstruct the approach for the crossing surface to 7     Stating the sole on reled to the relocated to \$4,533 <t< td=""><th></th><th></th><td></td><td>marking ( Stop Bar)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>				marking ( Stop Bar)		
Increase the Width of the Approach to Lam     Circle of the Width of the Approach     Zam     S810       Transport Repriment Repriment Parametric     Lam     Even of the Width of the Approach     2     Even of the Width of the Approach     \$22,680       Transport Repriment Sequrement     Lam     Doie to meet the LiAm approach     13     Reprivation of the Approach     \$22,680     \$22,680       Repriment Repriment     Repriment Sequrement     Sign and replace of sidewalk at the Approach     Event the repriment and repriment be reproach     \$22,680       Repriment     Repriment Sequrement     Sign and replace of side sign sign sign sign sign sign sign sign		Trim Bushes to increase sight lines	22	Trim bushes and tree branches to increase the sightline	Adjacent area to the crossing and along the track	\$4,400
Transport from the surface condition of the proposition in the interest of the interest of sidewalk is protect in the interest from the surface condition of the significant sudden change in grade at the interest gipmine interest in the interest of the interest gipmine interest interest interest gipmine interest interest gipmine interest interest gipmine i		Increase the Width of the Approach to	2	Extend the width of the sidewalk or relocate flaser	Flasher pole is too close to sidewalk	\$810
Tangont Condution Requirement Reportant furthins metres)     Sidewalk replacement (3 panels of sidewalk at benoming the Surface Condition of the each approach (withins metres)     Sidewalk replace tains each approach)     Sidewalk replace tains (50% in good or fair shape)     Sidewalk     Sidewalk (20% in good or fair shape)     Sidewalk       Reportant to reproach (withins metres)     11     Reconstruct the crossing surface sign     126% in good or fair shape)     52,850       Reduce the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the crossing surface surface condition     52,850       Reduce the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the approach grade to the crossing surface condition     53,350       Reduce the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the approach grade to the crossing surface condition     58,326       Implement Deflection Mechanisms     9     Install denotion grade at the minimum 0.55 beyrout cleffet the sidewalk to crossing surface to a surface condition     57,320       Implement Deflection Mechanisms     9     Install additional street light     10 complete and ugade the gate system on struct the pathway through the crossing tub free soften     573,200       Additional sign where sightline     5     Reconstitue     573,000       Implement Deflection Mechanisms     6     Install additional street light     573,000		1.5m		pole to meet the 1.5m approach width	-	
Currentsort     Approach (within 5 metree)     each approach     <	T	Improve the Surface Condition of the	19	Sidewalk replacment (3 panels of sidewalk at	Cracked or settled sidewalk	\$22,680
Additional Resurface the Cossing Signa11Relocate 15 signs and replace 4 signs (50% in good or fair sinabe)2380Resurface the Cossing Sign14Reconstruct the crossing surface surface condition51,340Resurface the Cossing Sign14Reconstruct the crossing surface surface condition53,350Reduce the Approach Approach14Apply apphil to extend the crossing surface to a significant sudden change in grade at the significant sudden change in grade at the monotiness582,350Meduce the Approach Approach14Apply apphil to extend the crossing surface to a inimim 0.5m beyond the edge of the approach implement Deflection Mechanisms9Install effences/barrier or deflect the sidewalk inimim 0.5m beyond the edge of the approach improve Lighting Condition579,200Mather Additional1Install additional street lightInther evaluation and design work inther evaluation and design work579,200Mather Mather Result1Install additional street lightInther evaluation and design work579,200Mather Mather Result1Install additional street lightInther evaluation and design work579,200Mather Mather Result1Install additional street lightInther evaluation and design work579,200Mather Mather1Install additional street lightInther evaluation and design work579,200Improve Lighting Condition6Install additional street lightInther evaluation and design work570,000Mather Result1Instal	Canada	Approach (within 5 metres)		each approach)		
Sign     ISON in good or fair shape)     Startage       Resurface the Cossing     14     Reconstruct the crossing surface     Intermed based on the rail condition and startage     \$11.340       Reduce the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the crossing surface     Intermed based on the rail condition and the rail condition and the rail condition     \$22.350       Reduce the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the approach grade to the crossing surface to a significant sudden change in grade at the maximum and proceed the range on the rail condition     \$22.350       Reduce the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the crossing surface to a significant sudden change in grade at the maximum and proceed the range of the approach occurs with steep approach steep (the paracid) count in both directant is issue but it may not the range of the approach occurs with steep approach steep (the paracid) count in both directant is issue but it may not the range of the approach occurs with steep approach occurs the text.     \$23,000       Improve Lighting Condition     6     Install automatic Grate     1     Inther evolution occurs with steep approach occurs at the maximum and the range of the approach occurs at the steep occurs with steep approach occurs at the text.     \$23,000       Improve Lighting Condition     6     Install automatic Grate     1     Inther evolution occurs at the range of the approach occurs at the range of the approach occurs at the rand upgrade the gate system on the	curiaua Reauirement	Reconfigure "Second Train" Warning	11	Relocate 15 signs and replace 4 signs	Existing signs damaged or need to be relocated	\$2,850
Resurface the Crossing     14     Reconstruct the crossing surface     Determined based on the rail condition     511,340       Reduce the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the approach grade to the crossing surface condition     surface condition     \$11,340       Reduce the Approach Grade for     31     Reconstruct the approach grade to the crossing surface condition     surface condition     \$23,350       Smoothness     14     Apply asphalt to extend the crossing surface to a 18 intersections have this issue but it may not hop on the reduction mechanisms     9     install fences/barrier or deflect the sidewalk     \$4050       Improve Lighting Condition     6     install additional fences/barrier or deflect the sidewalk     Inther evaluation and design work     \$73,000       Additional     1     Install automatic gate     1     install automatic gate     1     \$73,000       Additional     2     Pareet light     Street light at wrong side or lack of street light     \$18,000       Additional     Street light     Street light at wrong side or lack of street light     \$18,000       Additional     Street light     Street light at wrong side or lack of street light     \$18,000       Additional     Street light     Street l		Sign			(50% in good or fair shape)	
Headure the Approach Grade for subject the Approach Grade for subject the Approach Grade for subject the Subject to Subject to Similar sudfect the side subject to the subject the side subject the side subject the side subject to the side subject to side		Resurface the Crossing	14	Reconstruct the crossing surface	Determined based on the rail condition and	\$11,340
Reduce the Approach Grade for Simothness31Reconstruct the approach grade to the crossing significant sudden change in grade at the smoothness382,350SmoothnessEconsing (trip hazard)14Apply ablit to extend the crossing surface to a inninum un S.m beyond the edge of the approach minimum D.S.m beyond the edge of the approach inninum D.S.m beyond the edge of the and upgrade the gat system on inninum D.S.m beyond the edge of the innow the pathway is not aligned beyond the innow to cosing uncosing\$24,000Additional measures the crossing uncosingDelineated pathway through inner edge of the crossingNow with steep approach is subter on all of of some of inninum D.S.m beyond the edge of the crossing\$210,000Additional measures the crossing to Smatres beyond the edge of the erossing uncoknementSmatres beyond the edge of the crossing to varm pedestrin users to stop and induinum\$					surface condition	
SmoothnessSmoothnesscrossing surface to a himinum 0.5m beyond14Apply asphalt to extend the crossing surface to a himinum 0.5m beyondcrossing surface to a beyond three rections have this issue but it may not static rections have this issue but it may not static rections54,050MoptomachApply asphalt to extend the crossing surface to a himinum 0.5m beyond14Apply asphalt to extend the crossing surface to a himinum 0.5m beyond three approaches. Subject to 579,200 further revaluation and design work further revaluation and design work54,050Improve Lighting Condition6Install additional street light579,200Additional1Install additional street light518,000Additional1Install additional street light518,000AdditionalDeline aede Pathway across the Crossing573,200AdditionalDeline aede Pathway across the Crossing573,000Additional sign where sightline310 sproaches at CN-tgerton-main line5,10,000Improve Lightine310 sproaches at CN-tgerton-main line5,200CossingLook Anound" Sign at each approach10 scrossing5,24,600Additional sign where sightline310 scrossing5,24,600Look Anound" Sign at each approachIncovertion server to stop and5,24,600Look Anound" Sign at each approachIncovertian users to stop and5,34,600Look Anound" Sign at each approachIncovertian users to stop and5,34,600Look Anound" Sign at each approachIncovertian users to stop and		Reduce the Approach Grade for	31	Reconstruct the approach grade to the crossing	significant sudden change in grade at the	\$82,350
Widen crossing 0.5m beyond Approach14Apply asphalt to extend the crossing surface to a ininimum 0.5m beyond the edge of the approach ininimum 0.5m beyond the edge of the approach ininimum 0.5m beyond the edge of the approach initiate etc.)18 initiation but it may not it with steep approaches. Subject to it with steep approaches. Subj		Smoothness			crossing (trip hazard)	
Approach     minimum 0.5m beyond the edge of the approach     occur in both directions     \$73,200       Implement Deflection Mechanisms     9     Install Fences/barrier or deflect the sidewalk     Locaions with steep approaches. Subject to     \$73,200       Improve Lighting Condition     6     Install additional steet light     Eccaions with steep approaches. Subject to     \$73,200       Improve Lighting Condition     6     Install additional steet light     Eccaions with steep approaches. Subject to     \$73,000       Additional     6     Install additional steet light     Eccaions with steep approaches. Subject to     \$73,000       Additional     6     Install additional steet light     Eccaions with steep approaches. Subject to     \$73,000       Additional     Belineated Pathway across the     5     Pavement marking delineated pathway through     Where pathway is not aligned beyond the     \$2,000       Modificand     Eccosing     3     Pavement marking delineated pathway through     Where pathway is not aligned beyond the     \$2,000       Modificand     Sign at each approach     Install additional sign at each approach     Install additional sign at each approach     \$2,000       Modintional sign where sightline     3     I		Widen crossing 0.5m beyond	14	Apply asphalt to extend the crossing surface to a	18 intersections have this issue but it may not	\$4,050
Implement Deflection Mechanisms     9     Install Fences/Darrier or deflect the sidewalk     Locaions with steep approaches. Subject to haze, Subjec		Approach		minimum 0.5m beyond the edge of the approach	occur in both directions	
Image:     Image:<		Implement Deflection Mechanisms	6	Install Fences/barrier or deflect the sidewalk	Locaions with steep approaches. Subject to	\$79,200
Improve Lighting Condition     6     Install additional street light     Street light at wrong side or lack of street light     \$18,000       Additional     Automatic Gate     1     Install automatic gate     To complete and upgrade the gate system on strono all approaches at CN-Egerton-main line     \$210,000       Additional     5     Pavement marking delineated pathway through     Where pathway is not aligned beyond the strono all approaches at CN-Egerton-main line     \$23,000       Measures     Look Around" Sign at all Comers     46     Install additional sign at each approach     New signs to warm pedestrian users to stop and station     \$24,600       Additional sign where sightline     3     Install additional sign at each approach     New signs to warm pedestrian users to stop and station     \$24,600       Additional sign where sightline     3     Install additional sign at each approach     New signs to warm pedestrian users to stop and station     \$24,600       Additional sign where sightline     3     Install additional sign at each approach     New signs to warm pedestrian users to stop and station     \$24,600       Additional sign where sightline     3     Install additional sign at each approach     New signs to warm pedestrian users to stop and station     \$24,600       Incount     2		(maze, barrier, etc.)			further evaluation and design work	
Automatic Gate1Install automatic gateTo complete and upgrade the gate system on all approaches at CN-Egerton-main lineAdditional necosingDelineated Pathway across the Crossing5Pavement marking delineated pathway through Nhere pathway is not aligned beyond the crossing\$20,000Additional necosing5Pavement marking delineated pathway through the crossing to 5 metres beyond the edge of the crossingTo complete and upgrade the gate system on all approaches at CN-Egerton-main line\$20,000Additional recosing5Pavement marking delineated pathway through the crossingNew signs to varm pedestrian users to stop and took both side before crossing\$24,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional sign at each approach took both side before crossingS24,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approach took both side before crossing\$24,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNeeds fence or signs to warm pedestrian users to stop and took both side before crossing\$324,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNeeds fence or signs\$324,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNeeds fence or signs\$324,600Cost (Informal) Path Prevention2Install additional warning sign at each approachNeeds fence or signs\$31,200Dev111Needs fence or signs\$31,000 <th></th> <th>Improve Lighting Condition</th> <td>9</td> <td>Install additional street light</td> <td>Street light at wrong side or lack of street light</td> <td>\$18,000</td>		Improve Lighting Condition	9	Install additional street light	Street light at wrong side or lack of street light	\$18,000
Additional   Delineated Pathway across the   5   Pavement marking delineated pathway through   Where pathway is not aligned beyond the   \$2,000     measures   Crossing   5   Pavement marking delineated pathway through   Where pathway is not aligned beyond the   \$2,000     measures   1   6   Intercossing to 5 metres beyond the edge of the   crossing   \$2,000     Measures   1   1   0   New signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and   \$2,000     Measures   1   0   New signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and   \$24,600     Measures   3   Install additional sign at each approach   New signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and   \$24,600     Measures   3   Install additional sign at each approach   New signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and   \$24,600     Measures   3   Install additional sign at each approach   New signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and   \$24,600     Measures   1   1   1   New signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and   \$24,600     Measures   1   1   1   New signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and   \$24,600     Measures   1   1   1		Automatic Gate	-	Install automatic gate	To complete and upgrade the gate system on	\$210,000
Additional neasuresDelineated Pathway across the the crossing5Pavement marking delineated pathway through where pathway is not aligned beyond the crossing\$2,000measuresCrossingthe crossing to 5 metres beyond the edge of the the crossingthe crossing to 5 metres beyond the edge of the crossingthe crossing\$2,000"Look Around" Sign at all Corners46Install additional sign at each approach look Around" Sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and look both side before crossing\$24,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and look both side before crossing\$24,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and look both side before crossing\$24,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and look both side before crossing\$32,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian\$32,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian\$32,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian\$32,600Coat (Informal) Path Prevention2Install fence or other measures to preventNeeds fence or signs\$1,200					all approaches at CN-Egerton-main line	
measures   Crossing   the crossing to 5 metres beyond the edge of the crossing   crossing <thcro< th="">   crossing   cross</thcro<>	Additional	Delineated Pathway across the	5	Pavement marking delineated pathway through	Where pathway is not aligned beyond the	\$2,000
"Look Around" Sign at all Corners46Install additional sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and iook both side before crossing\$24,600Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachIook both side before crossing\$300Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNew signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and Iook both side before crossing\$300Additional sign where sightline3Install additional warning sign at each approachNew signs to be to crossing\$300Install conter2Install fence or other measures to preventNeeds fence or signs\$1,200Install conter2Install fence or other measures to preventNeeds fence or signs\$1,200Install conter2Install fence or other measures to preventNeeds fence or signs\$1,200Install conter2Install fenceInstall fonce\$1,200Install conter2Install fonceInstall fonce\$1,200Install conter211Install fonce\$1,200Install conter211	measures	Crossing		the crossing to 5 metres beyond the edge of the	crossing	
Additional sign where sightline   3   Install additional warning sign at each approach   \$900     requirement cannot be met   2   Install fence or other measures to prevent   Needs fence or signs   \$1,200     Goat (Informal) Path Prevention   2   Install fence or other measures to prevent   Needs fence or signs   \$1,200		"Look Around" Sign at all Corners	46	Install additional sign at each approach	New signs to warn pedestrian users to stop and look both side before crossing	\$24,600
Goat (Informal) Path Prevention 2 Install fence or other measures to prevent Needs fence or signs \$1,200   pedestrian from accessing those path pedestrian from accessing those path pedestrian from accessing those path \$470,530		Additional sign where sightline requirement cannot be met	£	Install additionall warning sign at each approach		006\$
\$470,530		Goat (Informal) Path Prevention	2	Install fence or other measures to prevent pedestrian from accessing those path	Needs fence or signs	\$1,200
						\$470.530
			-	<u>-</u>		

Appendix "B" Recommended Engineering Safety Treatments

