

STONEYCREEK



Stoneycreek resident's objection to running a path/trail along the south side of Stoneycreek

- ▣ Feasibility of running the path along the south side
 - Financial
 - Environmental impact
- ▣ Existence of a trail on the north side
- ▣ 7th most economical option
- ▣ Impact on the community
 - Expectations when properties were bought
 - Declining of property values
 - Privacy
 - Illegal behaviours
 - Garbage

Feasibility of running the path along the south side



Feasibility of running the path along the south side



Feasibility of running the path along the south side



Feasibility of running the path along the south side




Feasibility of running the path along the south side




Feasibility of running the path along the south side




Existing trail along the north side




Trossacks



Existing trail along the north side



Existing trail along the north side



7th Most Economical Option


Stoneycreek Crescent



7th Most Economical Option

- ▣ No Environmental Impact
- ▣ No Disturbance to Floodplain
- ▣ No Tree Removals or Tree Impacts
- ▣ Continuous Corridor for Community Connectiveness
- ▣ Least impact to Neighbors
- ▣ No Degradation of Aesthetics to StoneyCreek
- ▣ **Anticipated Costs Lowest of All Options**

Expectations when lots were purchased



Concerns of the residents of Stoneycreek Crescent



Concerns of the residents of Stoneycreek Crescent



Concerns of the residents of Stoneycreek Crescent



Recommendations/ Motion to Counsel

- ▣ A. We agree that, that pie shaped land be made surplus.
- ▣ B. We agree that the land from Part 'A' offered for sale
- ▣ C. We request that the land along the rear of 25 – 57 Stoneycreek Crescent be made surplus and offered for sale
- ▣ D. We have no objection with the Study be received
- ▣ E. We request that proposal to run a path along the back of 25 to 57 Stoneycreek Crescent be rejected by the committee