

# Animal Welfare Advisory Committee

## Report

The 8th Meeting of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee  
September 2, 2021  
Advisory Committee Virtual Meeting - during the COVID-19 Emergency

Attendance                      PRESENT: W. Brown (Chair), M. Blosh, A. Hames, P. Lystar,  
and M. Toplack; A. Pascual (Committee Clerk).

ALSO PRESENT: O. Katolyk, M. McBride, and M. Schulthess.

The meeting was called to order at 5:02 PM; it being noted that the following members were in remote attendance: M. Blosh, W. Brown, A. Hames, P. Lystar, and M. Toplack.

### 1. Call to Order

#### 1.1 Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

### 2. Consent

#### 2.1 7th Report of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee

That it BE NOTED that the 7th Report of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee, from its meeting held on August 5, 2021, was received.

### 3. Sub-Committees and Working Groups

#### 3.1 Sub-Committee Update

That it BE NOTED that the verbal update from W. Brown with respect to the Sub-Committee Update, was received.

### 4. Items for Discussion

#### 4.1 Sub-Committee Report - Fireworks and Impacts on Wildlife and Pets

That the matter with respect to Fireworks and Impacts on Wildlife and Pets BE REFERRED to a Sub-Committee for further consideration.

#### 4.2 Educational Materials on Impacts of Fishing Hooks and Line - RESUBMITTED

That the matter with respect to Educational Materials on Impacts of Fishing Hooks and Line BE REFERRED to a Sub-Committee;

it being noted that the communication as appended to the Added Agenda, with respect to the AWAC Recommendations, was received.

#### 4.3 AWAC Budget - RESUBMITTED

That the matter with respect to the AWAC Budget BE REFERRED to a Sub-Committee.

#### 4.4 Mobile Zoos

That the matter with respect to Mobile Zoos BE REFERRED to a Sub-Committee;

it being noted that the communication as appended to the Added Agenda, with respect to the Reptilia Zoo, was received.

**5. Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 6:08 PM.

# **Animal Welfare Advisory Committee**

## **Report**

The 7th Meeting of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee  
August 5, 2021  
Advisory Committee Virtual Meeting - during the COVID-19 Emergency

Attendance                      PRESENT: M. Blosch (Acting Chair), A. Hames, and M. Toplack;  
A. Pascual (Committee Clerk).

ABSENT: W. Brown and P. Lystar.

ALSO PRESENT: H. Chapman, M. McBride, and B. Westlake-Power.

The meeting was called to order at 5:03 PM; it being noted that the following members were in remote attendance: M. Blosch, A. Hames, and M. Toplack.

### **1. Call to Order**

#### 1.1 Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

### **2. Consent**

#### 2.1 6th Report of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee

That it BE NOTED that the 6th Report of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee, from its meeting held on July 8, 2021, was received.

#### 2.2 Letter of Resignation - M. Szabo

That the letter of resignation from M. Szabo BE RECEIVED.

### **3. Sub-Committees and Working Groups**

#### 3.1 Sub-Committee Update

That it BE NOTED that the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee held a general discussion with respect to the Sub-Committee update.

### **4. Items for Discussion**

#### 4.1 Fireworks and Impacts on Wildlife and Pets

That the matter with respect to Fireworks and Impacts on Wildlife and Pets BE REFERRED to a Sub-Committee; it being noted that the Sub-Committee will report back to the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee;

it being further noted that the communication from V. Anber, with respect to this matter, was received.

#### 4.2 Educational Materials on Impacts of Fishing Hooks and Line

That the matter with respect to Educational Materials on Impacts of Fishing Hooks and Line BE DEFERRED to the next Animal Welfare Advisory Committee meeting.

4.3 Per Home Limit on Foster Animals - Update

That it BE NOTED that the verbal update from H. Chapman, Manager, Community Compliance and Animal Services, with respect to the per home limit on foster animals, was received.

4.4 AWAC Budget

That the matter with respect to the AWAC Budget BE DEFERRED to the next Animal Welfare Advisory Committee meeting.

**5. Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 5:27 PM.

## Signage:



## Why Should We Use Fishing Line/Lead Collectors?

- Monofilament fishing line persists in the environment for 600 years.
- Fishing line and hooks can cause injuries or kill birds.
- Birds can ingest fishing lures & hooks. A small lead sinker is enough to poison a Bald Eagle (Using non-lead weights is a great way to protect wildlife).
- Fish, turtles and other aquatic species are at risk of being entangled in the fishing line or mistaking it for food.
- Soil quality and water can be affected as well as the monofilament breaks down into harmful microplastics.

A potential model for addressing the Impact of Fishing Line and Lead for London Ontario's watershed:

The goal of the Fishing Line Recycling Station program is to bring awareness to the issues caused by fishing line being left in the environment and the importance of disposing of it correctly. The stations are made of donated PVC pipe

## Examples of other communities having adopted this program:

<https://clearyourgear.ca/#help> With the kind support of our Sponsors, we continue to deploy Indoor & Outdoor Recycling Receptacles throughout Canada.

**Miramichi River Environmental Assessment Committee (MREAC)-A Multi-Stakeholder ENGO With a Focus on Science and Research on the Miramichi Watershed.** Based on the success in removing waste line and some lead along with the very positive response to this project MREAC will continue this project into future years. The units are multi-year, low cost, and demand only infrequent emptying due to the low volume of the waste materials.

**The Thousand Islands Association (TIA)** began working on the fishing line recycling stations in early June 2021, and the first station was installed in the first week of July, but they're hopeful more will be installed in the future. They are also partnering with Save The River, an organization on the American side of the St. Lawrence River, and have given them 10 of the PVC pipe recycling units to set up near their shorelines. <https://www.thestar.com/news/canada/2021/08/12/group-encourages-recycling-of-fishing-line.html>

The fishing line will then be sent to the Berkley Recycling Centre in Iowa to be properly recycled and repurposed. The Iowa recycling centre has the ability to melt down the fishing line and repurpose the old line into new line.

## Additional key measures for consideration:

- Education and Awareness: regarding the harmful effects to the soil and wildlife through media platform campaigns and signage in ESA's.

- To ensure the Recycling Receptacles are installed and maintained regularly: Clear Your Gear solidifies the support of regional Partners & Volunteers. Once the line is collected it is shipped to the recycling facility.
- Opportunity to repurpose: Recycled monofilament fishing line is used for many purposes including the manufacturing of Berkley Fish Habs. Once in the water, the Fish Hab attracts fish and plant growth almost immediately.



Recommendation to Council:

Enforce the policy position taken by City Council on December 18, 2018 to reject zoos, specifically Reptilia's application to open a zoo in Westmount Mall, by revoking the building permit that was issued in error on January 21, 2021.

Background

Reptilia is a business that keeps reptiles in captivity in a facility open to the public. They also take reptiles off-site in order to exhibit them at events such as birthday parties. Reptilia first indicated their intent to open at Westmount Mall several years ago. At the City Council meeting on December 18, 2018, the majority of council members rejected the idea of any zoo, reptile or otherwise, opening in the city. Subsequently, on January 21, 2021, a building permit was issued for interior alterations at Westmount Mall to accommodate Reptilia on the basis that Reptilia was a "place of entertainment" and therefore fit within the permitted uses of the Regional Shopping Area zone RSA2(2).

Discussion

1. For licensing purposes Reptilia is a "zoo", not a "place of entertainment"

Licensing is key because section 3.6 of London's Animal Control By-law states that the by-law "shall not apply to animals maintained in a public park, zoo, fair, exhibition or circus operated or licensed by a municipal or other governmental authority". Without a license, Reptilia would be limited to keeping only those animals allowed under London's Animal Control By-law.

A municipal license to keep animals in a zoo is not available in London. The Business Licensing By-law has no provisions for a zoo license. At the December 2018 city council meeting, council considered whether to amend the Business Licensing By-law to provide for a zoo license to be issued by the municipality. The majority of council members voted to not amend the by-law. The intent was to not provide a license and as a result to not allow zoos.

There is no Provincial "zoo license".

The only license available is one issued by the MNR&F under the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act (FWCA). Section 40(1) of the Act states that "A person shall not keep live game wildlife or live specially protected wildlife in captivity except under the authority of a licence and in accordance with the regulations."

For purposes of the FWCA, Ontario Regulation 668/98 Wildlife in Captivity (WIC) provides that "A person who owns or operates a zoo may, in accordance with a licence issued under the Act, (a) keep or propagate game wildlife and specially protected wildlife; and (b) buy or sell game wildlife and specially protected wildlife." (WIC 3) The regulations define a "zoo" to mean "a place where game wildlife or specially protected wildlife is kept in captivity for display to the public and for conservation, educational or scientific purposes". (WIC 1(1))

Neither the FWCA nor the Regulations define or make any provisions for licensing a "place of entertainment". London's Animal Control By-law also does not exempt a "place of entertainment".

## 2. Zoos are not a permitted use in the Regional Shopping Area zone

The staff report presented to city council in December 2018 simply stated that the proposed land use falls within the definition of “place of entertainment” under the city’s Zoning By-law. That by-law defines a “place of entertainment” to mean “a building, or a part thereof, used for the general purpose of providing entertainment and includes cinemas, theatres, art galleries, commercial recreation establishments, auditoriums and all other places of amusement, but excludes amusement game establishments.” Despite zoos not being on the list, there was no analysis as to whether Reptilia fit within the category or was analogous to the types of businesses listed.

The staff report failed to consider that the list of permitted uses in a Regional Shopping Area zone excludes businesses that involve animals:

- Retail stores are allowed, but they are defined as selling “goods, wares, merchandise, substances, foodstuffs, farm produce, articles and things”. Retail stores under this definition cannot sell animals.
- Pet stores have a separate definition in the zoning by-law, and they are not permitted in the zone.
- Auctioneers are permitted, but only if selling merchandise. An auction of animals would not be permitted.
- Medical offices for people are permitted, but animal clinics and animal hospitals are not.

It would not be consistent to exclude pet shops and veterinarians but allow a zoo. Regardless of whether the purpose of the business is entertainment, amusement or something else, the exclusion of businesses that involve animals from a shopping mall is reasonable based on public health and safety. Serious health and safety concerns are well-documented in the use of certain species of exotic animals typically kept in zoos and mobile zoos, particularly where close interaction, including direct contact, is permitted.

## 3. The building permit was issued in error and should be revoked.

City council sets policy. Decisions on how to execute the policy are administrative and ordinarily would not be interrupted. This situation is different.

Regardless of whether the staff report was correct in its conclusion that Reptilia is a “place of entertainment”, it could not be relied on to justify issuing a building permit. The staff report was submitted before the December 18, 2018 meeting when city council voted to not amend the Business Licensing By-law to provide a zoo license. After that decision by city council, staff was or should have been aware of the direction by council. A building permit to allow alterations to the mall to accommodate a zoo was against the policy set by council and issued in error.

A building permit may be revoked if it was issued on mistaken, false or incorrect information, or if it was issued in error. (Building Code Act s. 10) It is important to not set a precedent. Revoking the building permit would cause minimal harm to Reptilia. In any case, Reptilia must bear the consequences of revocation given they were well aware of council’s decision in December 2018 to not amend the by-law to permit zoos and mobile zoos.