The 18th Meeting of City Council  
October 13, 2020, 4:00 PM  
Virtual Meeting - during the COVID-19 Emergency  
City Hall is open to the public, with reduced capacity and physical distancing requirements. Meetings can be viewed via live-streaming on YouTube and the City website.

The City of London is committed to making every effort to provide alternate formats and communication supports for Council, Standing or Advisory Committee meetings and information, upon request. To make a request for any City service, please contact accessibility@london.ca or 519-661-2489 ext. 2425.

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest  
2. Recognitions  
   2.1. 4:00 PM His Worship the Mayor will recognize, in absentia, the recipient of the 2020 Tim Hickman Health and Safety Scholarship  
3. Review of Confidential Matters to be Considered in Public  
4. Council, In Closed Session  
   4.1. Solicitor-Client Privilege  
       A matter pertaining to advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose, with respect to RFP 20-54 Municipal Parking Enforcement Services. (6.1/11/CPSC)  
5. Confirmation and Signing of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting(s)  
   5.1. 17th Meeting held on September 29, 2020  
6. Communications and Petitions  
   6.1. 101 Meadowlily Road South 39CD-20502 (OZ-9192)  
       (Refer to the Planning and Environment Committee Stage for Consideration with Item 4 (3.1) of the 15th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee)  
       1. H. Pindur, 517 Cardigan Drive  
       2. D. Koscinski, Thames Talbot Land Trust  
   6.2. RFP 20-54 Municipal Parking Enforcement Services  
       (Refer to the Community and Protective Services Committee Stage for Consideration with Item 8 (2.1) of the 11th Report of the Community and Protective Services Committee)
Motions of Which Notice is Given

Reports

8.1. 15th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest
2. (2.1) Draft Environmental Management Guidelines Update
3. (2.2) Building Division Monthly Report for August 2020
4. (3.1) 101 Meadowlily Road South 39CD-20502 (OZ-9192)

8.2. 11th Report of the Community and Protective Services Committee

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest
2. (2.2) Housing Quarterly Report
3. (2.3) Proposed Watt Coin Collection Donation to the Museum of the Bank of Canada
4. (2.5) Deferred Matters (Item 13): Parade Permits (Relates to Bill No. 303)
5. (2.6) The Museum London Operating Endowment Fund Trust (Relates to Bill No. 300)
6. (2.7) Homeless Prevention COVID-19 Response - Single Source Procurements
7. (2.8) Municipal Implementation of Authorized Cannabis Retail Stores
8. (2.1) RFP 20-54 Municipal Parking Enforcement Services

That it BE NOTED that the Community and Protective Services Committee was unable to reach a majority decision with respect to RFP 20-54 for Municipal Parking Enforcement Services and pursuant to Section 19.3 of the Council Procedure By-law, the matter is hereby submitted to the Municipal Council for its disposition.
9. (2.4) Response to Visual Artist K. O’Neill Concerning Arts and Culture Policies and Funding
10. (3.1) Administrative Monetary Penalties - Application to Municipal By-laws (Relates to Bill No.'s 301, 302, 304 and 305)
11. (4.1) Stop Illicit Cannabis Grow Operations
12. (5.1) Deferred Matters List

9. **Added Reports**

9.1. 15th Report of Council in Closed Session

10. **Deferred Matters**

11. **Enquiries**

12. **Emergent Motions**

13. **By-laws**

By-laws to be read a first, second and third time:

13.1. Bill No. 299 By-law No. A.-________ - ______ 93
A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council Meeting held on the 13th day of October, 2020. (City Clerk)

13.2. Bill No. 300 By-law No. A.-________ - ______ 94
A by-law to authorize the Mayor and Clerk to execute the Acknowledgment between The Corporation of the City of London (the “City”), Museum London (the “Museum”) and Museum London Foundation (the “Foundation”) to terminate the Declaration and Agreement of Trust dated the 9th day of April, 2019 (the “Agreement”). (2.6/11/CPSC)

13.3. Bill No. 301 By-law No. A-54-20________ 98
A by-law to amend By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London”. (3.1/11/CPSC)

13.4. Bill No. 302 By-law No. CP-19-20________ 112
A by-law to amend By-law No. CP-19 referred to as Residential Rental Units Licensing By-law. (3.1/11/CPSC)

13.5. Bill No. 303 By-law No. CPOL.-142(__)-____ 113
A by-law to amend By-law No. CPOL.-142-394, as amended, as it relates to the Council Policy entitled “Special Events Policies and Procedures Manual” to restrict the issuance of parade permits between November 1 and November 11 annually. (2.5/11/CPSC)

13.6. Bill No. 304 By-law No. L.-131(__)-____ 114
A by-law to amend By-law No. L.131-16, being “A by-law to provide for the Licensing and Regulation of Various Businesses.” (3.1/11/CPSC)

13.7. Bill No. 305 By-law No. PW-9-20________ 115
A by-law to amend By-law No. PW-9 referred to as the Yard and Lot Maintenance By-law titled “A By-law to provide for the filling up, draining, cleaning and clearing of land, and clearing of refuse from land.” (3.1/11/CPSC)

A by-law to lay out, constitute, establish and assume certain reserves in the City of London as public highway. (as widening to Dundas Street, west of English Street) (Chief Surveyor - pursuant to Site Plan SPA20-002 and in accordance with Zoning By-law Z-1)

13.9. Bill No. 307 By-law No. S.-______-_____

A by-law to assume certain works and services in the City of London. (Talbot Village Subdivision Phase 4, Plan 33M-684) (City Engineer)

13.10. Bill No. 308 By-law No. W.-______-_____

A by-law to authorize the Windermere Road -Western Road to Richmond Street Improvements (Project No. TS1359) (2.5/11/CWC)

14. Adjournment
Council
Minutes

The 17th Meeting of City Council
September 29, 2020, 4:00 PM


Absent: M. Salih

Also Present: C. Saunders, M. Schulthess, J. Taylor, B. Westlake-Power

The meeting is called to order at 4:04 PM, with all Members in attendance except Councillors M. Salih, P. Van Meerbergen and A. Kayabaga; it being noted that the following members attending the meeting remotely: M. van Holst, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, P. Van Meerbergen, S. Turner, A. Kayabaga and S. Hillier

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Councillor J. Morgan discloses a pecuniary interest with respect to Item 12 (4.5), of the 16th Report of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee, having to do with an appointment to the London Public Library Board by indicating that a candidate is a member of the Board of Governors of Western University, which is his employer.

Councillor S. Turner discloses a pecuniary interest with respect to Item 8 (4.1) of the 16th Report of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee, having to do with the Mandatory Face Coverings By-law Status Update by indicating that this is a public health matter and he is employed by the Middlesex-London Health Unit.

2. Recognitions

None.

3. Review of Confidential Matters to be Considered in Public

None.

4. Council, In Closed Session

None.

At 4:07 PM, Councillor Paul Van Meerbergen enters the meeting.
5. Confirmation and Signing of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting(s)

Motion made by: P. Van Meerbergen
Seconded by: S. Lehman

That the Minutes of the 16th Meeting, held on September 15, 2020, BE APPROVED.


Absent: (2): M. Salih, and A. Kayabaga

Motion Passed (13 to 0)

6. Communications and Petitions

At 4:11 PM, Councillor A. Kayabaga enters the meeting.

Motion made by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: S. Lewis

That the following communications BE RECEIVED and BE REFERRED, as noted on the Added Agenda:

6.1 Application - 556 Wellington Street
   A. Nelms, Nelms Group Ltd.;
   G. Webster, 320 Wolfe Street;
   K. Rapson, Woodfield Community Association;

6.2 Silverleaf Subdivision
   F. R. Berry, F.R. Berry and Associates Transportation Planning Consultants


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

7. Motions of Which Notice is Given

None.
8. Reports

8.1 14th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That the 14th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee BE APPROVED, excluding Items 4 (2.1), 11 (3.7), 14 (3.10), 15 (3.11) and 16 (4.1).


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

Motion Passed

2. (2.1) Application - 1284 and 1388 Sunningdale Road West - Kent Subdivision Phase 3B- Special Provisions 39T-04510 Ph 3B

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, the following actions be taken with respect to entering into a Subdivision Agreement between The Corporation of the City of London and Foxhollow North Kent Development Inc., for the subdivision of land over Part of Lot 23, Concession 5, (Geographic Township of London), City of London, County of Middlesex, situated on the south side of Sunningdale Road West, between Wonderland Road North and Hyde Park Road, and on the north side of the Heard Drain, municipally known as 1284 and 1388 Sunningdale Road West:

a) the Special Provisions, to be contained in a Subdivision Agreement between The Corporation of the City of London and Foxhollow North Kent Development Inc., for the Kent Subdivision, Phase 3B (39T-04510-3B) appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “A” BE APPROVED;

b) the Applicant BE ADVISED that Development Finance has summarized the claims and revenues appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix "B"; and,

c) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute this Agreement, any amending agreements and all documents required to fulfill its conditions.

Motion Passed
3. (2.3) Building Division Monthly Report for July 2020

Motion made by: M. Cassidy


Motion Passed

5. (3.1) Application - 733 Wellington Street (Z-9222) (Relates to Bill No. 293)

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, based on the application by McIver Holdings Inc., relating to the property located at 733 Wellington Street, the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z.-1, (in conformity with the Official Plan), to change the zoning of the subject property FROM a Residential R2 (R2-6) Zone and TO Residential R3 Special Provision (R3-4 ( )) Zone;

it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received a communication dated from D. Deane Cummings, Co-Chair, Piccadilly Area Neighbourhood Association, with respect to this matter;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individual indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made an oral submission regarding these matters;

it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:
• the recommended Zoning Amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2020, which encourages an appropriate range and mix of uses to meet projected requirements of current and future residents;
• the recommended amendment conforms to the in-force policies of The London Plan, including but not limited to, the Neighbourhood Area Place Type, Our City, Our Strategy, and all other applicable London Plan policies;
• the recommended amendment permits an appropriate range of residential uses that conform to the in-force policies of the (1989) Official Plan, including but not limited to the Main Street Commercial Corridor designation; and,
• the recommended Zoning By-law Amendment permits development that is appropriate for the site and compatible with the surrounding land.

Motion Passed

6. (3.2) Application - 666-670 Wonderland Road North (Z-9241) (Relates to Bill No. 294)

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, based on the application by JFK Holdings, relating to the
property located at 666-670 Wonderland Road North, the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z-1, (in conformity with the Official Plan), to change the zoning of the subject property BY AMENDING the Highway Service Commercial Special Provision/Restricted Service Commercial Special Provision (HS(3)/RSC2(17)) Zone;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individual indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made an oral submission regarding these matters;

it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:

• the proposed amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, 2020;
• the proposed amendment conforms to the in-force policies of the 1989 Official Plan, including but not limited to, the Auto-Oriented Commercial Corridor; and,
• the proposed amendment conforms to the in-force policies of The London Plan, including but not limited to the Transit Village Place Type.

Motion Passed

7. (3.3) Application - 820 Cabell Street (Z-9196)
Motion made by: M. Cassidy
That the following actions be taken with respect to the application by Bruce Sworik, relating to the property located at 820 Cabell Street:

a) the application BE REFERRED to a future Planning and Environment Committee meeting; and,

b) the Civic Administration BE REQUESTED to work with the applicant and to report back with a draft by-law to permit ancillary commercial space permitted on the property to a maximum gross floor area of 400 m2;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individual indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made an oral submission regarding these matters.

Motion Passed

8. (3.4) Application - 122 Base Line Road West (OZ-9200) (Relates to Bill No.’s 283 and 295)
Motion made by: M. Cassidy
That, on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, the following actions be taken with respect to the application by Housing Development Corporation London, relating to the property located at 122 Base Line Road West:
a) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “A” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend The London Plan by ADDING a policy to Specific Policies for the Neighbourhoods Place Type to permit a low-rise apartment building on the subject site and by ADDING the subject lands to Map 7 – Specific Policy Areas – of the London Plan;

it being noted that the amendments will come into full force and effect concurrently with Map 1 and Map 7 of the London Plan;

b) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “B” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z.-1, (in conformity with The London Plan as amended in part a) above), to change the zoning of the subject property FROM a Residential R8 (R8-3) Zone TO a Holding Residential R8 Bonus (h-5*R8-3*B(_)) Zone;

the Bonus Zone shall be implemented through one or more agreements to provide for a low-rise apartment building with a maximum height of 4 storeys or 13.0 metres; an increased density of up to 100 units per hectare (61 units total); a rear yard depth minimum of 15.0 metres; an interior side yard depth minimum of 3.0 metres for building walls containing no windows to habitable rooms or 8.0 metres for building walls containing windows to habitable rooms; a parking rate of 1 space per unit; and a bicycle parking rate of 1 space per 4 units, in return for the provision of the following facilities, services and matters:

i) provision of Affordable Housing: A mix of unit types (by number of bedrooms) and a minimum of 30% of each unit type within the development will be provided at affordable rent (at approximately 70% of Average Market Rent). An agreements shall be entered into with the Corporation of the City of London to secure those units for a minimum affordability period of 20 years; and,

ii) design Principles: Implementation of a site development concept, to be implemented through a future development agreement, which substantially achieves design principles that include:

A) building footprint and spatial orientation that: serves to activate the street; is pedestrian in scale; and establishes safe, direct, and barrier-free accessible pedestrian connections throughout the Site and from the Site to the public realm;

B) a principle building entrance that further serves to activate the streetscape and reinforce the “front facing” built form;

C) a building footprint that mitigates impacts, noting an enhanced rear yard setback and enhanced interior side yard setback are identified in the Bonus Zone;

D) a parking area that provides for safe, direct and barrier-free accessible pedestrian connections, is suitably sized to accommodate projected demand, and is strategically located to minimize impacts on the public realm;

E) an outdoor amenity area that is sufficiently sized and strategically located to provide for privacy and additional buffering opportunities and plantings, and also serves to mitigate overland flows and other potential stormwater management (SWM) impacts; and,

F) maintain, to the greatest extent possible, on-site green infrastructure in a manner consistent with the findings of the preliminary Tree Preservation Report;
it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters;

it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:

• the recommended amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement 2020;
• the recommended amendment conforms with the 1989 Official Plan;
• the recommended amendment conforms with the policies of The London Plan, including but not limited to the Key Directions, Homelessness Prevention and Housing policies, and City Design policies;
• the recommended amendment facilitates infill and intensification of an underutilized urban site and encourages an appropriate form of development. Infill and intensification supports the City’s commitment to reducing and mitigating climate change by supporting efficient use of existing urban lands and infrastructure and regeneration of existing neighbourhoods;
• the recommended amendment facilitates the development of up to 61 affordable housing units that will help in addressing the growing need for affordable housing in London. The recommended amendment is in alignment with the Housing Stability Action Plan 2019-2024 and Strategic Area of Focus 2: Create More Housing Stock; and,
• the recommended bonus zone for the subject site will provide public benefits that include affordable housing units, barrier-free and accessible design, transit-supportive development, and a quality design standard to be implemented through a subsequent public site plan application.

Motion Passed

9. (3.5) Application - 1093 Westdel Bourne (Z-9186) (Relates to Bill No. 296)

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, based on the application by Norquay Developments, relating to a portion of the property located at 1093 Westdel Bourne, the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z-1, (in conformity with the Official Plan), to change the zoning of a portion of the subject property FROM a Residential R1 (R1-14) Zone TO a Residential R1 (R1-4) Zone;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individual indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made an oral submission regarding these matters;

it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:

• the proposed development is consistent with the PPS, 2020 by promoting the efficient use of land;
• the proposed development conforms with the in-force polices of The London Plan, including but not limited to permitted single detached dwelling use within the Neighbourhood Place Type;
• the proposed development conforms with the in-force policies of the (1989) Official Plan, including but not limited to the permitted use of single detached dwellings in the Low Density Residential designation; and,
• the recommended Zoning By-law amendment will ensure that the zoning of these lands corresponds with the zoning of five(5) partial lots within the Eagle Ridge draft approved plan of subdivision (39T-17501).

Motion Passed

10. (3.6) Application - 799 Southdale Road West (OZ-9188) (Relates to Bill No.’s 278, 279, 284 and 297)

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, the following actions be taken with respect to the application by Speyside East Corporation, relating to the property located at 799 Southdale Road West:

a) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “A” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend the Official Plan for the City of London (1989):

i) by changing the land use designation FROM “Low Density Residential” TO “Multi-Family, Medium Density Residential”;
ii) as it relates to the Southwest Area Secondary Plan, by changing the land use designation of 20.5.17 Appendix 1 (Official Plan Extracts) FROM “Low Density Residential” TO “Medium Density Residential”;
iii) as it relates to the Southwest Area Secondary Plan, by changing the land use designation of 20.5.3.4 - Schedule 2 (Multi-Use Pathways and Parks) FROM “Low Density Residential” TO “Medium Density Residential”;
iv) as it relates to the Southwest Area Secondary Plan, by changing the land use designation of 20.5.5 - Schedule 4 (Southwest Area Land Use Plan) FROM “Low Density Residential” TO “Medium Density Residential”;
v) as it relates to the Southwest Area Secondary Plan, by changing the land use designation of Schedule 6 (Lambeth Residential Neighbourhood Land Use Designations) FROM “Low Density Residential” TO “Medium Density Residential”;
vi) as it relates to the Southwest Area Secondary Plan, by changing the land use designation of Schedule 9 (North Lambeth Residential Neighbourhood Land Use Designations) FROM “Low Density Residential” TO “Medium Density Residential”; and,
vii) as it relates to the Southwest Area Secondary Plan, by changing the land use designation of Schedule 12 (North Talbot Residential Neighbourhood Land Use Designations) FROM “Low Density Residential” TO “Medium Density Residential”;

b) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “B” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend the Official Plan for the City of London (1989) to ADD a policy to Section 10.1.3 – “Policies for Specific Areas” to allow the
site to develop with reduced setbacks, building heights of 6-storeys, a maximum density of 100 units per hectare, that the front lot line is deemed to be Southdale Road West to permit a 6-storey continuum-of-care facility; 5-storey apartment buildings; and townhouse units;

c) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix "C" BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend The London Plan to change Policy 1565_5 (List of Secondary Plans) Southwest Area Secondary Plan, Section 20.5 (Southwest Area Secondary Plan):

   i) by changing the land use designation of 20.5.17 Appendix 1 (Official Plan Extracts) FROM "Low Density Residential" TO "Medium Density Residential";

   ii) by changing the land use designation of 20.5.3.4 - Schedule 2 (Multi-Use Pathways and Parks) FROM "Low Density Residential" TO "Medium Density Residential";

   iii) by changing the land use designation of 20.5.5 - Schedule 4 (Southwest Area Land Use Plan) FROM "Low Density Residential" TO "Medium Density Residential";

   iv) by changing the land use designation of Schedule 6 (Lambeth Residential Neighbourhood Land Use Designations) FROM "Low Density Residential" TO "Medium Density Residential";

   v) by changing the land use designation of Schedule 9 (North Lambeth Residential Neighbourhood Land Use Designations) FROM "Low Density Residential" TO "Medium Density Residential";

   vi) by changing the land use designation of Schedule 12 (North Talbot Residential Neighbourhood Land Use Designations) FROM "Low Density Residential" TO "Medium Density Residential";


d) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix "D" BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z.-1, (in conformity with the Official Plan for the City of London (1989), Southwest Area Secondary Plan and The London Plan, as amended in parts a) through c) above):

   i) to change the zoning of the subject property FROM an Urban Reserve (UR1) Zone and a holding Residential R4 Special Provision (h-56*h-84*R4-6(6) Zone TO a Residential R7 Special Provision (R7/ )H20*D100) zone on the western portion of the lands to permit a minimum front yard setback of 0.5 metres, a minimum exterior side yard setback of 9.2 metres, a front lot line that is deemed to be Southdale Road West, and to permit Continuum-of-Care Facilities to be owned and/or operated by a for-profit entity;

   ii) to change the zoning of the subject property FROM an Urban Reserve (UR1) Zone and a holding Residential R4 Special Provision (h-56*h-84*R4-6(6) Zone TO a Residential R5 Special Provision/Residential R9 Special Provision (R5-7( )/R9-3( )) Zone on the eastern portion of the lands to permit a maximum density of 100 units per hectare, minimum front yard setback of 0.5 metres, a minimum west side yard setback of 9.2 metres, a front lot line that is deemed to be Southdale Road West, and to permit buildings oriented to the Southdale Road frontage; and,

   iii) to change the zoning of the subject property FROM a Residential R2 Special Provision/Residential R4 Special Provision (R2-1(13)/R4-3(1) Zone TO a Residential R5 Special
Provision/Residential R9 Special Provision ((R5-7)(R9-3)) Zone on the eastern portion of the lands to permit a maximum density of 100 units per hectare, minimum front yard setback of 0.5 metres, a minimum west side yard setback of 4.8m, a minimum east side yard setback of 6.0m, a maximum building height of 17m, a maximum density of 100 units per hectare, a front lot line that is deemed to be Southdale Road West, and buildings oriented to the Southdale Road frontage.

e) pursuant to Section 34(17) of the Planning Act, as determined by the Municipal Council, no further notice BE GIVEN in respect of the proposed Official Plan amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment as:

i) the changes represent technical amendments to the 1989 Official Plan and The London Plan to facilitate amendments to the Southwest Area Secondary Plan, and Zoning Bylaw; and,

ii) the recommended Official Plan amendment and Zoning By-law amendment have the same effect as the proposed Official Plan amendment circulated in the Notice of Application and the Public Meeting Notice;

it being further noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received a communication dated September 4, 2020 from G. Versteegh, 804 Southdale Road, with respect to this matter;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters;

it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:

• the recommended amendments are consistent with the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) which direct municipalities to ensure development provides healthy, liveable and safe communities, and that provide for an appropriate range and mix of housing types and densities;

• the recommended amendments conform to the in-force policies of the (1989) Official Plan including, but not limited to, the policies of Chapter 10 which list the necessary condition(s) for approval of Policies for Specific Areas to facilitate the development of the subject lands to a Multi-family, Medium Density Residential development, supporting Southwest Area Plan policies and the recommended Multi-family Medium Density Residential designation;

• the recommended amendments conform to the in-force policies of The London Plan including, but not limited to, the Southwest Area Secondary Plan. Overall, the proposed residential uses will serve the intended function of the Neighbourhoods Place Type while providing for a manner which respects the intended form and character of the area through conformity with the Southwest Area Plan’s Urban Design Guidelines;

• the recommended amendments conform to the policies of the Southwest Area Secondary Plan (SWAP);

• the recommended amendments would provide the necessary guidance for the developer and staff, and would direct the most intense residential uses along Southdale Road West, an arterial road, with a transition to less intensive forms adjacent to the low density residential to the south. The overall height and density of this proposal would be in keeping with the proposed Multi-family,
Medium Density Residential density target for these lands. This marginal increase in height and density for this development will not cause serious adverse impacts for surrounding residential land uses;
• the recommended amendments to Zoning By-law Z.-1 will conform to the (1989) Official Plan, Southwest Area Secondary Plan and The London Plan as recommended to be amended. The recommended amendments to the Zoning By-law with special provisions will provide for an appropriate development of the site; and,
• the holding provisions on the subject site are recommended to be removed as all conditions have been satisfied.

Motion Passed

12. (3.8) Application - Request to Remove from the Register - Heritage Listed Property - 1455 Oxford Street East

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, that the property at 1455 Oxford Street East BE REMOVED from the Register of Cultural Heritage Resources;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters.

Motion Passed

13. (3.9) Application - Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan (O-9223) and 124 Colborne Street and the Block Bounded by Hill Street, Colborne Street, South and Waterloo Street (Z-9224) (Relates to Bill No.’s 280, 281, 282, 285, 286, 287 and 298)

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, the following actions be taken with respect to the applications by The Corporation of the City of London relating to The Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan Area and the properties located at 124 Colborne Street and the Block Bounded by Hill Street, Colborne Street, South Street, and Waterloo Street:

a) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix "A" BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 by changing Section 20.6 – Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan by DELETING Section 20.6.3.3 – Bonusing Policies and DELETE and REPLACE Sections 20.6.4.1 iv) a), b), c), and d); 20.6.4.2 v) a), b), and c); 20.6.4.3.1 iii) a), b), and c); and 20.6.4.3.3 iii) a), b), and c);

b) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix "B" BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend The London Plan by changing policy 1565_3 – List of Secondary Plans – Old Victoria Hospital Secondary Plan, by
DELETING Section 20.6.3.3 – Bonusing Policies and DELETE and REPLACE Sections 20.6.4.1 iv) a), b), c), and d); 20.6.4.2 v) a), b), and c); 20.6.4.3.1 iii) a), b), and c); 20.6.4.3.2 iii) a), b), and c); and 20.6.4.3.3 iii) a), b), and c);

c) the Urban Design Guidelines for the Old Victoria Hospital Lands Phase II appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “C” BE ADOPTED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 by resolution of City Council;

d) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “D” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend section 19.2.2 of the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 by ADDING the Urban Design Guidelines for the Old Victoria Hospital Lands Phase II to the list of Council approved guideline documents;

e) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “E” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Section 20.6 (Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan) of the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 by ADDING a policy to Section 20.6.5.8 “Guideline Documents”;

f) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “F” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Section 1716 of The London Plan by ADDING the Urban Design Guidelines for the Old Victoria Hospital Lands Phase II to the list of Council approved guideline documents;

g) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “G” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Section 1565_3 of The London Plan (Old Victoria Hospital Secondary Plan), by ADDING a policy to Section 20.6.5.8 “Guideline Documents”;

h) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated September 21, 2020 as Appendix “H” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z.-1, (in conformity with the 1989 Official Plan, The London Plan, and the Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan as amended in parts a) and b) above), to change the zoning of the subject property FROM a Residential R3/Residential R7/Residential R9 (R3-1/R7•D150•H24/R9-7•H24) Zone and Holding Residential R7/Residential R9/Regional Facility (h•h-5•R-7•D150•H12/R9-3•H12/RF) Zone TO a Holding Residential R8 Special Provision (h•h-5•R8-4(*)) Zone, Holding Residential R8 Special Provision (h•h-5•R8-4(**)) Zone, Holding Residential R8 Special Provision (h•h-5•R8-4(****)) Zone, a Holding Residential R4 Special Provision/Residential R8 Special Provision (h•h-5•R4-6(._)•R8-4(*****)) Zone, and an Open Space Special Provision (OS1(‘*)) Zone;

i) pursuant to Section 34(17) of the Planning Act, as determined by the Municipal Council, no further notice BE GIVEN in respect of the proposed Official Plan amendment as:

  i) the changes represent technical amendments to the 1989 Official Plan and The London Plan to facilitate amendments to the Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan; and,

  ii) the recommended Official Plan amendments has the same
effect as the proposed Official Plan amendment circulated in the Notice of Application and the Public Meeting Notice;

it being noted that no individuals spoke at the public participation meeting associated with this matter;

it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:

• the recommended amendment is consistent with the PPS, 2020, which encourages the regeneration of settlement areas and land use patterns within settlement areas that provide for a range of uses and opportunities for intensification and redevelopment. The PPS directs municipalities to permit all forms of housing required to meet the needs of all residents, present and future;
• the recommended amendment conforms to the in-force policies of The London Plan, including but not limited to the Key Directions;
• the recommended amendment conforms to the in-force policies of the Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan, including but not limited to The Four Corners, Transit-Oriented Mainstreet, Low-Rise Residential, Mid-Rise Residential, and High-Rise Residential Policy Areas; and,
• the recommended amendment conforms to the in-force policies of the 1989 Official Plan, including but not limited to the Multi-Family, High Density Residential designation.

Motion Passed

4. (2.2) Application - 556 Wellington Street - HAP20-011
Motion made by: M. Cassidy
That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, in response to the recommendation of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage, dated September 10, 2020, with respect to the staff report on the Heritage Alteration Permit (HAP20-011) relating to the property located at 556 Wellington Street, the staff report dated September 21, 2020 entitled “556 Wellington Street - HAP20-011” BE RECEIVED for information.
Nays: (1): P. Squire
Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (13 to 1)

11. (3.7) Application - Demolition Request for Heritage Designated Property - 120 York Street
Motion made by: S. Turner
Seconded by: A. Kayabaga
That the request to demolish the building on the heritage designated property at 120 York Street, within the Downtown Heritage Conservation District, BE DENIED;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the
attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters.

Yeas: (3): A. Hopkins, S. Turner, and A. Kayabaga


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Failed (3 to 11)

Motion made by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: S. Lewis

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the request to demolish the building on the heritage designated property at 120 York Street, within the Downtown Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED, and the following actions be taken:

a) the Chief Building Official BE ADVISED of Municipal Council’s intention in this matter; and,

b) the applicant BE REQUIRED to obtain final Site Plan Approval for the property.

Yeas: (11): Mayor E. Holder, M. van Holst, S. Lewis, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, P. Squire, J. Morgan, S. Lehman, P. Van Meerbergen, E. Peloza, and S. Hillier

Nays: (3): A. Hopkins, S. Turner, and A. Kayabaga

Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (11 to 3)

14. (3.10) Application - 556 Wellington Street

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, the following actions be taken with respect to the application of GWL Realty Advisors, relating to the property located at 556 Wellington Street:

a) the Approval Authority BE ADVISED that the following issues were raised at the public participation meeting with respect to the application for Site Plan Approval to permit the construction of two buildings containing a total of 405 units:

i) the impact of the heritage aspect of the neighbourhood;

ii) the West Woodfield Heritage Conservation District indicates that new buildings should respect the heritage character of West Woodfield through attention to height, built form, setback, massing, material and other architectural elements;

iii) the proposed new development should be consistent with neighbourhood facades;

iv) the streetscape should be preserved;

v) the north facade should be in line with the general line of the buildings on Wolfe Street;

vi) there is no outdoor amenity space;

vii) a wind study was not prepared;
viii) there is no consideration given for snow removal;
ix) Wellington Street is closed for festivals almost every weekend in the summer and wondering where the traffic from the building would go;
x) there is no consideration provided for deliveries;
xi) there are no environmental considerations for the building, such as, green roofs and car charging stations;
xii) Wolfe Street should not be widened;
xiii) there will be a significant increase in traffic on Wolfe Street which is a narrow street;
xiv) request for a pedestrian crosswalk on Wolfe Street at Wellington Street;
xv) the main floor be residential instead of commercial; and,
xvi) the shadow studies show that in March and September there will be no sunlight for the neighbouring properties up to Waterloo Street; and,

b) the Approval Authority BE ADVISED that the Municipal Council raised the following issues with respect to the Site Plan Application to permit the construction of two buildings containing a total of 405 units:

i) continue to work with the Applicant to amend the proposed buildings design that would best to assist in achieving appropriate transitioning between the proposal, the existing neighbourhood and Victoria Park; and,
ii) consider potential access off of Princess Avenue and Wellington Street including narrower design;

it being pointed out that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received the following communications with respect to this matter:

- a communication dated September 6, 2020 from B. Rich, 54 Palace Street;
- a communication dated September 15, 2020 from M. A. Hodge and T. Okanski, 310 Wolfe Street;
- a communication dated September 3, 2020 from J. Petrie, 543 Dufferin Avenue;
- a communication dated September 16, 2020 from E. Kane, 24 McGill Place;
- a communication dated September 3, 2020 from G. James, 101-295 Wolfe Street;
- a communication dated September 16, 2020 from L. Harrison, by email;
- a communication dated September 16, 2020 from G. Priamo, Principal Planner, Zelinka Priamo Ltd; and,
- a communication dated September 17, 2020 from K. McKeating, Architectural Conservancy of Ontario;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters.

Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)
15. (3.11) Silverleaf Subdivision - Transport Mobility and Safety

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That, the following actions be taken with respect to transportation mobility and safety in the Silverleaf subdivision:

a) the staff report dated September 21, 2020, entitled "Silverleaf Subdivision - Transportation Mobility and Safety" BE RECEIVED for information;

b) the delegation from R. Galizia, Silverleaf Community, with respect to road safety BE RECEIVED for information; and,

c) the communication from Councillor M. van Holst Notice of Motion to request reconsideration of Municipal Council’s decision regarding the installation of sidewalks in a portion of the Silverleaf community BE RECEIVED.


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: P. Van Meerbergen

Pursuant to section 13.2 of the Council Procedure By-law, the following decision of Municipal Council from the meeting held on July 21, 2020 with respect to clause 4.1 of the 11th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee, having to do with proposed sidewalks in the Silverleaf subdivision BE RECONSIDERED; “That the communication from R. Galizia, with respect the proposed sidewalks in the Silverleaf subdivision BE RECEIVED and no further action be taken; it being noted that a petition signed by approximately 41 individuals is on file in the City Clerk’s Office, with respect to this matter.

Yeas: (8): Mayor E. Holder, M. van Holst, S. Lewis, P. Squire, J. Morgan, S. Lehman, P. Van Meerbergen, and S. Hillier


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Failed (8 to 6)

16. (4.1) 6th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage

At 5:09 PM His Worship the Mayor places Councillor J. Morgan in the Chair, and takes a seat at the Council Board.

At 5:11 PM His Worship the Mayor resumes the Chair, and Councillor J. Morgan takes his seat at the Council Board.

Motion made by: M. Cassidy
That the following actions be taken with respect to the 6th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage from its meeting held on September 10, 2020:

a) on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act to construct two high-rise buildings on the property located at 556 Wellington Street, within the West Woodfield Heritage Conservation District, BE REFUSED; it being noted that the concerns raised by the London Advisory Committee on Heritage (LACH), on their report dated December 11, 2019, regarding the Heritage Impact Assessment for the above-noted matter, have not been addressed to the satisfaction of the LACH;

b) on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the property at 1455 Oxford Street East BE REMOVED from the Register of Cultural Heritage Resources;

c) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for the proposed alterations to the property located at 562 Maitland Street, within the East Woodfield Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with terms and conditions:
   • all exposed wood be painted;
   • the previously installed 6”x6” wood posts be finished with wood materials in the design submitted as part of the Heritage Alteration Permit application;
   • the previously removed rails and spindles be conserved and re-installed; and,
   • the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed;

d) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for the proposed alterations to the property at 91 Bruce Street, within the Wortley Village-Old South Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with terms and conditions:
   • the rear addition results in a new building height to reflect no more than a 3’ increase;
   • the new exterior cladding to consist of tongue-and-groove wood siding;
   • the new windows on the rear addition to consist of double-hung, aluminium clad wood windows consistent with the style and proportions of the existing windows on the dwelling;
   • the roof materials on the addition to consist of asphalt shingles;
   • all the exposed wood be painted;
   • the existing conditions of the property and dwelling be photographed for documentation purposes prior to the construction of the addition; and,
   • the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed; and,

e) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for alterations to property at 59 Wortley Road, within the Wortley
Village-Old South Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with the following terms and conditions:

• the replacement railing on the steps be constructed of wood, with a top and bottom rail and wood spindles set between;
• all the exposed wood of the steps and railings be painted; and,
• the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed; and,

f) clauses 1.1, 3.1 to 3.3, inclusive, BE RECEIVED for information.

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That 6th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage, excluding part a) BE APPROVED:

That the following actions be taken with respect to the 6th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage from its meeting held on September 10, 2020:

b) on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the property at 1455 Oxford Street East BE REMOVED from the Register of Cultural Heritage Resources;

c) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for the proposed alterations to the property located at 562 Maitland Street, within the East Woodfield Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with terms and conditions:

• all exposed wood be painted;
• the previously installed 6”x6” wood posts be finished with wood materials in the design submitted as part of the Heritage Alteration Permit application;
• the previously removed rails and spindles be conserved and re-installed; and,
• the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed;

d) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for the proposed alterations to the property at 91 Bruce Street, within the Wortley Village-Old South Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with terms and conditions:

• the rear addition results in a new building height to reflect no more than a 3’ increase;
• the new exterior cladding to consist of tongue-and-groove wood siding;
• the new windows on the rear addition to consist of double-hung, aluminium clad wood windows consistent with the style and proportions of the existing windows on the dwelling;
• the roof materials on the addition to consist of asphalt shingles;
• all the exposed wood be painted;
• the existing conditions of the property and dwelling be photographed for documentation purposes prior to the construction of the addition; and,
• the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed; and,

e) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application
under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for alterations to property at 59 Wortley Road, within the Wortley Village-Old South Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with the following terms and conditions:

• the replacement railing on the steps be constructed of wood, with a top and bottom rail and wood spindles set between;
• all the exposed wood of the steps and railings be painted; and,
• the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed; and,

f) clauses 1.1, 3.1 to 3.3, inclusive, BE RECEIVED for information.

Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: M. Cassidy

That part a) of the 6th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage BE APPROVED:

a) on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act to construct two high-rise buildings on the property located at 556 Wellington Street, within the West Woodfield Heritage Conservation District, BE REFUSED; it being noted that the concerns raised by the London Advisory Committee on Heritage (LACH), on their report dated December 11, 2019, regarding the Heritage Impact Assessment for the above-noted matter, have not been addressed to the satisfaction of the LACH;

Nays: (9): Mayor E. Holder, M. van Holst, S. Lewis, P. Squire, J. Morgan, S. Lehman, P. Van Meerbergen, E. Peloza, and S. Hillier
Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Failed (5 to 9)
Motion made by: S. Lewis
Seconded by: S. Lehman

That the application for a Heritage Application Permit (HAP20-011) under section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act, as amended, to construct two high-rise buildings on the property located at 556 Wellington Street within the West Woodfield Heritage Conservation District, BE GRANTED;

Yeas: (9): Mayor E. Holder, M. van Holst, S. Lewis, P. Squire, J. Morgan, S. Lehman, P. Van Meerbergen, E. Peloza, and S. Hillier


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (9 to 5)

Item 16 (4.1), as amended, reads as follows:

That the following actions be taken with respect to the 6th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage from its meeting held on September 10, 2020:

a) the application for a Heritage Application Permit (HAP20-011) under section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act, as amended, to construct two high-rise buildings on the property located at 556 Wellington Street within the West Woodfield Heritage Conservation District, BE GRANTED;

b) on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the property at 1455 Oxford Street East BE REMOVED from the Register of Cultural Heritage Resources;

c) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for the proposed alterations to the property located at 562 Maitland Street, within the East Woodfield Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with terms and conditions:
   • all exposed wood be painted;
   • the previously installed 6”x6” wood posts be finished with wood materials in the design submitted as part of the Heritage Alteration Permit application;
   • the previously removed rails and spindles be conserved and re-installed; and,
   • the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed;

d) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for the proposed alterations to the property at 91 Bruce Street, within the Wortley Village-Old South Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with terms and conditions:
   • the rear addition results in a new building height to reflect no more than a 3’ increase;
   • the new exterior cladding to consist of tongue-and-groove wood siding;
   • the new windows on the rear addition to consist of double-hung,
aluminium clad wood windows consistent with the style and proportions of the existing windows on the dwelling;
• the roof materials on the addition to consist of asphalt shingles;
• all the exposed wood be painted;
• the existing conditions of the property and dwelling be photographed for documentation purposes prior to the construction of the addition; and,
• the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed; and,
e) on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application under Section 42 of the Ontario Heritage Act seeking approval for alterations to property at 59 Wortley Road, within the Wortley Village-Old South Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED with the following terms and conditions:
• the replacement railing on the steps be constructed of wood, with a top and bottom rail and wood spindles set between;
• all the exposed wood of the steps and railings be painted; and,
• the Heritage Alteration Permit be displayed in a location visible from the street until the work is completed; and,
f) clauses 1.1, 3.1 to 3.3, inclusive, BE RECEIVED for information.

8.2 15th Report of the Corporate Services Committee

Motion made by: A. Kayabaga

That the 15th Report of the Corporate Services Committee BE APPROVED.


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Motion made by: A. Kayabaga

That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

Motion Passed

2. (2.1) 2019 Annual Reporting of Lease Financing Agreements

Motion made by: A. Kayabaga

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, the staff report dated September 21, 2020 regarding the 2019 annual reporting of lease financing agreements BE RECEIVED for information.

Motion Passed
3. (2.2) City of London Website Redesign Development and Implementation Update

Motion made by: A. Kayabaga

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Strategic Communications and Government Relations, and the Director, Information Technology Services, the staff report dated September 21, 2020 with respect to the Website Redesign Development and Implementation for City of London BE RECEIVED for information.

Motion Passed

4. (4.1) Application - Issuance of Proclamation - Dwarfism Awareness and Acceptance Month

Motion made by: A. Kayabaga

That based on the application dated August 28, 2020, from Little People of Ontario, the month of October BE PROCLAIMED as Dwarfism Awareness and Acceptance Month.

Motion Passed

5. (4.2) Application - Issuance of Proclamation - Dyslexia Awareness Month

Motion made by: A. Kayabaga

That based on the application dated September 14, 2020, from Dyslexia Canada, the month of October BE PROCLAIMED as Dyslexia Awareness Month.

Motion Passed

8.3 11th Report of the Civic Works Committee

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That the 11th Report of the Civic Works Committee BE APPROVED, excluding Item 15 (4.1).


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.
2. (2.1) 2nd Report of the Waste Management Working Group
Motion made by: S. Lehman
That the 2nd Report of the Waste Management Working Group, from the meeting held on August 13, 2020, BE RECEIVED.

Motion Passed

3. (2.2) Appointment of Consulting Engineer for Detailed Design and Contract Administration Services: Dingman Creek Stage 1 Lands (Tributary 12, Municipal Channel Improvements)
Motion made by: S. Lehman
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the assignment of consulting services for the detailed design and construction administration of the Dingman Creek Stage 1 Lands (Tributary 12, Channel Improvements):

a) Ecosystem Recovery Limited BE AUTHORIZED to carry out detailed design and contract administration for the said project in accordance with the estimate, on file, at an upset amount of $222,241.35, excluding HST, in accordance with Section 15.2 (d) of the City of London’s Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;
b) the financing for this project BE APPROVED as set out in the revised, attached Sources of Financing Report;
c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this project;
d) the approval given, herein, BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract; and,
e) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2020-E03)

Motion Passed

4. (2.3) Tender RFT20-69 Winter Road Plow Equipment With Operators
Motion made by: S. Lehman
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the award of a contract for winter road plow equipment with operators:

a) the bids submitted by All Terrain Property Maintenance Incorporated, London, Ontario; Bears Grounds Maintenance, a Division of 1739613 Ontario Limited, St. Thomas, Ontario; Coco Paving Incorporated, London, Ontario; DeKay Construction (1987) Limited London, Ontario; 2380560 Ontario Incorporated Southwest Property Care London, Ontario, at their tendered prices, BE ACCEPTED; and,
b) the Civic administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this contract; and,

c) the approval given, herein, BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract, or having a purchase order, or contract record relating to the subject matter of this approval. (2020-V01)

Motion Passed

5. (2.4) Single Source - Purchasing Various Trackless Machine Attachments

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to a single source purchasing agreement for purchasing various trackless machine attachments:

a) approval BE GIVEN to negotiate a single source purchasing agreement with Work Equipment Inc., 55 Thunderbird Drive, Courtland, Ontario, NOJ 1E0, as per the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy, for the supply and delivery of Trackless attachments for a one (1) year term with an additional two (2) year option term based on price and performance;

b) Fleet Services BE AUTHORIZED to proceed with the replacement of up to 28 attachments during the 2020-2023 Multi-year Budget term, as per their approved capital budget at an estimated value of $210,095 (excluding HST);

c) Funding for this purchase BE APPROVED as set out in the Source of Financing Report, appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020, conditional that satisfactory terms and conditions can be negotiated and approved;

d) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this purchase; and,

e) the approval, hereby given, BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract or having a purchase order, or contract record relating to the subject matter of this approval. (2020-V01)

Motion Passed

6. (2.5) Windermere Road Improvements Environmental Assessment Study - Appointment of Consulting Engineer

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the appointment of a Consulting Engineer for the Windermere Road Improvements Environmental Assessment Study:

a) Stantec Consulting Ltd. BE APPOINTED as the Consulting Engineer to complete the Schedule ‘C’ Municipal Class
Environmental Assessment for Windermere Road Improvements at an upset amount of $429,398.79, (excluding HST), in accordance with RFP20-45 and Section 15.2 (d) of the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;

b) the financing for this assignment BE APPROVED as set out in the Sources of Financing Report as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020;

c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this assignment;

d) the approvals given, herein, BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract with the consultant for the work; and,

e) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents including agreements, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2020-T06/E05)

Motion Passed

7. (2.6) Hamilton Road and Gore Road Intersection Improvements Environmental Assessment Study - Appointment of Consulting Engineer

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the appointment of a Consulting Engineer for the Hamilton Road and Gore Road Intersection Improvements Environmental Assessment Study:

a) MTE Consultants Inc. BE APPOINTED as a Consulting Engineer to complete the Schedule ‘B’ Municipal Class Environmental Assessment for the Hamilton Road and Gore Road Intersection Improvements at an upset amount of $132,468.80 (excluding HST) in accordance with RFP20-42 and Section 15.2 (d) of the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;

b) the financing for this assignment BE APPROVED as set out in the Sources of Financing Report, as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020;

c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this assignment;

d) the approvals given, herein, BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract with the consultant for the work; and,

e) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents including agreements with utilities, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2020-E05/T06)

Motion Passed
8. (2.7) Amendments to the Traffic and Parking By-law (Relates to Bill No's. 288, 289 and 290)

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the proposed by-law, as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020, to amend By-law PS-113, entitled, “A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles in the City of London”, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020. (2020-T08)

Motion Passed

9. (2.8) Appointment of Consulting Engineers - Infrastructure Renewal Program

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the appointment of consulting engineers for the Infrastructure Renewal Program:

a) the following consulting engineers BE APPOINTED to carry out consulting services for the identified 2021/2022 Infrastructure Renewal Program, at the upset amounts identified below, in accordance with the estimate on file, and in accordance with Section 15.2(e) of the City of London’s Procurement of Goods and Services Policy:

i) IBI Group Professional Services (Canada) Inc. BE APPOINTED consulting engineers to complete the pre-design and detailed design of the 2021/2022 Infrastructure Renewal Program Assignment G, Elizabeth Street and Lyle Street Reconstruction, in the total amount of $146,872.00 (including contingency), excluding HST;

ii) Archibald, Gray and McKay Engineering Limited BE APPOINTED consulting engineers to complete the pre-design, and detailed design, and detailed design of the 2021/2022 Thames River Watermain Remediation Project at the west end of Huron Street from west of The Parkway to Philip Aziz Avenue, in the total amount of $198,899.80 (including contingency), excluding HST; and,

iii) Stantec Consulting Ltd. BE APPOINTED consulting engineers to complete the pre-design, and detailed design of the 2021/2022 Thames River Watermain Remediation Project at the west end of Huron Street from west of The Parkway to Philip Aziz Avenue, in the total amount of $194,963.00 (including contingency), excluding HST; and,

iv) AECOM Canada Ltd BE APPOINTED consulting engineers to confirm the pre-design, complete the detailed design and construction administration of 2021 Wonderland Road Watermain Installation Project, Wonderland Road from Hamlyn Street to Exeter Road, in the total amount of $194,963.00 (including contingency), excluding HST;
b) the financing for the above-noted projects BE APPROVED, in accordance with the “Sources of Financing Report”, as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020;

c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this work;

d) the approvals given, herein, BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract with each consultant for the respective project; and,

e) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2020-E08)

Motion Passed

10. (2.9) Biosolids Management Master Plan Consultant Award

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director of Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the assignment of consulting services for the completion of a Biosolids Management Master Plan:

a) CH2M Hill Canada Limited BE APPOINTED Consulting Engineers in the amount of $410,274.00, including 15% contingency, excluding HST, in accordance with Section 15.2 (e) of the City of London’s Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;

b) the financing for the project BE APPROVED in accordance with the “Sources of Financing Report”, as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020;

c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this project;

d) the approvals given, herein, BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract; and,

e) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2020-E03)

Motion Passed

11. (2.10) Municipal Waste and Resource Materials Collection By-law Amendment (Relates to Bill No. 292)

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the draft amending by-law, as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on September 29, 2020 to amend By-law WM-12, entitled “A by-law to provide for the Collection of Municipal Waste and Resource Materials in the City of London”; it being noted that the amendment identifies additional requirements for certain materials placed in the garbage to increase health and
safety for the public and sanitation operators and address the elimination of the separate week for collection of Christmas trees. (2020-E07)

Motion Passed

12. (2.12) Proposed Expansion of the W12A Landfill Site: Updated Environmental Assessment Engineering Consultant Costs

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the appointment of Golder Associates Ltd. for the Individual Environmental Assessment process for the proposed expansion of the W12A Landfill:

a) Golder Associates Ltd. BE APPOINTED to carry out additional atmosphere, groundwater, landfill design and noise assessment studies as part of the Individual Environmental Assessment process for the proposed expansion of the W12A Landfill, in the total amount of $47,315 excluding HST, in accordance with Section 15.2 (g) of the City of London’s Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;

b) the financing for the above-noted work BE APPROVED in accordance with the “Sources of Financing Report” as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020;

c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this work; and,

d) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2020-E07)

Motion Passed

13. (2.13) Review of the W12A Landfill Community Enhancement and Mitigative Measures Program

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, the Review of the Current W12A Landfill Community Enhancement and Mitigative Measures Program (CEMMP) document, as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020, BE APPROVED for release for stakeholder feedback. (2020-E07)

Motion Passed
14. (2.11) Environmental Assessment Process - Updates and Preferred Method to Expand the W12A Landfill

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental and Engineering Services and City Engineer, and with the support of the Waste Management Working Group, the “Alternative 1 - Vertical Expansion Over Existing Footprint” BE APPROVED as the preferred landfill expansion alternative with respect the Environmental Assessment (EA) for the expansion of the W12A Landfill and be referred to in the final phase of public consultation (community engagement) for the EA. (2020-E05)

Motion Passed

16. (5.1) Deferred Matters List

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That the Deferred Matters List, as of September 14, 2020, BE RECEIVED.

Motion Passed

17. (5.2) Low-Cost Active Transportation Infrastructure for COVID-19 Resilience Funding Stream

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back at a future meeting of the Civic Works Committee with a proposed plan for construction of active transportation infrastructure that would be eligible for the COVID-19 Resilience stream funding and can be built within the timelines of the COVID-19 Resilience funding program with construction to start no later than September 30, 2021 and be completed by the end of 2021; it being noted that a communication dated September 18, 2020, from Councillors E. Peloza, S. Lewis and J. Helmer, with respect to this matter, was received. (2020-T03)

Motion Passed

15. (4.1) Street Renaming By-law, Policies and Guidelines

At 5:47 PM His Worship the Mayor places Councillor J. Morgan in the Chair and takes a seat at the Council Board.

At 5:48 PM His Worship the Mayor resumes the Chair, and Councillor J. Morgan takes his seat at the Council Board.

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That the following actions be taken with respect to the street renaming of Plantation Road:

a) the petition dated September 1, 2020, submitted by London resident Lyla Wheeler, regarding the renaming of "Plantation Road" BE RECEIVED; it being noted that the petition is available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office;
b) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to undertake a review of City’s By-laws, Policies and Guidelines relating to street naming processes and approvals and report back to the Civic Works Committee on any recommended changes to the process(es) that would support and implement the City’s commitment to eradicate anti-Black, anti-Indigenous and people of colour oppression; it being noted that the report back is to include a review of the request set out in the above-noted petition, recognizing that, historically, the word “Plantation” has a strong correlation to slavery, oppression and racism;

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to expand the established Municipal Addressing Advisory Group (M.A.A.G.) to include the City’s Diversity and Inclusion Specialist;

d) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to establish a list of potential street names that are reflective of suggestions from the community reflecting the contribution London’s Historic Black Families (including those names provided for by the London Black History Coordinating Committee), Indigenous communities and people of colour; it being noted, a letter of support, with respect to this matter, was received from the African Canadian Federation of London and Area (ACFOLA); and,

e) the communication dated September 17, 2020 from P. McCallum and the communication dated September 18, 2020, from C. Cadogan, London Black History Coordinating Committee, with respect to this matter, BE RECEIVED. (2020-T00/P01)

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That the following parts a), c), and e) BE APPROVED:

That the following actions be taken with respect to the street renaming of Plantation Road:

a) the petition dated September 1, 2020, submitted by London resident Lyla Wheeler, regarding the renaming of “Plantation Road” BE RECEIVED; it being noted that the petition is available for viewing in the City Clerk’s Office;

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to expand the established Municipal Addressing Advisory Group (M.A.A.G.) to include the City’s Diversity and Inclusion Specialist;

e) the communication dated September 17, 2020 from P. McCallum and the communication dated September 18, 2020, from C. Cadogan, London Black History Coordinating Committee, with respect to this matter, BE RECEIVED. (2020-T00/P01)


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: S. Lehman

That the following parts b) and d) BE APPROVED:

b) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to undertake a review of City’s By-laws, Policies and Guidelines relating to street naming processes and approvals and report back to the Civic Works Committee on any recommended changes to the process(es) that
would support and implement the City’s commitment to eradicate anti-Black, anti-Indigenous and people of colour oppression; it being noted that the report back is to include a review of the request set out in the above-noted petition, recognizing that, historically, the word “Plantation” has a strong correlation to slavery, oppression and racism;

d) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to establish a list of potential street names that are reflective of suggestions from the community reflecting the contribution London’s Historic Black Families (including those names provided for by the London Black History Coordinating Committee), Indigenous communities and people of colour; it being noted, a letter of support, with respect to this matter, was received from the African Canadian Federation of London and Area (ACFOLA); and,


Nays: (1): P. Squire

Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (13 to 1)

8.4 16th Report of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That the 16th Report of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee BE APPROVED, excluding Items 8 (4.1), 10 (4.3), 11 (4.4) and 12 (4.5).


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That it BE NOTED that the following pecuniary interests were disclosed:

a) Councillor J. Morgan discloses a pecuniary interest with respect to item 4.5, having to do with an appointment to the London Public Library Board by indicating that a candidate is a member of the Board of Governors of Western University, which is his employer.

b) Councillor S. Turner discloses a pecuniary interest with respect to item 4.1, having to do with the Mandatory Face Coverings By-law Status Update by indicating that this is a public health matter and he is employed by the Middlesex-London Health Unit.

Motion Passed
2. **(2.2) 2020 Mid-Year Capital Budget Monitoring Report and COVID-19 Financial Impacts**

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, the following actions be taken with respect to the 2020 Mid-Year Capital Budget Monitoring Report dated September 22, 2020:

a) the above-noted Report BE RECEIVED for information; it being noted that the life-to-date capital budget represents $2.4 billion with $1.6 billion committed and $0.8 billion uncommitted;

b) the following actions be taken with respect to the completed capital projects identified in Appendix “B”, as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020, which have a total of $1.6 million of net surplus funding:

   i) the capital projects included in Appendix “B” BE CLOSED;

   ii) the following actions be taken with respect to the funding associated with the capital projects approved for closure in b) i), above:

   Rate Supported

   A) pay-as-you-go funding of $5,165 BE TRANSFERRED to capital receipts;

   B) authorized debt financing of $542,961 BE RELEASED resulting in a reduction of authorized, but unissued debt;

   C) uncommitted reserve fund drawdowns of $307,461 BE RELEASED from the reserve funds which originally funded the projects;

   Non-Rate Supported

   D) uncommitted reserve fund drawdowns of $168,040 BE RELEASED from the reserve funds which originally funded the projects; and

   E) other net non-rate supported funding sources of $597,066 BE ADJUSTED in order to facilitate project closings.

**Motion Passed**

3. **(2.3) 2020 Assessment Growth Funding Allocation #2**

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, the 2020 Assessment Growth Funding Allocation Report #2 BE RECEIVED for information; it being noted that approved assessment growth requests are receiving remaining assessment growth funding previously withheld until full year COVID-19 financial impacts were better known; it being further noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee received a communication dated September 18, 2020 from C. Butler with respect to this matter.

**Motion Passed**
4. **(2.5) Procurement in Emergencies Update - COVID-19**

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, as per section 14.2 of the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy, the report of Emergency non-competitive individual purchases which exceed $50,000 (pre-taxes), that the City has made up to the date of September 8, 2020 due to COVID-19, BE RECEIVED for information, appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020 as Appendix “A”.

**Motion Passed**

5. **(2.1) 2020 Mid-Year Operating Budget Monitoring Report and COVID-19 Financial Impacts**

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, the following actions be taken:

a) the 2020 Operating Budget Mid-Year Monitoring Projections for the Property Tax Supported Budget, Water, and Wastewater & Treatment Budgets, as appended to the staff report dated September 22, 2020 as Appendix “A”, BE RECEIVED for information; it being noted an overview of the net corporate projections are outlined below, noting that the year-end positions could fluctuate based on factors beyond the control of the Civic Administration:

   i) after applying the Safe Restart Agreement funding, Property Tax Supported Budget projected surplus of $15.3 million prior to the recommendations in the report, noting a balanced budget position should all recommendations be approved;

   ii) Water Rate Supported Budget projected surplus of $0.8 million prior to the recommended contribution to the applicable Contingency Reserve, noting a balanced budget position should the recommendation be endorsed;

   iii) after applying the Safe Restart Agreement funding, Wastewater & Treatment Rate Supported Budget projected surplus of $0.1 million prior to the recommended contribution to the applicable Contingency Reserve, noting a balanced budget position should the recommendation be endorsed;

b) the overview of Federal-Provincial Safe Restart Agreement funding allocated to the City of London to support COVID-19 operating costs and pressures BE RECEIVED for information;

c) notwithstanding the Council approved Surplus/Deficit Policy, the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to allocate the year-end Property Tax Supported Budget surplus as follows:

   i) $5 million to the Economic Development Reserve Fund to support social & economic recovery measures;

   ii) the balance to the Operating Budget Contingency Reserve as a funding source to offset anticipated financial impacts of COVID-19 on the City’s 2021 Budget;

   d) the contribution of any year-end Water and Wastewater & Treatment Rate Supported Budget surplus to the applicable
Contingency Reserve BE ENDORSED as a funding source to offset the potential financial impacts of COVID-19 on the City’s 2021 Budget; it being noted that the balances in these Contingency Reserves remain below target;

e) the following actions related to Capital Projects and Additional Investments BE APPROVED:

   i) previously deferred 2020 Capital Projects identified in this report totaling $1.1 million be reinstated, noting this will reduce the projected Property Tax surplus noted above by $1.1 million;

   ii) previously deferred 2020 Additional Investments identified in this report totaling $1.2 million be reinstated, noting this will reduce the projected Property Tax surplus noted above by $1.2 million;

   iii) the Civic Administration be authorized to take the necessary actions to adjust the 2020 capital budgets and associated funding sources referenced in part (i) and (ii);

   iv) the Civic Administration be authorized to contribute any 2020 surplus from the reinstated portion of the 60% Waste Diversion Action Plan to the Operating Budget Contingency Reserve as a funding source for future implementation costs;

   v) the Civic Administration be authorized to contribute $0.1 million to the Operating Budget Contingency Reserve to support Green City Strategy Initiatives from the Climate Emergency Action Plan, noting this will reduce the projected Property Tax surplus noted above by $0.1 million;

f) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to take all necessary actions to further extend the deferral of all Community Improvement Plan loan repayments on an interest-free basis for the remainder of 2020; it being noted that repayments will resume in January 2021;

g) a grant to support RBC Place London operations for the remainder of 2020 in the amount of $1.6 million BE APPROVED; it being noted this will reduce the projected Property Tax surplus by $1.6 million noted above; and,

h) the summary of anticipated COVID-19 impacts on the 2021 Budget BE RECEIVED for information;

it being noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee heard a verbal presentation from the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed

6. (2.4) COVID-19 - City of London Fall Services

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the City Manager, the staff report dated September 22, 2020 entitled “Covid-19 – City of London Services Update”, BE RECEIVED for information.

Motion Passed
7. (2.6) Steps Toward Anti-Racism and Anti-Oppression at the City of London

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the City Manager and the Senior Leadership Team, the following actions be taken with respect to Anti-Racism and Anti-Oppression at the City of London:

a) the staff report dated September 22, 2020, and entitled “Steps Toward Anti-Racism and Anti-Oppression at the City of London” BE RECEIVED; and,

b) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to proceed with the recommended next steps identified in the report referenced in a) above, with respect to the Equity and Inclusion Lens, Workforce Metrics, and Black Liaison Officer position.

Motion Passed

9. (4.2) Community Diversity and Inclusion Strategy Update

Motion made by: J. Helmer

The following actions be taken with respect to the Community Diversity and Inclusion Strategy (CDIS) Leadership table:

a) the staff report dated September 22, 2020 regarding community diversity and inclusion strategy update BE RECEIVED for information;

b) the recommendations of the CDIS outlined in Appendix A (page 251 of the Agenda), to end anti-Black and anti-indigenous racism in London BE ENDORSED in principle; it being noted that the implementation of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) will be reviewed by the Leadership Table for consideration;

c) the CDIS Priority Work Plans, outlined in Appendix A (page 238 of the Agenda) of the above-noted staff report, BE ENDORSED in principle; it being noted that the implementation of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) will be reviewed by the Leadership Table for consideration;

it being noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee received a communication dated September 11, 2020 and a presentation from the CDIS Leadership Table; and

it being further noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee heard a verbal delegation from Shobhita Sharma, Chair, Priority 3 and Gerry LaHay, Accessibility Advisory Committee, CDIS Leadership Table with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed
8. **(4.1) Mandatory Face Coverings By-law Status Update**

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Development and Compliance Services and Chief Building Official, the staff report dated September 22, 2020 regarding mandatory face coverings by-law status update BE RECEIVED for information purposes;

it being noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee heard verbal delegations from the following individuals:

Vaughan Sansom
Tiana Leigh Freist
Sophie Hawkins
Scott Johnston
Kristen Nagle on behalf of Myriam Cottard

it being further noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee received communications from the following individuals:

a communication dated September 13, 2020 from Councillor M. van Holst
a communication dated September 19, 2020 from Lisa Holly
a communication dated September 19, 2020 from Mark Bohman
a communication dated September 19, 2020 from Sara Johnston
a communication dated September 19, 2020 from Alaina Clunas
a communication dated September 19, 2020 from Kristen Nagle
a communication dated September 19, 2020 from Kayla Lewis
a communication dated September 19, 2020 from Karen deWit
a communication dated September 21, 2020 from Larry Farquharson
a communication dated September 21, 2020 from Councillor M. van Holst
a communication dated September 20, 2020 from Susan Horvath.


Recuse: (1): S. Turner

Absent: (1): M. Salih

**Motion Passed (13 to 0)**

10. **(4.3) 1st Report of the Governance Working Group**

Motion made by: J. Morgan

That the following actions be taken with respect to the 1st Report of the Governance Working Group from its meeting held on August 24, 2020:

a) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back to the Governance Working Group (GWG), with respect to the advisory committee review, as it relates to the following:

i) options for the consideration of the GWG including:
   A) revisions to the current advisory committee structure including, potential reduction of overall committees, mergers of committees with areas of overlap/redundancy, to achieve a more meaningful and collaborative approach to citizen engagement; and,
   B) any alternative collaborative structure(s) for citizen committee work, including alternate citizen selection models for
participation in committees and working groups that would encourage participation from a more diverse range of Londoners, that would link directly to the council strategic plan; and,

C) revisions to the current advisory committee structure that enhance advice on public preferences on decision making through the provision of clear specific directions from council and administration over self directed "work plans";

   ii) additional service area detail related to the existing committees that are more closely linked to the role of 'expert panel';

b) clause 1.1 BE RECEIVED for information; and,

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to post to the City Website the agendas and reports of the Working Groups as established by Council; it being noted that these would be included on the "Advisory and Other Committee Meetings" page.

Motion made by: J. Morgan

That part a) as follows BE APPROVED:

That the following actions be taken with respect to the 1st Report of the Governance Working Group from its meeting held on August 24, 2020:

a) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back to the Governance Working Group (GWG), with respect to the advisory committee review, as it relates to the following:

   i) options for the consideration of the GWG including:

      A) revisions to the current advisory committee structure including, potential reduction of overall committees, mergers of committees with areas of overlap/redundancy, to achieve a more meaningful and collaborative approach to citizen engagement; and,

      B) any alternative collaborative structure(s) for citizen committee work, including alternate citizen selection models for participation in committees and working groups that would encourage participation from a more diverse range of Londoners, that would link directly to the council strategic plan; and,

      C) revisions to the current advisory committee structure that enhance advice on public preferences on decision making through the provision of clear specific directions from council and administration over self directed "work plans";

   ii) additional service area detail related to the existing committees that are more closely linked to the role of 'expert panel';

Yeas: (9): Mayor E. Holder, M. van Holst, S. Lewis, P. Squire, J. Morgan, S. Lehman, P. Van Meerbergen, E. Peloza, and S. Hillier


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (9 to 5)
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That parts b) and c) noted below BE APPROVED:

b) clause 1.1 BE RECEIVED for information; and,

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to post to the City Website the agendas and reports of the Working Groups as established by Council; it being noted that these would be included on the “Advisory and Other Committee Meetings” page.


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

11. (4.4) Request for Referral to the Governance Working Group

Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the Communication from Councillor M. van Holst, dated September, 2020, with respect to a referral to the Governance Working Group, BE RECEIVED.


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

12. (4.5) Consideration of Appointment to the London Public Library Board

Motion made by: J. Helmer
That Chelsea Smith BE APPOINTED to the London Public Library Board for the term ending November 15, 2022;

it being noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee received the following written submissions with respect to this matter:
· a communication dated July 3, 2020 from M. B. McNally
· a communication dated July 3, 2020 from E. Chamberlain
· a communication dated July 3, 2020 from M. A. Rayner
· a communication dated July 27, 2020 from J. Grainger
· a communication dated July 27, 2020 from L. J. D’Alton
· a communication dated July 4, 2020 from L. McKechnie.


Recuse: (1): J. Morgan

Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (13 to 0)
8.5 3rd Report of the Audit Committee
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the 3rd Report of the Audit Committee BE APPROVED.

Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

Motion Passed

2. (4.1) 2019 Financial Statements
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the following actions be taken with respect to the 2019 reports from KPMG:

a) the 2019 Financial Report of The Corporation of the City of London, BE RECEIVED, it being noted that the Audit Committee received a presentation from the Director, Financial Services with respect to this matter; and

b) the Audit Findings Report 2019 as prepared by KPMG for the year ending December 31, 2019, BE RECEIVED, it being noted that the Audit Committee received a presentation from KPMG with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed

3. (4.2) Service London Assessment
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the Internal Audit Report from Deloitte with respect to the Service London Assessment performed January 2020 to March 2020, issued July 2020, BE RECEIVED.

Motion Passed

4. (4.3) Internal Audit Summary Update
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the following actions be taken with regards to the Internal Audit submission from Deloitte dated June 15, 2020:

a) the Revised 2020-2022 Audit Plan BE APPROVED; and

b) the communication dated September 1, 2020 from Deloitte,
with respect to the internal audit summary update, BE RECEIVED;

it being noted that the Audit Committee received a presentation from Deloitte with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed

9. **Added Reports**
None.

10. **Deferred Matters**
None.

11. **Enquiries**
Councillor M. van Holst inquiries with respect to the creation of a plexiglass booth, or other type of barrier, in order to provide for a member of the public to speak from a committee room without wearing a mask. The Managing Director, Development & Compliance Services and Chief Building Official responds that options will be investigated.

12. **Emergent Motions**
None.

13. **By-laws**

Motion made by: E. Peloza  
Seconded by: S. Lehman

That Introduction and First Reading of Bill No.’s 277 to 298, inclusive, BE APPROVED.


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: S. Hillier  
Seconded by: P. Van Meerbergen

That Second Reading of Bill No.’s 277 to 298, inclusive, BE APPROVED.


Absent: (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)
Motion made by: S. Lewis  
Seconded by: M. van Holst  
That Third Reading and Enactment of Bill No.’s 277 to 298, inclusive, BE APPROVED.  
Absent: (1): M. Salih  

Motion Passed (14 to 0)  
The following are enacted as By-laws of The Corporation of the City of London:
<p>| Bill No. 277 | By-law No. A.-8023-200 - A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council Meeting held on the 29th day of September, 2020. (City Clerk) |
| Bill No. 278 | By-law No. C.P.-1284(vl)-201 - A by-law to amend the Official Plan for the City of London - 1989, relating to 799 Southdale Road West. (3.6a/14/PEC) |
| Bill No. 279 | By-law No. C.P.-1284(vm)-202 - A by-law to amend the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 relating to 799 Southdale Road West. (3.6b/14/PEC) |
| Bill No. 280 | By-law No. C.P.-1284(vn)-203 - A by-law to amend the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 relating to the Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan. (3.9a/14/PEC) |
| Bill No. 281 | By-law No. C.P.-1284(vo)-204 - A by-law to amend the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 relating to 124 Colborne Street and the block bounded by Hill Street, Colborne Street, South Street, and Waterloo Street. (3.9d/14/PEC) |
| Bill No. 282 | By-law No. C.P.-1284(vp)-205 - A by-law to amend the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 relating to 124 Colborne Street and the block bounded by Hill Street, Colborne Street, South Street, and Waterloo Street. (3.9e/14/PEC) |
| Bill No. 283 | By-law No. C.P.-1512(v)-206 - A by-law to amend The London Plan for the City of London, 2016 relating to 122 Base Line Road West. (3.4a/14/PEC) |
| Bill No. 284 | By-law No. C.P.-1512(w)-207A by-law to amend The London Plan for the City of London, 2016 relating to 799 Southdale Road West. (3.6c/14/PEC) |
| Bill No. 285 | By-law No. C.P.-1512(x)-208 - A by-law to amend The London Plan, for the City of London, 2016 relating to the Old Victoria Hospital Lands Secondary Plan. (3.9b/14/PEC) |
| Bill No. 286 | By-law No. C.P.-1512(y)-209 - A by-law to amend The London Plan for the City of London, 2016 relating to 124 Colborne Street and the block bounded by Hill Street, Colborne Street, South Street, and Waterloo Street. (3.9f/14/PEC) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill No.</th>
<th>By-law No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 287</td>
<td>C.P.-1512(z)-210</td>
<td>By-law to amend The London Plan for the City of London, 2016 relating to 124 Colborne Street and the block bounded by Hill Street, Colborne Street, South Street, and Waterloo Street. (3.9g/14/PEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 288</td>
<td>PS-113-20053</td>
<td>By-law to amend By-law PS-113 entitled, “A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles in the City of London.” (2.7/11/CWC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 289</td>
<td>PS-113-20054</td>
<td>By-law to amend By-law PS-113 entitled, “A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles in the City of London.” (2.7/11/CWC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 290</td>
<td>PS-113-20055</td>
<td>By-law to amend By-law PS-113 entitled, “A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles in the City of London.” (2.7/11/CWC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 291</td>
<td>S.-6073-211</td>
<td>By-law to lay out, constitute, establish and assume certain reserves in the City of London as public highway. (as widening to Adelaide Street North, north of Ross Street). (Chief Surveyor - for road widening purposes on Adelaide Street North, pursuant to SPA19-095 and in accordance with Zoning By-law Z-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 292</td>
<td>WM-12-20016</td>
<td>By-law to amend the By-law No. WM-12 being “A by-law to provide for the Collection of Municipal Waste and Resource Materials in the City of London”. (2.10/11/CWC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 293</td>
<td>Z.-1-202872</td>
<td>By-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone an area of land located at 733 Wellington Street. (3.1/14/PEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 294</td>
<td>Z.-1-202873</td>
<td>By-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone an area of land located at 666-670 Wonderland Road North. (3.2/14/PEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 295</td>
<td>Z.-1-202874</td>
<td>By-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone an area of land located at 122 Base Line Road West. (3.4b/14/PEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 296</td>
<td>Z.-1-202875</td>
<td>By-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone a portion of land located at 1093 Westdel Bourne. (3.5/14/PEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 297</td>
<td>By-law No. Z.-1-202876 - A by-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone an area of land located at 799 Southdale Road West. (3.6d/14/PEC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill No. 298</td>
<td>By-law No. Z.-1-202877 - A by-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone an area of land located at 124 Colborne Street and the Block Bounded by Hill Street, Colborne Street, South Street, and Waterloo Street. (3.9h/14/PEC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 14. Adjournment

Motion made by: J. Helmer  
Seconded by: P. Van Meerbergen  
That the meeting BE ADJOURNED.

**Motion Passed**

The meeting adjourned at 6:17 PM.

________________________________________  
Ed Holder, Mayor

________________________________________  
Catharine Saunders, City Clerk
From: Hugh Pindur
Sent: Friday, October 2, 2020 6:20 PM
To: Development Services <DevelopmentServices@london.ca>; Corby, Mike <mcorby@London.ca>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] 101 Meadowlily Development

On the other end of the city development has decimated the woodlands by Kane’s Creek. Out in Meadowlily developers have been permitted to level acres of trees for Meadowlily Woods cookie cutter townhouses. These, and other developments continue to push out wildlife and reduce biodiversity. I mean at this point anymore, it’s not rocket science.

Walking down Meadowlily road during hottest days of this past summer you could feel the temperature of the air drop as the wooded area insulated the area from the heat. What do you think is going to happen when you allow the area to be levelled for more houses? At some point we are going to run out of wooded areas. And on a bigger scale what is going happen? I realize Meadowlily 101 is a drop in the bucket, but when is enough enough?

I vehemently oppose the development of 101 Meadowlily road. Please, please reconsider this development.

Hugh Pindur
517 Cardigan Drive
Hi Heather and Mike,

TTLT will not be attending the public meeting in person. Our original comments are included in the package going to the Planning and Environment Committee.

We would like the following to be submitted to the committee for consideration if time still permits.

We still have concerns about the drainage plan but note that UTRCA has also flagged these issues. We support their request for a condition that "Stormwater Management Plans submitted to the satisfaction of the UTRCA" be part of any further approvals. As the immediate downstream neighbour of the development we would like to be included in further review of plans related to drainage.

Some of our concerns about the Land Use Management impacts have been addressed in the updated EIS and we support comments made by Ecology. Most of the mitigation proposed, however, relies on the new residents complying with "suggestions" from a brochure. There is no way to actually ensure this mitigation will be achieved. The question of fencing the ESA boundary has not been addressed. The trail outside of the housing/fencing footprint will provide easy unauthorized access to the ESA. These items continue to pose a risk to the ESA that need to be acknowledged.

It is our understanding that more details would be provided if the development moves into the next stage of planning (Site Plan Approvals Process). We await any further details on this application.

Thank you very much.
Daria Koscinski

Daria Koscinski
Acting Executive Director
Thames Talbot Land Trust
I am very concerned with the proposal to move the contract for parking enforcement to Impark. This is a situation of a large private company undercutting a not for profit organization in order to land a contract and does not speak to future service satisfaction or costs.

Almost 25% of Commissionaires employees are veterans of the Armed Forces and RCMP and these Londoners would be out of work. This while we try to find ways to demonstrate that we are age-friendly as a community and supportive of our veterans.

Lowest bid should be one factor in a decision like this but one factor only. There are lots of examples where lowest bid ended up being a poor choice.

Thank you for providing me with the opportunity to put forward concerns.

Sheila Simpson
To Whom It May Concern,

My partner and I are strongly opposed to the proposal for IMpark to take over city parking enforcement. I’m a retired member of the Canadian Armed Forces and I’ve had a positive experience working alongside the Commissionaires in the past. We would like to see the Commissionaires retain their contract for parking enforcement as they primarily employ our veterans and provide the community with exceptional services.

Thank you,

Jordana McGill
I reside on Thornley Street in your ward. I'm not the type of person who often complains to their councillor about municipal issues, but I was quite distressed to read today that London council is leaning toward awarding the city's parking enforcement contract to Imperial Parking aka Impark rather than the incumbent Commissionaires.

As your constituent, I would like you to know that I object to the awarding of this contract to Impark.

Allowing Impark to use a lowball bid to get their foot in the door might appear to save a few dollars upfront, but it would be a serious mistake that will be regretted later. I found it particularly laughable to read that part of Impark's appeal was their dedication to customer service. I've lived and worked in this city since moving here from Toronto in 1984, which meant having the occasional interaction with Impark facilities and the company's policies and behaviour. I won't get into detail in this letter but I can tell you first hand that the phrase "customer service" and Impark don't even belong in the same sentence. Putting Impark in charge of parking enforcement would send London's reputation as a welcoming city back into the abyss of the Nash Towing days.

Please take any action you can to defeat this contract from being taken away from the Commissionaires.

Stan Hughes
1006 Thornley Street
Thursday, October 8, 2020

Re: RFP 20-54 Municipal Parking Enforcement Services

Dear Councillors,

I am writing today on behalf of the Imperial Parking Canada Corporation, which is part of the REEF Parking Network (IMPARK/REEF), with respect to Community and Protective Services Committee Item: RFP 20-54 for Municipal Parking Enforcement Services.

We are grateful that staff have selected IMPARK/REEF as the recommended provider of municipal parking enforcement services through an open and transparent procurement process that created an opportunity for market access and competitive bids. Operating in over 500 municipalities across North America and providing similar services in over 100 of those jurisdictions, we are well positioned to meet the terms outlined in the City of London’s RFP. Furthermore, Impark has a proven track record and we are fully aligned with the City’s goals of providing the highest level of customer service excellence for its residents and visitors.

Our interest is in establishing a long-term relationship with the City of London grounded in the successful delivery of services over the duration of this contract. This contract will not award IMPARK/REEF with any portion of the fines levied and our measure of success will be how effectively we deliver on the criteria established by your staff and the interactions our Parking Ambassadors have with London’s residents and visitors. We were pleased to have scored the highest in both the technical and price evaluation stages and want to make special note of the fact that we invest directly in robust customer service training for all frontline staff.

London is important to us as a community and Impark has been investing in local causes and organizations to help better the city for years, but first and foremost, we give back to the communities we operate in through our work. We have listed some of these activities on the next page.

We hope that Council will adopt staff’s recommendations and that we can begin the good work of providing value and quality service to the City of London in 2021.

Sincerely,

Todd Brosius
President of Parking – North America
Impark’s Work in the Community

The REEF Parking Network is a large organization operating in many municipalities across North America, but it is important to us, and our staff, to contribute to the communities we serve and live in. In the London area, our team members can be found cycling to Grand Bend and back in support of MS research, helping to fight homelessness in the City, and actively working to enhance local tourism. We are a part of London and this means the world to us.

Tickets for Toys
In 2016 and 2017 Impark hosted Tickets for Toys events at Citi Plaza on Wellington Street where we forgave tickets from our Impark lots in exchange for a donation of toys to London’s children in need through a local family shelter. Hundreds of toys were collected.

London Health Foundations
Impark senior staff have been an event sponsor and participant in the London Health Sciences Foundation’s Country Classic Auction for multiple for years.

Impark staff have also actively contributed to the success of the St Joseph’s Healthcare Foundation’s Breakfast of Champions, a fundraiser for mental health, as well as Tribute Dinners to raise funds for veterans.

Team Fundraising
Impark has participated for multiple years in both in the MS Ride for a Cure, fundraising for MS research by cycling from London to Grand Bend and back, as well as the Unity Project Golf Tournament to help and homelessness in London.

Food Drive
Our local team partnered with the OHL Memorial Cup team to organize a food drive and cash donations that were donated to Unity Project London.
Local Testimonials

Impark have provided parking management and customer service at Covent Garden Market for almost 20 years. Throughout this time, I have personally worked with Impark closely and have found them to be professional operators, with a strong systems & controls, managed by a loyal and personable local team. I have no hesitation in recommending them to serve the City with the services under discussion.

Bob Usher  
CEO/GM of Covent Garden Market & Manager of parking at City Hall

For over ten years, Impark have managed the parking services at London Health Sciences Centre. With a large team of management, supervisors and staff based at the hospital, Impark have very much been part of the team at LHSC involving themselves in community activities as well as providing support and training in the specialised hospital environment. I have had dealings with the on site team, the London office, the senior management in both Toronto and Vancouver, and found them to be responsive, active & trustworthy business partners as well as being interested & involved in the community. It is my belief that the City would find a partnership with Impark to be one of which we can all benefit.

Sab Sferrazza  
Director, Corporate Customer Service (Retired) of London Health Sciences Centre
Planning and Environment Committee Report

The 15th Meeting of the Planning and Environment Committee
October 5, 2020

PRESENT: Councillor M. Cassidy (Chair), J. Helmer, A. Hopkins, S. Turner, A. Kayabaga, Mayor E. Holder

ALSO PRESENT: H. Lysynski, C. Saunders and J.W. Taylor


The meeting is called to order at 4:00 PM, with Councillor M. Cassidy in the Chair; it being noted that the following Members were in remote attendance: Mayor E. Holder; Councillors A. Hopkins, J. Helmer, A. Kayabaga and S. Turner

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

2. Consent

Moved by: S. Turner
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That Items 2.1 and 2.2 BE APPROVED.


Motion Passed (6 to 0)

2.1 Draft Environmental Management Guidelines Update

Moved by: S. Turner
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That, on the recommendation of the Director, City Planning and City Planner, the following actions be taken with respect to the Environmental Management Guidelines Update:

a) the draft Environmental Management Guidelines (2020) appended to the staff report dated October 5, 2020 BE CIRCULATED for public review and comment; and,

b) the members of external resource groups including Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee, First Nations communities, local Conservation Authorities (Upper Thames River, Lower Thames Valley and Kettle Creek Conservation Authorities), the London Home Builders Association, the London Development Institute, Nature London, and the Urban League BE THANKED for their work and comments during Pre-consultation and Phase 1 which helped guide the preparation of this initial draft.

Motion Passed
2.2 Building Division Monthly Report for August 2020

Moved by: S. Turner
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That the Building Division Monthly Report for the month of August, 2020 BE RECEIVED for information. (2020-A23)

Motion Passed

3. Scheduled Items

3.1 101 Meadowlily Road South 39CD-20502 (OZ-9192)

Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: S. Turner

That consideration of the application by 2690015 Ontario Inc., relating to the property located at 101 Meadowlily Road South, BE REFERRED back to the Civic Administration to continue to work with the applicant and to report back at a future public participation meeting of the Planning and Environment Committee:

it being pointed out that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received the following communications with respect to this matter:

• a communication dated September 27, 2020 from G. Smith, Friends of Meadowlily Woods Community Association;
• a communication dated September 22, 2020 from B. McCauley, Planner, Zelinka Priamo Ltd.;
• communications dated September 24 and October 1, 2020 from M. Muir, Project Planner, Dillon Consulting;
• a communication from A. Stolarski, by email;
• a communication dated September 28, 2020 from B. Lindsay by e-mail; and,
• a communication from D. Russo, by e-mail;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters.


Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Additional Votes:

Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

Motion to open the public participation meeting.


Motion Passed (6 to 0)
Moved by: A. Hopkins  
Seconded by: A. Kayabaga  

Motion to close the public participation meeting.  

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

4. Items for Direction
   None.

5. Deferred Matters/Additional Business
   None.

6. Adjournment
   The meeting adjourned at 5:48 PM.
Councillor Cassidy: I’ll go to Committee first to see if there are any technical questions about this deferral possibility. Councillor Turner.

Councillor Turner: Thanks Madam Chair. My question would just be procedurally what’s the best way to address this issue? Given that we have opened the public participation meeting and we do have people here to speak to it. I guess we also (1) have to determine whether we grant the deferral and (2) determine to hear from the public and (3) whether we hear the whole presentation with respect to the application and if we have to make any decisions on that application or whether it is a question of deferral or not at this point. Perhaps I could ask that through you to staff.

Councillor Cassidy: Mr. Corby, I don’t know if you would answer that or somebody else. Hold on one second. The City Clerk is going to give us some answers here.

Catharine Saunders, City Clerk: Thank you Madam Chair. I’m going to answer from a procedural perspective only of course. Generally if the deferral is considered and granted because the public participation meeting was advertised, generally we would still give the public an option to, to speak acknowledging however that it appears that there would be a change to the, to the development proposal and there would be another public participation meeting so some of the comments from the public may change based on what is coming forward.

Councillor Cassidy: Any, any follow up on that Councillor Turner before I go to Mr Corby?

Councillor Turner: Thanks Madam Chair. It sounds appropriate. I think it would be appropriate that we have people here to hear from them. I think it might help inform the next phase if the applicant is taking it back, having heard the public submissions, we’ll have the opportunity to hear those and that can be taken back. I think the next part is how we, we properly dispose of the item. If we just move to defer or refer back to staff, I guess, would probably be the most appropriate but yeah I just want to clear up the procedural stuff before we start moving forward and perhaps we hear more from Mr. Corby.

Councillor Cassidy: Ok. Mr. Corby?

Mike Corby, Senior Planner: Thank you Madam Chair. I think just reiterating what the City Clerk said, since the members of the public are here, I feel like that is the best way to proceed and, and listen to the concerns acknowledging that the plan may, may change but they can address those concerns at a later public meeting. I think, from my standpoint, I’m happy to get the presentation first and then we can go into the public comments and then you can decide how to deal with the matter after that.

Councillor Cassidy: So Committee I’m looking to you. I’ll go to, I see Councillor van Holst is, is visiting and he’s got his hand up. I’ll go to you in a bit Councillor. I’m going to go to Councillor Hopkins first so, we do have the option of having Mr Corby give us a presentation on the application that's on the agenda knowing that that application could change and we don't really know how much it could change at this point. So I’ll go to Councillor Hopkins.
Councillor Hopkins: Yes, thank you Madam Chair and I would be prepared to receive the presentation from staff, we do have members in our committee rooms. I think we do need to hear from them and then we can also make a decision on the referral after that. I, I would think it would be helpful to the process just to have this engagement and this conversation knowing, and the community understands that this is not what it may look like moving forward.

Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Councillor. I'll go to you Mr Mayor.

Mayor Holder: Thanks very much, Chair. The public is here and they always are supported of their appropriate right to make comments. I'm not sure if it helps to hear from the staff report because you're going to have, perhaps, in part the public trying to respond to the staff report which frankly may not well be the staff report, I suspect it won't be, assuming that the referral was through. So all that said I'm happy to hear from the public and, and defer the staff report until we, until the, again, presuming it's referred back by Council to do that, that we then get one set of facts that we're dealing with as opposed to hearing things that may not be the case that either may bias us and even if it doesn't, frankly here's my concern, we have talked more about it than the time it would take to give the report but that would be a my view is that we defer that.

Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mr. Mayor. Councillor van Holst.

Councillor van Holst: Thank you Madam Chair. I'd be pleased to hear the staff report. I think it might help us understand the changes that will have taken place and I'm also very happy to hear from the public on this. Thank you.

Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Councillor. So, from Committee we have one member indicating that they would like to have a presentation, one member indicating that they would not. What if I ask Mr Corby I believe he had a somewhat substantial presentation prepared, we have the, the slides in our agenda packet. What if I go to Mr Corby and just have a two minute introduction to this our proposal and knowing that it could change I don't suspect it will be a substantive change but I'll go to Deputy Mayor Helmer.

Deputy Mayor Helmer: I think we're in danger of spending way more time talking about the order of operations than we are in hearing the staff report so I, I think we should just go ahead with the full staff report and we're going to get another report when there's a different proposal and that's what happens at Planning Committee, we're going to have two public participation meetings.

Councillor Cassidy: Absolutely. Knowing we are going to go ahead with two public participation meetings, not, I'd say the majority now has voted in favor of a full staff presentation and knowing that our presentations are anyway altered during the this virtual environment and we don't get the same full blown slide presentation that we would normally get I'll go to Mr. Corby without any further ado to give us his report verbally.

Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mr. Corby. Are there technical questions from Committee for Mr. Corby? Deputy Mayor.

Deputy Mayor Helmer: There's a couple references in the public correspondence and the response from the applicant around the Cultural Heritage Landscape and I wondered if Mr Corby could just clarify what the status of that is and speak to that directly.

Councillor Cassidy: Mr. Corby.
Mike Corby, Senior Planner: Sorry Councillor Helmer are, are you asking me to clarify what the cultural heritage of the area is, I'm just confused by the question. Sorry.

Deputy Mayor Helmer: No problem. So there's some references to the fact that the sort of the roadway itself the lead into the park was almost designated as a Cultural Heritage Landscape and I just wondered if you could clarify what happened with that.

Mike Corby, Senior Planner: Through you Madam Chair I'm not aware of what happened with that. I don't think our Heritage Planners are here to speak to the matter but I'm not I'm not aware what happened to that. Sorry.

Councillor Cassidy: Deputy Mayor.

Deputy Mayor Helmer: That's fine.

Councillor Cassidy: Thank you. Councillor Hopkins.

Councillor Hopkins: Yes. Thank you Madam Chair. I know we're on technical questions so maybe this is the time to ask the question that I had about the permanent pumping station if, if staff could just give us a few more details I know it's mentioned here that the development can access water and the proposed development can access sanitary servicing which will be privately owned if staff can just expand a little bit more on, on that.

Councillor Cassidy: Mr. Corby.

Matt Feldberg, Manager, Development Services (Subdivisions): I'll take this one Madam Chair. It's Matt Feldberg speaking. So what the, through the condominium, what we would do is we would create a common elements pumping station and that would be installed somewhere on the site as we work through the details of the design and then what would happen is there will be a sewer that would be run up Meadowlily Road to where the outlet is and they would connect into the sewer at that point. They'd have to bring services, there's no water that actually connects down that far North to the site so they'll have to actually bring water service down Meadowlily Road so that they can connect when the development proceeds.

Councillor Hopkins: Thank you for that. In the pumping station would that be, who would be responsible for that?

Matt Feldberg, Manager, Development Services (Subdivisions): Through you Madam Chair, so the Condominium would actually be responsible for that, they would be required to operate and maintain that as per their common elements and they'd be, the residents will be paying a fee, their monthly fees into that, there would be a reserve fund and they would take care of maintenance and ensure that it is operating correctly.

Councillor Hopkins: Would they also be responsible for any emergency or any problems with the pumping station?

Matt Feldberg, Manager, Development Services (Subdivisions): Through you Madam Chair, yes they would and then the connections themselves would be out and we'd be working with an operations agreement with our Wastewater staff to ensure that it's operating correctly.
Councillor Hopkins: Thank you.

Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Councillor. I will go to Councillor van Holst.

Councillor van Holst: Thank you Madam Chair. So the Province has said now that any, any home can have a secondary unit installed by right and so my question through you to staff is if that had been considered in, in terms of the, the traffic and, and the utilities necessary for this for this area? There could be what a large expansion of the population if all the homeowners took advantage of that opportunity.

Councillor Cassidy: Mr. Corby or Mr. Feldberg.

Matt Feldberg, Manager, Development Services (Subdivisions): I'll take this one Madam Chair so that wasn't the application in front of us. The application was for a vacant land condominium.

Councillor Cassidy: Thank you. Any other technical questions? So I will, oh, Councillor Lewis I see your hand up. Go ahead.

Councillor Lewis: Thank you Madam Chair. Thank you for recognizing me, I guess, at your Committee. I do have two questions for our staff in regards to the presentation that we just heard. The first is that no significant traffic impact was expected from this. I wonder, I mean to me, if memory serves there are all fewer than twenty-four residences on this stretch of road already so we are talking about quadrupling the traffic and we are also talking about traffic that's going to be inside the thirty meter buffer zone of an ESA. So from an environmental perspective has the Traffic Impact Study taken into account the environmental impact of all those extra vehicles inside an ESA buffer? That's my first question and then my second question I'll just ask staff so that we can hear the response is we just heard that it's, the proposal is consistent with the character of the road. I'm just trying to square that circle in my head because I look at the road at all the setbacks are significant and they're all single family homes and we're talking about a fairly intense eighty plus unit development right now understanding that that might change a little as the footprint is altered but I'm not sure how, how to square that circle in terms of the comment that it is consistent with the character of the road. I just want to hear from staff to find a little more insight into those two issues.

Councillor Cassidy: Mr. Corby or Mr. Feldberg.

Mike Corby, Senior Planner: Thank you Madam Chair. So with respect to the Traffic Impact Assessment it doesn't take into account necessarily the buffer limits of an ESA, what it's looking at is a traffic count for a particular road to determine the loading of that road and whether the development is appropriate. So in this case we have a local road and we have certain capacities that are able to function on that street and based on what we've seen thus far in the number of units that are being proposed we feel it's appropriate at this time. As far as the second question, perhaps Mr. Corby could address that one.

Councillor Cassidy: Thanks. Mr. Corby.

Mike Corby, Senior Planner: Thank you Madam Chair. So in regards to kind of maintaining the character in the area as you mentioned there there's about five or six single family dwellings south of this property that all have fairly significant setbacks from the road and in terms of meeting that character these, this
development as well will be set back fairly significantly, they are required to give us about three to five meters depending on where it is located on the property of road widening dedication and then on top of that providing another eleven meter setback for those town homes and as mentioned through site plan they’ll be able to use landscaping, there’s recommendations they’ll be using native trees or gates to help create that heritage character through this development.

- Councillor Cassidy: Alright. Any other technical questions? So I wonder if the applicant is here and if the applicant would like to address the Committee? Just come to the microphone, state your name and you will have five minutes.

- Hi my name's Jason Johnson, I’m with Dillon Consulting representing the applicant. Based on what you've heard, that we have received some comments from the City and the public in the last week and so that's why the deferral was requested. As a result the plans originally presented will be modified, specifically the townhome blocks or units which will require an additional public meeting to provide the public and the Committee with a chance to comment on the new layout. The information that has been provided tonight will not change regarding the applicable policies and the justification provided in the planning justification report. All modifications will be regarding the townhome blocks and the zoning provisions regarding them. As you've heard from Mike's Corby's report the proposed development consists of the following: a mix of single detached dwellings and townhome units, private sanitary sewers and storm sewers including a private sanitary pump station and force main, a private public water main, buffers from the Highbury Woods Park and the Meadowlily ESA in accordance with provincial and municipal requirements and landscaping and heritage compensation features complimentary to the natural existing landscape. As noted earlier, the application as submitted to amend the City by-law is from a holding Urban Reserve to a Site Specific Residential R-6 Zone that would permit the development of single detached, semi-detached and town home dwellings with the following provisions, and that was provided in the report, the proposed zoning provisions for the single detached will remain the same and then as I said noted before it should be noted that with the change in the lay out to the town home units within the development the zoning provisions may be modified from the ones originally requested. If anything the setbacks will increase providing additional buffering and landscaped areas. Based on the Provincial Policy Statement the proposed development will meet the intent of the policies in the Provincial Policy Statement by providing additional multi price point housing units with a range and mix of housing types and tenures that will be connected to existing infrastructure located in the vicinity of the development. The proposed private housing development is consistent with these policies by encouraging the use of under-utilized lands by proposing a land use that can exist in harmony with the surrounding land uses and by creating opportunities for increased municipal taxes. Located near commercial uses, parks and schools as well as along transit routes, the proposed development will also provide opportunities for residents of all abilities to access other areas for the City of London. The proposed development observes the existing ESA boundary and provides a significant size buffer to allow for the protection enhancement, enhancement of Highbury Woods and the Meadowlily ESA surrounding the property. This allows for the redevelopment of the already residential land for a mix of housing types. Just based on, based on, The London Plan the subject site is located within the Urban Growth Boundary for the City of London and is designated Neighbourhood's Place type which permits a mix of residential uses on the site as proposed. The proposed development will consist of low rise, low coverage buildings that minimize problems of shadowing, view obstruction and loss of privacy. The applicant is requesting an amendment to the City of London Zoning by-law Z.-1 for a site specific Residential R-6 Zone that would permit the
proposed dwellings with the site specific setbacks and lot coverage provisions. The required parking for this type of development would be a minimum of two spaces for the single detached and townhouse units. All lots are units which will be able to support at least the required two spaces with most being able to provide more. A minimum of ten additional proposed business or parking spaces for the townhome blocks will also be provided. Further details will be provided upon the completion of the revised Zoning By-law Amendment, Site Plan and Draft Plan submissions.

- Councillor Cassidy: You have about 30 seconds left.

- Jason Johnson, Dillon Consulting: Ok. Sounds good. So just quickly to summarize the key benefits and features. So the proposed development is significantly scaled down, eighty nine units plus or minus compared to a maximum allowed density of more than two hundred and fifty units, generous front yard setbacks compared to the minimum required for units facing Meadowlily Road South, there is generous buffers provided as part of the development to protect Highbury Woods and the Meadowlily ESA, approximately four hundred and thirty meters of new public multi-use pathway will be created by the City within the generous buffers provided as part of the development, more trees and plants are to be planted then what would we be removed during construction.

- Councillor Cassidy: Okay, Mr. Johnson you’re, you’re past your five minutes and knowing that we will be going through this again when the application comes back to us you'll have an opportunity to speak again at that time. So what I'm going to do now is go to committee room one and two where I see there are a number of members of the public present and if anybody would like to address the Committee there's a microphone in the room. You can come to the microphone and, and you'll each have five minutes. Come to the microphone, state your name and you'll have five minutes.

- Hi. My name is Gary Smith. I live at 141 Meadowlily Road South. I am a member of The Friends of Meadowlily Woods Community Association. I would like to address a few key items with regard to how it is our residents in our community feel about this proposal. First of all I've got to get some reassurance with regard to the fact that with regard to this deferral that it be stated definitely that if the deferral is allowed at the public process around this and everything be deferred and we get that opportunity for another public meeting when that the deferral comes, that's the first concern. Our next concern is our members and our residents would like to know what the wording is for that meeting with regard to making sure that correspondences and communications that are sent to the Planner and to the Clerks be attached to the agenda and not necessarily relegated to the staff report. I’d like to know what that wording is and thirdly while it is the case, sorry about my breath, that we have strived to find some way to be a cooperative and a part of the overall discussion with this that would it not be a matter that just because we want to defend our neighborhood, our community and our natural areas that we necessarily need to be uncooperative or aggressive in any way. I terms of further remarks I have some, some concerns about the definition of buffers and setbacks in this particular application with regard to two important issues if not three. Number one is that the existing conditions on Meadowlily Road South are such that most of the houses and most of the areas along our road have setbacks of somewhere between twenty-one to sixty meters off the road. The presentation by Mr. Corby suggests that the fewest a buffer with regard to this particular setback and buffer is somewhere between three and five meters. We consider that unacceptable if these structures are too close to the road. Number two, no, I was distracted. That's
the first issue was those buffers and a buffer on the back of the property isn't the issue, the buffer is between the most front buildings on this proposal and the edge of Meadowlily Road South on the West, that's the objection. Number two, there is the issue of the setbacks and buffers with regard to the protection of the ecological and environmental features of Meadowlily ESA especially as it borders on the East boundary of Meadowlily Road South as that was the original part of the ESA that was given to the City by the Frasier family in 1991. As far as how I understand the setbacks and buffers policy of the City as was given to me by Mr. Corby and other people that those buffers would in fact overhang or exceed the width of the road with regard to where the situation is right now and that numerous policies both provincial and also municipal suggested infrastructure not be installed or put in areas of such an important buffer. The third pieces is that not only is the ESA there but there is also a matter there's a water course and a creek not just on the West side of the property but also on the East side of the property that comes off of Park Farm and goes all the way down to the river on the East side road and that too would suggest that there would be a buffer that would not only go a considerable length towards the other side of the road as well as the fact that trees along the ESA also overhang at numerous points the East side of the road and their ten meter buffer would also be on the other side, the West side, of Meadowlily Road South so we object. Appreciate your time. Thank you very much.

• Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mr Smith. The questions that you asked at the beginning we will get to those when the public participation meeting is finished and staff will answer all of the questions that are raised. Is there anybody else that would like to address the Committee in committee room one or two or three?

• My name is Bruce Richardson. I live at 25 Meadowlily Road South. The only concern we have is the mention of ESA and setbacks and there is mention of the Highbury Woods setbacks and there is no mention whatsoever of the Meadowlily Nature Preserve which is directly to the North of 101 Meadowlily and we ask why or if it could be included. Thank you very much.

• Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mr. Richardson. Any other members of the public that would like to speak?

• Carol Richardson, my address is 1200 Riverside Drive. I'm a friend, I'm not a member of the Friends of Meadowlily Woods and donor of the Meadowlily Nature Preserve, my husband and I donated back to the City of London. My question basically is this, when I heard that they applied for only eighty-nine units when you could have gone for more, I understand right now its Urban Reserve and maybe the Planning people can answer this. If it's Urban Reserve and it's rezoned, they're asking for an R-6, which I think allows a very high density it, are there any other options in rezoning, for instance, can it be R-2 or I just don't understand this zoning situation and so will our Councillors have any option to R-6 when they finally vote? Thank you.

• Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mrs. Richardson. Anybody else care to speak to the Planning Committee?

• My name is Susan Smith, I live at 141 Meadowlily Road South. I have lived in that house, sorry, I am a nineteen year resident of Meadowlily Road. I moved to this area because of its natural features. This area gives us space to live in joy and enjoy its beauty. This area of Meadowlily is a gem in Southeast London although I've heard some people say that they wanted to live here as part of this process and I agree that the people are entitled to live in this area but the reason they want to live here is because of its natural beauty, the, the water features,
the, the trees, the plants, the birds, the deer, the wide open spaces. If we put this development there it is being taken away. The reason for wanting to be in this area doesn't make sense if they're going to build all these houses. My church says preserve the integrity of Meadowlily Road South, keep it green, the back growing responsibility environmentally ecologically and now I do not want this large development in my neighborhood. Thank you very much.

- Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mrs. Smith. Anyone else care to speak to the Committee?

- My name is Zander Stolarksi, my mother lives on 147 Meadowlily Road South. We've lived there almost fifty years. I knew the Fraser family, the Kemps, everybody that was in that area originally and Mr Fraser gave us a gift, he gave the City a beautiful gift in which is so important not just to the City but to visitors that we can maintain a forest city and preserve it in ways that we can embrace and touch our past at the same time as grow in appropriate areas. My question is can Urban Reserve still be designated as a green space and kept as a green space? That's, that's all I have to say. Thank you.

- Councillor Cassidy: Thank you. Any other members of the public? I see someone in committee room three, if you would care to state your name, Sir, and then you'll have five minutes.

- Yes, Dennis Weir. I'm here today to speak against this proposal. I would urge Council to deny the application totally. It is very much inappropriate it's one of the few beautiful historic areas in the City of London and this would be a travesty if this development is allowed to proceed. Thank you.

- Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mr. Weir. Did I see someone in a number five ready to come? Okay, there we are, committee room five. State your name. Five minutes.

- My name's Ron Hicks. I live in London for thirty-four, thirty-five, years now. We, my wife and I, especially in Covid, have done a lot of walking around different parts of the city. We discovered Meadowlily this year although we've heard about it before and right now it's one of our go to places. It's, it's just a breath of fresh air in there and somebody else mentioned the wildlife, it's the river running through it, even when we first went down there we saw the Meadowlily Feed Farm and I think he was saying, don't quote me, but if this whole development grows in that's going to destroy a lot of that. It's going to have all this machinery going on and things like that. I think it's just way too big for that particular area but the thing that's got me lately is in London there seems to be a lot of development and I'm not against development but I'm against development that takes up valuable, valuable ecological space and I feel Meadowlily is one those, there's other areas around, we go to Dorchester ponds as well but it's just a personal feeling I don't have a lot of facts about it but it's some place that we enjoy and I know a lot of people who we see on the trail enjoy it as well. I just don't see, I'd, I'd ask the Committee to really think about before they accept this application. It sounds reasonable in some ways now but I think in the future just like out on Southdale Road, past Wonderland, it's growing so huge now that is just unbelievable. We first moved out there, there was nothing but farm land so just to just to get a good consideration. I know the public appreciate it. Ok. Thank you.

- Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Mr Hicks. Any other members? Ok. I see you in number, in committee room three, go ahead. State your name.
Hi there. It is Kelley McKeating, I live at 329 Victoria Street and I'm speaking on behalf of the Architectural Conservancy of Ontario. This development proposal in my view is the antithesis of The London Plan’s desire to build inward and upward. Meadowilly Road is an idyllic little country laneway that has not changed substantially since the farmhouses on that street were first built almost two hundred years ago. It, as other people have mentioned, there is ESA and nature preserve land on three sides of the proposed development and also there’s a designated property on Park Farm on the Southeast, adjacent to the property on the Southeast corner. With respect to Mr. Corby’s comments, I think like most members of the public we’re only seeing a proposed buffer on two sides, on the North and on the West and I think that arguably a buffer on the East side is at least as important both for the ESA and for Park Farm and driveways and front lawns, in my world, they’re not buffers. What we would propose is that the development be required to have a significantly larger setback from Meadowilly Road and that there be a single access road rather than driveways for fifty-two townhouse units directly onto Meadowilly Road. One of the things that I noticed as I was plowing through the ninety-three page planning report was the comments from the Transportation department about site lines and it appeared to be saying that trimming and removing of trees from the ESA was going to be required in order to allow the two hundred seventy-three people in this development to access their homes safely. One thing that I and, and I just, it concerns me that that's not really well thought through because to just widely say we had to cut some trees down, I just don't think that that should ever be done lightly particularly not in a protected area like this and one thing that I fear is that after these two hundred and seventy-three people are living in this subdivision they will start to understand how tiny the laneway is that is Meadowilly Road, they’ll start to realize how narrow and difficult to navigate it is in the winter time and they're going to be lobbying to have it widened and widened and made more car friendly and that pressure if it succeeds could irreversibly alter the character of the neighborhood in a way that I don't think anyone who lives there wants. The ACO’s recommendations are again to have a significant buffer on the East side of the property that involves native trees, like real forests so that the homes are not visible or very visible from the road, a single access road off Meadowilly Road and a design for the town homes and the single family homes that is more in keeping with the rural character of the area. It seems to me that the comments of the Heritage Planners in the planning report dated May 5 of their comments are it's not clear to me that their suggestions for the recommendations of Mr. Dingman in the Heritage Impact Assessment have been addressed and to close I have one small question is it doesn't appear that LACH has been involved in this and was not asked to provide comments and I’m curious about why that is, why that didn’t happen. Thanks.

Councillor Cassidy: Thank you. Thank you Ms. McKeating. Any other members of the public who would like to speak? There you are, go ahead, state your name. Five minutes.

Resident: I am also a resident of the City of London and I’m also here to speak against the proposal. I’m a mother of three, an Environmentalist and I’m also completing my Masters currently at Western in Sustainability and I agree entirely that this is a terrible idea. I know that it’s an emotional topic for some. The avid trail users that use this area are not being taken into account. Twenty four residents? What about all the people that use these trails on a daily basis. I bring my children hiking there every day they’re learning about the natural world in their area, in their hometown. If we continue to build more property, more homes in this area it is going to affect the biodiversity in this area. I’m sorry to be a little bit emotional but like this place is close to my heart as it is many residents of London. I was just there last weekend and it was happening, not last weekend
but the weekend before. It was unbelievable the amount of cars and the amount of people that were using the trail if we continue to develop there is, the exact area, I don't know how many of you keep up, how many of the people sitting on this Committee have ever used this trail and appreciated its natural beauty but there is plenty of wildlife and large animals, mammals, coyotes, deer, that regularly frequent the place, the exact place where they want to built so in keeping with the City of London’s Climate Action Plan which should be more ambitious, we’re looking, you know, in comparison with other cities, the City of Vancouver, they want to be known as the greenest city; we want to be known as the Forest City, if we want to be the Forest City, we have to keep our, our forest with trees, forested, we can’t just replant trees, these are mature trees. Planting new trees is not going to have the same impact. If we, I’m just going to go to the City website, I’m going to use this time because this is important and I urge all of you sitting here today to go for a hike at Meadowlily. I’m not kidding, this is really important before we are going to start learning habitat’s and putting in new homes you guys need to know what you’re agreeing to. This examples of topics under review include: Thames River, river and flood impacts on infrastructure, this area is right on the water. It’s on a hill, if you guys are familiar with this land, get to Meadowlily like, like this, this lady was saying, you have to drive down hill in the winter. I’m a trail runner and I have to park at the top because the road is that dangerous to drive down in the winter months when it snows. Okay so the Thames River that’s a huge part of the Climate Action Plan the City of London is claiming to care about. Energy and greenhouse gas emissions these trees, this area needs to be protected. I implore with all of you tonight to seriously consider this. Slope Stability Study, Emergency Management preparations, do the residents, the future residents of this development know they’re going to be responsible for the cost that, that, that they were saying that they’re going to have to be held responsible for emergency storm sewer operation costs. I mean there are so many things I could go on and I don’t want to waste everybody’s time because I know that this meeting is probably going longer than already expected but please, for homework, all of you go and go for a hike in there and then come back with your decision. Please the Climate Action Plan is a real scenario that needs to be worked on yesterday, we’re already late and we should be a leader. The Forest City should be a leader for all of Canada and if we do that development it’s shameful. I want to live there, too. I love that area, I love that area with all my heart but if we continue putting homes there that areas not going to be anything to love. It’s time to not only mitigate climate change, adaptation we need to not only not ruin more green spaces we need to plant more green spaces in the city. There are so many wonderful places all over the city that could use development. This area is not one of them. Thank you for your time.

- Councillor Cassidy: Okay. Is there anybody else who would like to address the Committee? I’ll ask one more time in any of the committee rooms, do we have members of the public who would like to speak before we close the public participation portion of this meeting? All right. I’m not seeing anyone and I have one last comment the City Clerk is going to read a comment that was received by a member of the public who is quite ill and could not attend the meeting

- Catharine Saunders, City Clerk: Thank you Madam Chair. This is from Nancy Tausky, from 107 Western Road. (See attached communication.)

- Councillor Cassidy: Thank you Madam Clerk and if you are in communication with Ms. Tausky please give our regards and hope that she has a quick recovery. Also I look for a motion from Committee to close the public participation meeting.
October 5, 2020

City Clerk
PEC@london.ca

RE: DEVELOPMENT AT 101 MEADOWLILY ROAD

To Members of the Planning and Environment Committee:

I want to thank Staff and the Developer for making several adjustments in keeping with heritage requirements: e.g., sympathetic gates, setbacks of townhouses facing Meadowlily Rd., “lighting that controls and prevents lighting bleed and glare” from Park Farm.

I do have two further requests, however, which seem to me crucial in preserving something of the character of Meadowlily Road and the view from Park Farm that plays an essential role in defining its character (see the HIA):

1. **Deeper Screening between Meadowlily Road and the Development.** Especially with driveways leading directly on to Meadowlily Road, the proposed boulevard plantings will not be adequate.

2. **A Public Participation Meeting to discuss proposed building design within the development.** As the HIA points out, the models shown in the tentative proposal are too urban and sharp-edged for their natural and heritage-related context. We look forward to more appropriate designs.

Thank you for considering these requests.

Sincerely,

Nancy Tausky
Community and Protective Services Committee
Report

The 11th Meeting of the Community and Protective Services Committee
October 6, 2020

PRESENT: Councillors S. Lewis (Chair), M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, Mayor E. Holder

ALSO PRESENT: J. Bunn, M. Schulthess and J. Taylor


The meeting was called to order at 4:01 PM; it being noted that the following Members were in remote attendance: Mayor E. Holder, Councillors S. Hillier, M. Salih and M. van Holst

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest
   That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

2. Consent
   Moved by: E. Holder
   Seconded by: S. Hillier
   That Items 2.2, 2.3 and 2.5 to 2.8 BE APPROVED.
   Yeas: (6): S. Lewis, M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, and E. Holder
   Motion Passed (6 to 0)

2.2 Housing Quarterly Report
   Moved by: E. Holder
   Seconded by: S. Hillier
   That, on the recommendation of the Acting Managing Director, Housing, Social Services and Dearness Home, the following actions be taken with respect to the Housing Quarterly Report:
   a) the staff report dated October 6, 2020 BE RECEIVED; and,
   b) the above-noted report BE CIRCULATED to stakeholders, agencies, and community groups including, but not limited to: Middlesex County, London Housing Advisory Committee, and the London Homeless Coalition. (2020-S11)
   Motion Passed
2.3 Proposed Watt Coin Collection Donation to the Museum of the Bank of Canada

Moved by: E. Holder
Seconded by: S. Hillier

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Parks and Recreation, the following actions be taken with respect to the proposed Watt Coin Collection donation to the Museum of the Bank of Canada:

a) the staff report dated October 6, 2020 BE RECEIVED; and,

b) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to take the necessary action to transfer the ownership of the Watt Coin Collection from the City of London to the Museum of the Bank of Canada. (2020-M12)

Motion Passed

2.5 Deferred Matters (Item 13): Parade Permits

Moved by: E. Holder
Seconded by: S. Hillier

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director of Parks and Recreation, the proposed by-law, as appended to the staff report dated October 6, 2020, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on October 13, 2020 to amend the Council Policy entitled “Special Event Policies and Procedures Manual” in part 4.13 to address the restriction of parade permits between November 1 and November 11 annually. (2020-P11)

Motion Passed

2.6 The Museum London Operating Endowment Fund Trust

Moved by: E. Holder
Seconded by: S. Hillier

That, on the recommendation of the City Clerk, the proposed by-law, as appended to the staff report dated October 6, 2020, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on October 13, 2020, to:

a) authorize and approve the Acknowledgement, as appended to the above-noted by-law, between The Corporation of the City of London (the “City”), Museum London (the “Museum”) and Museum London Foundation (the “Foundation”) to terminate the Declaration and Agreement of Trust dated the 9th day of April, 2019; and,

b) authorize the Mayor and the City Clerk to execute the above-noted Acknowledgement. (2020-M12)

Motion Passed

2.7 Homeless Prevention COVID-19 Response - Single Source Procurements

Moved by: E. Holder
Seconded by: S. Hillier

That, on the recommendation of the Acting Managing Director of Housing, Social Services and Dearness Home, with the concurrence of the Director, Financial Services, the following action be taken with respect to Single
Source Procurements related to the Homeless Prevention COVID-19 Response:

a) single source procurements BE APPROVED with existing agreements with various hotels and motels within the City of London at a total estimated cost of $1,181,396 (excluding HST) for a period between November 1, 2020 to March 31, 2021, in accordance with section 14.4d) of the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;

b) a single source procurement with Impact London BE APPROVED for isolation space staffing support with a total estimated cost of $275,000 for a period between November 1, 2020 to March 31, 2021, in accordance with section 14.4e) of the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy; and,

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to take all necessary steps to allocate funding to extend the Homeless Prevention COVID-19 Response by continuing to fund the operation of the Isolation Space, and social distancing space, and continuing staffing support by Impact London at the Isolation Space until March 31, 2021. (2020-S11)

Motion Passed

2.8 Municipal Implementation of Authorized Cannabis Retail Stores

Moved by: E. Holder
Seconded by: S. Hillier

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Development and Compliance Services and Chief Building Official, the staff report dated October 6, 2020, with respect to the Municipal Implementation of Authorized Cannabis Retail Stores, BE RECEIVED. (2020-P11)

Motion Passed

2.1 RFP 20-54 Municipal Parking Enforcement Services

That it BE NOTED that the Community and Protective Services Committee was unable to reach a majority decision with respect to RFP 20-54 for Municipal Parking Enforcement Services and pursuant to Section 19.3 of the Council Procedure By-law, the matter is hereby submitted to the Municipal Council for its disposition.

Additional Votes:

Moved by: E. Holder
Seconded by: P. Squire

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Development and Compliance Services and Chief Building Official, the following actions be taken with respect to the Municipal Parking Enforcement Services contract, RFP 20-54:

a) the Submission from Imperial Parking Canada Corporation – Part of the REEF Parking Network, and their submitted total annual cost of $1,067,778.58 (excluding H.S.T) for services over the next three (3) years BE APPROVED, in accordance with the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy section 12.2 b); it being noted that, at its absolute sole discretion, the City has the option to renew the contract for an additional two (2) year period at one (1) year each; and,
b) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all administrative acts which are necessary in connection with this contract. (2020-T02)

Yeas: (3): M. van Holst, P. Squire, and E. Holder
Nays: (3): S. Lewis, M. Salih, and S. Hillier

Motion Failed (3 to 3)

2.4 Response to Visual Artist K. O’Neill Concerning Arts and Culture Policies and Funding

Moved by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: S. Hillier

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Parks and Recreation, the staff report dated October 6, 2020, written in response to the delegation letter of request from Visual Artist K. O’Neill, BE RECEIVED. (2020-R08)

Yeas: (6): S. Lewis, M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, and E. Holder

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

3. Scheduled Items

3.1 Administrative Monetary Penalties - Application to Municipal By-laws

Moved by: P. Squire
Seconded by: M. van Holst

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Development and Compliance and Chief Building Official, the revised, attached proposed by-law amendments, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on October 13, 2020 for the purpose of applying the Administrative Monetary Penalties System By-law to municipal by-laws; it being noted that no individuals spoke at the public participation meeting associated with this matter. (2020-P01)

Yeas: (6): S. Lewis, M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, and E. Holder

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Additional Votes:

Moved by: S. Hillier
Seconded by: E. Holder

Motion to open the public participation meeting.

Yeas: (6): S. Lewis, M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, and E. Holder

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Moved by: S. Hillier
Seconded by: M. van Holst
Motion to close the public participation meeting.

Yeas: (6): S. Lewis, M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, and E. Holder

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

4. Items for Direction

4.1 Stop Illicit Cannabis Grow Operations - D. France

Moved by: S. Hillier
Seconded by: M. van Holst

That the communication dated September 18, 2020, from D. France, with respect to stopping illicit cannabis grow operations, BE RECEIVED.

(2020-P11)

Yeas: (6): S. Lewis, M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, and E. Holder

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

5. Deferred Matters/Additional Business

5.1 Deferred Matters List

Moved by: S. Hillier
Seconded by: M. van Holst

That the Deferred Matters List for the Community and Protective Services Committee, as at September 21, 2020, BE RECEIVED.

Yeas: (6): S. Lewis, M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, and E. Holder

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

6. Confidential

Moved by: E. Holder
Seconded by: S. Hillier

That the Community and Protective Services Committee convene, In Closed Session, for the purpose of considering the following:

6.1 Solicitor/Client Privilege

A matter pertaining to advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose, with respect to RFP 20-54 Municipal Parking Enforcement Services.

Yeas: (6): S. Lewis, M. van Holst, M. Salih, P. Squire, S. Hillier, and E. Holder

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

The Community and Protective Services Committee convened, In Closed Session, from 5:01 PM to 5:39 PM.

7. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:16 PM
Appendix A

Bill No.
2020
By-law No. -______

A by-law to amend By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London”.

WHEREAS section 434.1 of the Municipal Act authorizes the City to require a person, subject to conditions as the municipality considers appropriate, to pay an administrative penalty if the municipality is satisfied that the person has failed to comply with a by-law of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council considers it desirable to enforce and seek compliance with the designated by-laws, or portions of those by-laws, through the Administrative Monetary Penalty System;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council on June 25, 2019 passed By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London;”

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council deems it appropriate to amend By-law No. A-54 with respect to contraventions of designated by-laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. That Schedule “A” of the By-law be replaced with the attached Schedule “A-2”;  
2. That the attached Schedule “A-1”; Schedule “A-3”; Schedule “A-4”; Schedule “A-5”; and Schedule “A-6” be added to the By-law;
3. That section 8.1 of the By-law be amended by adding the word “penalties” after every instance that the word “policies” appears;
4. That the definition of “Administrative Penalty” in section 1.1 of the By-law be deleted and replaced with:

   means an administrative penalty established by this By-law or set out in the attached Schedules “A-2”; “A-3”; “A-4”; “A-5”; “A-6” for a contravention of a Designated by-law listed in Schedule “A-1”.
5. That the definition of “Designated By-law” be amended by replacing Schedule “A” with Schedule “A-1”.
6. That Section 2.1 of the By-law be deleted and replaced with the following:

   The City by-laws, or portions of City by-laws, listed in the attached Schedule “A-1” of this By-law shall be Designated By-laws for the purposes of sections 102.1 and 151 of the Municipal Act and paragraph 3(1)(b) of the Regulation. The attached Schedules “A-2”; “A-3”; “A-4”; “A-5”; “A-6” set out the Administrative Penalties, and may include short form language to be used on Penalty Notices, for the contraventions of Designated Bylaws.
7. That Section 2.3 of the By-law be deleted and replaced with the following:
The Provincial Offences Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.33, as amended, will continue to apply to contraventions of a Designated By-law, except that no person that is required to pay an administrative penalty under this By-law in respect of a contravention of a Designated By-law shall be charged with an offence in respect of the same contravention under the Provincial Offences Act.


9. That Section 3.1 of the By-law be amended by adding the following clause:

3.1 a) An Officer has the discretion to apply an escalated penalty as prescribed in Schedules A-2”; “A-3”; “A-4”; “A-5”; “A-6” if the same violation is repeated by the same person. If the violation is related to a property, the Officer must ensure the property ownership has not changed before applying the escalated penalty. Escalating penalties for the same violation apply to a property in perpetuity as long as the owner of the property has not changed.

10. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
### Schedule “A-2”
Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-Law
Penalty Schedule for Traffic and Parking By-law, Idling Control By-law and Unauthorized Area Parking By-law

1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Item #</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Designated Provision</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Park facing wrong way</td>
<td>5(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stop in traffic lane</td>
<td>8(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stop in prohibited area - signed</td>
<td>8(2)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Park on sidewalk</td>
<td>9(1)(a)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Park between sidewalk and roadway</td>
<td>9(1)(b)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Park on boulevard</td>
<td>9(1)(c)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Park in front of driveway access</td>
<td>9(1)(d)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Park in front of lane</td>
<td>9(1)(d)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Park within an intersection</td>
<td>9(1)(e)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Park within 2 metres of fire hydrant</td>
<td>9(1)(f)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Park on crosswalk</td>
<td>9(1)(g)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Park more than .3 metres from curb</td>
<td>5(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Park within 6 metres of crosswalk at intersection</td>
<td>9(1)(h)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Park - obstruct traffic</td>
<td>9(1)(i)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Park - prevent removal of previously parked vehicle</td>
<td>9(1)(j)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Park prohibited - 3:00 am to 5:00 am</td>
<td>9(1)(k)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Park - obstruct ramp</td>
<td>9(1)(l)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Park within 15 metres of signal controlled intersection</td>
<td>9(1)(m)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Park - on roadway longer than 12 hours</td>
<td>9(1)(n)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Park - on shoulder longer than 12 hours</td>
<td>9(1)(n)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Park - in front of entrance to office building</td>
<td>10(1)(a)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Park - in front of entrance to hospital</td>
<td>10(1)(b)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Angle park not within pavement markings</td>
<td>6(1)(a)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Park - within 20m of intersection</td>
<td>10(1)(c)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Park - within 8m of fire hall</td>
<td>10(1)(d)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Park - adjacent to school property</td>
<td>10(1)(e)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Park - adjacent to service station</td>
<td>10(1)(f)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Park - within 30m of intersection controlled by traffic signal</td>
<td>10(1)(g)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Park - within 30 metres of railway crossing</td>
<td>10(1)(h)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Park - within limits of roundabout</td>
<td>10(1)(i)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Park - 20 metres on approach street to roundabout</td>
<td>10(1)(j)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Park - adjacent to inner curb within cul-de-sac</td>
<td>10(1)(j)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Park - signed prohibited area</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Angle park exceed 60 degrees</td>
<td>6(1)(b)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Park - in bus stop</td>
<td>12(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Stop - in bus stop</td>
<td>12(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Park - in paratransit stop</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Stop - in paratransit stop</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Park - in taxi stand</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Park - in a loading zone</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Column 1 Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Column 2 Designated Provision</td>
<td>Column 3 Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Park - where restricted</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Park over time limit</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Park vehicle without valid Residential Parking Pass displayed</td>
<td>16.1(1)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Angle park where not permitted</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Angle park with load extending</td>
<td>6(2)(a)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Stop in rush hour route</td>
<td>18(a)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Park motorcycle more than 45 degree angle</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Park heavy truck on prohibited street</td>
<td>27(2)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Park school bus not in designated School Bus Zone</td>
<td>29(2)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Park school vehicle not in designated School Bus Zone</td>
<td>29(2)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Park outside meter zone</td>
<td>39(1)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Park more than one vehicle in parking space</td>
<td>40(1)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Park in parking meter zone without depositing appropriate parking meter payment</td>
<td>42(1)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Park in parking meter zone exceeding maximum period allowable</td>
<td>42(1.1)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Park exceeding maximum period allowable</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Angle park vehicle attached to trailer</td>
<td>6(2)(b)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Park in space adjacent to meter indicating no unexpired time</td>
<td>47(a)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Park without display of paper from pay and display parking meter</td>
<td>47(b)(i)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Park beyond time and date on paper from pay and display parking meter</td>
<td>47(b)(ii)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Park outside designated space - metered lot</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Park vehicle in reserved parking space</td>
<td>56(4)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Park vehicle exceeding 6.1 metres in length</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Park outside designated space - unmetered lot</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle over time limit - unmetered lot</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Park during prohibited hours - unmetered lot</td>
<td>62(2)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Park vehicle exceeding 6.1 metres in length - unmetered lot</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Angle park obstructing traffic</td>
<td>6(2)(c)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Park in fire route</td>
<td>71(1)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Park in space designated for disabled person on street</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Park in space designated for disabled person off-street</td>
<td>77(1)</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Park unlicensed vehicle on highway</td>
<td>78(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Park unlicensed vehicle on parking space</td>
<td>78(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Park vehicle in privately-owned parking lot exceeding maximum period allowable</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Park vehicle in privately-owned parking facility exceeding maximum period allowable</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Park vehicle in privately-owned parking lot without authorization</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Park vehicle in privately-owned parking facility without authorization</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Park vehicle on privately-owned land not used as parking lot or parking facility without authorization</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Park facing wrong way on one way street</td>
<td>71(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Park vehicle on Corporation-owned or occupied land without authorization</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Idle Motor Vehicle for more than 2 consecutive minutes</td>
<td>By-law PH-15, 3.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Designated Provision</td>
<td>Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Idle Transit Vehicle for more than 5 consecutive minutes</td>
<td>By-law PH-15, 3.3</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Park Motor Vehicle on Parking Space that does not comply with Parking Space requirements</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Stand Motor Vehicle on Parking Space that does not comply with Parking Space requirements</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Stop Motor Vehicle on Parking Space that does not comply with Parking Space requirements</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Park in Unauthorized Area</td>
<td>By-law S-3, 2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Permit the parking in Unauthorized Area</td>
<td>By-law S-3, 2.2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in park in place other than authorized parking area</td>
<td>3.1(7)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in recreation area in place other than authorized parking area</td>
<td>3.1(7)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Park more than .3 metres from edge of roadway</td>
<td>7(2)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in park between 10 pm and 6 am</td>
<td>3.1(8)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in recreation area between 10 pm and 6 am</td>
<td>3.1(8)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Park trailer for overnight accommodation</td>
<td>4.1(3)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in parking area between 10 pm and 6 am</td>
<td>5.2(2)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Park trailer in natural park area</td>
<td>5.4(5)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Park trailer in ESA area</td>
<td>5.4(5)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Park - within reserved lane for bicycles</td>
<td>10(1)(k)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Park in parking space beyond time paid for</td>
<td>47(1)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Parking in access aisle to disabled parking-&quot;no stopping&quot; signs displayed</td>
<td>77(2)</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Park vehicle in electric vehicle parking space - not an electric vehicle</td>
<td>10.1(a)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Park a vehicle on a municipal parking lot without displaying the parking permit issued for that lot</td>
<td>56(3)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
Schedule “A-1”
Designated By-laws under the Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-Law

The following by-laws are listed as Designated By-laws as defined in the AMPs By-law:

- Traffic and Parking By-law: By-law PS-113
- Unauthorized Area Parking: By-law S-3
- Idling Control By-law: By-law PH-15
- Business Licensing By-law: By-law L.-131-16
- Residential Rental Units Licensing By-law: By-law CP-19
- Yard and Lot Maintenance By-law: By-law PW-9
- Property Standards By-law: By-law CP-16
Schedule “A-3”
Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-Law
Penalty Schedule Residential Rental Units Licensing By-law

1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Item #</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Operate Rental Unit without current valid licence</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hold out to be licensed if not licensed</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Contravene (term / condition) of licence</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fail to comply with (term / condition) of licence</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Operate Rental Unit while licence under suspension</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
Schedule “A-4”
Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-Law
Penalty Schedule for Yard and Lot Maintenance By-law

1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Item #</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amounts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fail to clear land of refuse</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fail to enclose excavation with temporary barrier (122cm / 48 inches) high</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fail to drain accumulation of water over (30cm / 12 inches) deep</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Deposit refuse on private property</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Deposit refuse on municipal property</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fail to keep water in swimming pool in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fail to maintain water in swimming pool in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fail to clear buffer strip</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hinder Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Obstruct Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Attempt to hinder Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Attempt to obstruct Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Contravene (Work Order / Order to Discontinue Activity)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fail to contain refuse in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Fail to locate refuse containers in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Fail to use (bins / bulk storage units) to contain refuse in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Fail to remove graffiti from (buildings / structures / erections / objects) in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
Schedule “A-5”  
Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-Law  
Penalty Schedule for Business Licensing By-law

1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.
2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.
3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Item #</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hold out to be licensed if not licensed</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Operate business while licence under suspension</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Operate business at location other than for which licence issued</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Operate business under name other than name endorsed on licence</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Licence holder – fail to display licence in conspicuous place on premise</td>
<td>3.5(a)</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Licence holder – fail to display licence in conspicuous place on vehicle</td>
<td>3.5(b)</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Licence holder – fail to maintain licence on their person</td>
<td>3.5(c)</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fail to keep required records</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hinder any person exercising power or duty under by-law</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Attempt to hinder any person exercising power or duty under by-law</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Obstruct any person exercising power or duty under by-law</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Attempt to obstruct any person exercising power or duty under by-law</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Own Body-Rub Parlour without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Operate Body-Rub Parlour without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Owner – permit person other than licensed Operator to operate Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.2</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Operate Body-Rub Parlour without Owner holding Body-Rub Parlour licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.3</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to enter Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to remain in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to enter Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to remain in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to Provide Body-Rub in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to offer to Provide Body-Rub in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to Provide Body-Rub in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to offer to Provide Body-Rub in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Owner – Body Rub Parlour – fail to ensure Operator attends at request of Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Owner – fail to post sign at Body-Rub Parlour entrance – no entry to under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to comply with prescribed operational standards</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to comply with prescribed hours of operation</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to comply with prescribed signage and advertising standards</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to maintain prescribed record of Attendants</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Column 1 Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Column 2 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</td>
<td>Column 3 Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to ensure no services visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (a)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Operator – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to ensure no services visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (b)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Owner – fail to ensure Body-Rub Parlour not more than 225m2 in size</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Operator – fail to ensure Body-Rub Parlour not more than 225m2 in size</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (d)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to ensure no changes to premises as shown in floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (e)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Operator – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to ensure no changes to premises as shown in floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (f)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Own Adult Live Entertainment Parlour without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (g)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Operate Adult Live Entertainment Parlour without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (h)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Owner – permit person other than licensed Operator to operate Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (i)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Operate Adult Live Entertainment Parlour without Owner holding Adult Live Entertainment Parlour Owner licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (j)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to enter Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (k)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to remain in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (l)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to enter Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (m)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to remain in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (n)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to provide services in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (o)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to act as Attendant in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (p)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to provide services in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (q)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to act as Attendant in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (r)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to have physical contact with person</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (s)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Attendant – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – have physical contact with person</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (t)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no service visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (u)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – operate in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (v)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – operated in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (w)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – operated in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (x)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – operated in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (y)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no service visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (z)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – operate in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (aa)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – operate in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (ab)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – operate in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (ac)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no service visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (ad)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no service visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (ae)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no service visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (af)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no service visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (ag)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Column 1</td>
<td>Column 2</td>
<td>Column 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Operate Automotive Service Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 4, 2.1</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Operate Commercial Parking Facility without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 5, 3.1</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Commercial Parking Facility licence holder – fail to post prescribed signage</td>
<td>Schedule 5, 4.1</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Commercial Parking Facility licence holder – fail to maintain Facility in accordance with prescribed standards</td>
<td>Schedule 5, 4.2</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Operate Contractor Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 6, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Operate Donation Bin Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – place Bin in low density residential or industrial zone</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.1 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – place Bin without authorization from property owner</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.1 (b)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – place Bin other than as shown in plan</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.1 (c)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – fail to comply with prescribed operational standards</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.2 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – fail to comply with prescribed advertising and signage requirements</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.2 (b)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Carry on business through Door to Door Sales without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 8, 5.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Door to Door Sales Licence holder – fail to maintain prescribed registry of persons conducting sales</td>
<td>Schedule 8, 6.1 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Door to Door Sales Licence holder – fail to produce registry upon request</td>
<td>Schedule 8, 6.1 (b)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Door to Door Sales Licence holder – fail to produce Police Record Check for person conducting sales</td>
<td>Schedule 8, 6.1 (d)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Operate Electronic Cigarette Retail Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 9, 3.1</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Operate Tobacco Retail Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 9, 3.2</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Operate Food Premise without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 10, 2.1</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Operate Lodging House without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 11, 3.1</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Lodging House licence holder – fail to prominently display contact information sign</td>
<td>Schedule 11, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Lodging House licence holder – fail to display contact information sign as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 11, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Operate Payday Loan Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 3.1</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Payday Loan Business licence holder – fail to prominently display interest rates sign</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 4.1 (a)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Payday Loan Business licence holder – fail to display interest rates sign as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 4.1 (a)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Payday Loan Business licence holder – fail to display interest rates sign in required locations</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 4.1 (a)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Payday Loan Business licence holder – fail to ensure person given prescribed money management support information</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 4.1 (b)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Operate Personal Services Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 13, 3.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Operate Pet Shop without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 3.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – dog or cat obtained from unauthorized source</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – fail to post prescribed list of animals in conspicuous place</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.2</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – keep animal not prescribed by Licence Manager</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.3</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – fail to maintain record of animals</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.4</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – fail to maintain prescribed record of animals</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.4</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – give animal to person under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.5</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – fail to provide prescribed information about animal to purchaser</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.6</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column 1 Item #</td>
<td>Column 2 Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</td>
<td>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Operate Public Hall without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 15, 3.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Operate Refreshment Vehicle without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Operate Class 2 Refreshment Vehicle at location not prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Operate Class 3 Refreshment Vehicle at location not prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (b)</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Operate Class 3 Refreshment Vehicle within 100m of Special Event</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (c)</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Operate Class 3 Refreshment Vehicle between 7am and 5pm within 100m of school</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (d)</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Operate Class 3 Refreshment Vehicle between 3am and 7am</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (e)</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Class 2 Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – operate at location not allocated by Licence Manager</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.3</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – fail to comply with all prescribed operational standards</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 7.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – fail to operate Refreshment Vehicle in compliance with Traffic and Parking By-law</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 7.2</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – fail to ensure Refreshment Vehicle Plate affixed as required</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 7.3 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – fail to ensure Refreshment Vehicle Plate plainly visible</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 7.3 (b)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Operate Seasonal Sales Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 17, 5.1</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Operate Salvage Yard without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.1</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Operate Second-hand Goods Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.2</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – Acquire goods – serial number altered</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – Acquire goods – serial number altered</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – Acquire goods – from person who appears to be under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – Acquire goods – from person who appears to be under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – Acquire goods – from person who appears to be under influence of drugs or alcohol</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – Acquire goods – from person who appears to be under influence of drugs or alcohol</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – Acquire goods – from person without first verifying identity</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (d)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – Acquire goods – from person without first verifying identity</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (d)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to keep goods within Holding Area for at least 5 days</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.4</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – fail to keep goods within Holding Area for at least 5 days</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.4</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – place goods in place other than licensed premises</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.5</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – place goods in place other than licensed premises</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.5</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to maintain register as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business – fail to maintain register as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to open register to inspection</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business – fail to open register to inspection</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to make prescribed report to Police of prescribed good</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – fail to make prescribed report to Police of prescribed good</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Column 2 Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</td>
<td>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to erect fence on all boundaries of premises</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.3</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to maintain fence on all boundaries of premises</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.3</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Operate Towing Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 5.1</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Operate Motor Vehicle Storage Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 5.2</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – fail to provide Police with prescribed information prior to towing</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.1</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – fail to maintain log book as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.2</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – tow vehicle from Parking lot not posted with prescribed signs</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.3 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – charge amount for towing vehicle from Parking Lot other than as set out in by-law</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.3 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – charge amount for service not in by-law – towing vehicle from Parking Lot</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.3 (d)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Storage Business licence holder – charge amount for storage of vehicle other than as set out in by-law</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.4 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Storage Business licence holder – charge amount for waiting – storage of vehicle</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.4 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Storage Business licence holder – charge amount for service not in by-law – care or storage of vehicle</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.4 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Storage Business licence holder – close premises during time required to remain open</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.4 (d)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Item #</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Failure to comply with Property Standards Order</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
Appendix A

Bill No.
2020
By-law No. -_______

A by-law to amend By-law No. CP-19 referred to as Residential Rental Units Licensing By-law.

WHEREAS section 434.1 of the Municipal Act authorizes the City to require a person, subject to conditions as the municipality considers appropriate, to pay an administrative penalty if the municipality is satisfied that the person has failed to comply with a by-law of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council considers it desirable to enforce and seek compliance with the designated by-laws, or portions of those by-laws, through the Administrative Monetary Penalty System.

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council on passed By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London”;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council deems it appropriate to amend By-law No. CP-19 with respect to contraventions of designated by-laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. That Part 10 of the By-law be amended by adding the following new clause:

10.6 “Each person who contravenes any provision of this By-law shall, upon issuance of a penalty notice in accordance with the Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-law A-54, be liable to pay the City an Administrative Monetary Penalty.”

2. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
Appendix A

Bill No.  
2020  

By-law No. -______
A by-law to amend By-law No. PW-9 referred to as the Yard and Lot Maintenance By-law titled “A By-law to provide for the filling up, draining, cleaning and clearing of land, and clearing of refuse from land.”

WHEREAS section 434.1 of the Municipal Act authorizes the City to require a person, subject to conditions as the municipality considers appropriate, to pay an administrative penalty if the municipality is satisfied that the person has failed to comply with a by-law of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council considers it desirable to enforce and seek compliance with the designated by-laws, or portions of those by-laws, through the Administrative Monetary Penalty System.

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council on passed By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London”;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council deems it appropriate to amend By-law No. PW-9 with respect to contraventions of designated by-laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. That Part 5 of the By-law be amended by adding the following new section:
   
   5.14 “Each person who contravenes any provision of this By-law shall, upon issuance of a penalty notice in accordance with the Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-law A-54, be liable to pay the City an Administrative Monetary Penalty.”

2. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder  
Mayor

Catharine Saunders  
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020  
Second Reading – October 13, 2020  
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
Appendix A

Bill No.
2020

By-law No. -_______

A by-law to amend By-law No. L.131-16, being “A by-law to provide for the Licensing and Regulation of Various Businesses.

WHEREAS section 434.1 of the Municipal Act authorizes the City to require a person, subject to conditions as the municipality considers appropriate, to pay an administrative penalty if the municipality is satisfied that the person has failed to comply with a by-law of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council considers it desirable to enforce and seek compliance with the designated by-laws, or portions of those by-laws, through the Administrative Monetary Penalty System.

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council on passed By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London”;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council deems it appropriate to amend By-law No. L.131-16 with respect to contraventions of designated by-laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. That Part 12 of the By-law be amended by adding the following new section:

   12.7 “Each person who contravenes any provision of this By-law shall, upon issuance of a penalty notice in accordance with the Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-law A-54, be liable to pay the City an Administrative Monetary Penalty.”

2. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
Bill No. 299
2020

By-law No. A.-_______ -___

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council Meeting held on the 13th day of October, 2020.

The Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. Every decision of the Council taken at the meeting at which this by-law is passed and every motion and resolution passed at that meeting shall have the same force and effect as if each and every one of them had been the subject matter of a separate by-law duly enacted, except where prior approval of the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal is required and where any legal prerequisite to the enactment of a specific by-law has not been satisfied.

2. The Mayor and the proper civic employees of the City of London are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver all documents as are required to give effect to the decisions, motions and resolutions taken at the meeting at which this by-law is passed.

3. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
A by-law to authorize the Mayor and Clerk to execute the Acknowledgment between The Corporation of the City of London (the “City”), Museum London (the “Museum”) and Museum London Foundation (the “Foundation”) to terminate the Declaration and Agreement of Trust dated the 9th day of April, 2019 (the “Agreement”)

WHEREAS subsection 5(3) of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001 c. 25, as amended, provides that a municipal power shall be exercised by by-law;

AND WHEREAS section 8 of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides that the powers of a municipality shall be interpreted broadly so as to confer broad authority on a municipality to govern its affairs as it considers appropriate and to enhance the municipality’s ability to respond to issues;

AND WHEREAS section 9 of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides that a municipality has the capacity, rights, powers and privileges of a natural person for the purposes of exercising its authority under this or any other Act;

AND WHEREAS subsection 10(1) of the Municipal Act, 2001, provides that a municipality may provide any service or thing that the municipality considers necessary or desirable to the public;

AND WHEREAS subsection 10(2) of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides that a municipality may pass by-laws respecting, among other things: (i) governance structure of the municipality and its local boards; ii) financial management of the municipality and its local boards; and iii) economic, social and environmental well-being of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS The Corporation of the City of London entered into a Declaration and Agreement of Trust, between The Corporation of the City of London, Museum London Foundation, and Museum London (“Agreement”);

AND WHEREAS The Corporation of the City of London has been requested to execute the Acknowledgment, acknowledging and agreeing:

i. A valid trust was never constituted pursuant to the terms of the Agreement;
ii. The Museum does not intend to settle a valid trust pursuant to the terms of the Agreement;
iii. The Agreement shall be terminated on the date the Acknowledgement is executed by the parties;

AND WHEREAS it is appropriate to authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the Acknowledgment on behalf of the City;
NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of the Corporation enacts as follows:

1. The Acknowledgment attached as Schedule ‘1’ to this by-law is hereby authorized and approved.

2. The Mayor and City Clerk are authorized to execute the Acknowledgement authorized and approved under section 1 of this by-law.

3. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
BETWEEN: The Corporation of the City of London (the “City”)  
AND: Museum London (the “Museum”)  
AND: Museum London Foundation (the “Foundation”)  
RE: Declaration and Agreement of Trust dated the 9th day of April, 2019 (the “Agreement”)  

WHEREAS the City, Museum and Foundation (collectively, the “Parties”) agreed that it would be in the best interests of the City and the Museum to settle a trust to improve the administration, operation and governance of the general operating funds of the Museum (the “Funds”), whereby the Museum, as settlor, would transfer the Funds to the Foundation to hold as trustee, for the benefit of the City and Museum, as beneficiaries;  

AND WHEREAS the Agreement to establish this trust relationship was drawn up by legal counsel and reviewed by the Parties;  

AND WHEREAS the City passed A By-law to approve the Declaration and Agreement of Trust between the Museum London Foundation, Museum London and The Corporation of the City of London, By-law No. A.-7833-108, at the Municipal Council meeting on the 9th day of April, 2019, which approved the Agreement between the Parties and authorized the Mayor and City Clerk to sign the Agreement, attached as Schedule “A” to the said By-law;  

AND WHEREAS the Parties executed the Agreement on the 9th day of April, 2019;  

AND WHEREAS neither the Funds, nor any other property, were transferred from the Museum, as settlor, to the Foundation, as trustee, for the benefit of the City and the Museum, as beneficiaries, in accordance with the Agreement;  

AND WHEREAS the Museum no longer intends for a valid trust to be settled in accordance with the Agreement;  

AND WHEREAS a valid trust is only constituted after property is transferred from the settlor to the trustee with the intention of settling a trust for the benefit of the beneficiary or beneficiaries;  

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants set forth in this Acknowledgment and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which is acknowledged by the Parties, the Parties acknowledge and agree to the following:  

1. A valid trust was never constituted pursuant to the terms of the Agreement;  
2. The Museum does not intend to settle a valid trust pursuant to the terms of the Agreement;  
3. The Agreement shall be terminated as of the date hereof; and  
4. This Acknowledgement may be executed by counterparts and by facsimile or electronic (e-mail) transmission, and if so executed, each document shall be deemed to be an original, shall have the same effect as if all parties had executed the same copy of this Acknowledgement in hard copy and all of which copies when taken together shall constitute one and the same document.
DATED at London, Ontario, this _____ day of ________, 2020.

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF LONDON

By: __________________________
Name: Ed Holder
Title: Mayor

By: __________________________
Name: Catharine Saunders
Title: City Clerk
We have authority to bind the municipality.

MUSEUM LONDON

By: __________________________
Name:
Title:

By: __________________________
Name:
Title:
We have authority to bind the corporation.

MUSEUM LONDON FOUNDATION

By: __________________________
Name:
Title:

By: __________________________
Name:
Title:
We have authority to bind the corporation.
WHEREAS section 434.1 of the Municipal Act authorizes the City to require a person, subject to conditions as the municipality considers appropriate, to pay an administrative penalty if the municipality is satisfied that the person has failed to comply with a by-law of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council considers it desirable to enforce and seek compliance with the designated by-laws, or portions of those by-laws, through the Administrative Monetary Penalty System;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council on June 25, 2019 passed By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London;”

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council deems it appropriate to amend By-law No. A-54 with respect to contraventions of designated by-laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. That Schedule “A” of the By-law be replaced with the attached Schedule “A-2”;

2. That the attached Schedule “A-1”; Schedule “A-3”; Schedule “A-4”; Schedule “A-5”; and Schedule “A-6” be added to the By-law;

3. That section 8.1 of the By-law be amended by adding the word “penalties” after every instance that the word “policies” appears;

4. That the definition of "Administrative Penalty" in section 1.1 of the By-law be deleted and replaced with:

   means an administrative penalty established by this By-law or set out in the attached Schedules “A-2”; “A-3”; “A-4”; “A-5”; “A-6” for a contravention of a Designated by-law listed in Schedule “A-1”.

5. That the definition of “Designated By-law” be amended by replacing Schedule “A” with Schedule “A-1”.

6. That Section 2.1 of the By-law be deleted and replaced with the following:

The City by-laws, or portions of City by-laws, listed in the attached Schedule “A-1” of this By-law shall be Designated By-laws for the purposes of sections 102.1 and 151 of the Municipal Act and paragraph 3(1)(b) of the Regulation. The attached Schedules “A-2”; “A-3”; “A-4”; “A-5”; “A-6” set out the Administrative Penalties, and may include short form language to be used on Penalty Notices, for the contraventions of Designated Bylaws.

7. That Section 2.3 of the By-law be deleted and replaced with the following:

The Provincial Offences Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.33, as amended, will continue to apply to contraventions of a Designated By-law, except that no person that is required to pay an administrative penalty under this By-law in respect of a contravention of a Designated By-law shall be charged with an offence in respect of the same contravention under the Provincial Offences Act.

9. That Section 3.1 of the By-law be amended by adding the following clause:

3.1 a) An Officer has the discretion to apply an escalated penalty as prescribed in Schedules A-2”; “A-3”; “A-4”; “A-5”; “A-6” if the same violation is repeated by the same person. If the violation is related to a property, the Officer must ensure the property ownership has not changed before applying the escalated penalty. Escalating penalties for the same violation apply to a property in perpetuity as long as the owner of the property has not changed.

10. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
Schedule “A-1”

Designated By-laws under the Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-Law

The following by-laws are listed as Designated By-laws as defined in the AMPs By-law:

- Traffic and Parking By-law By-law PS-113
- Unauthorized Area Parking By-law S-3
- Idling Control By-law By-law PH-15
- Business Licensing By-law By-law L.-131-16
- Residential Rental Units Licensing By-law By-law CP-19
- Yard and Lot Maintenance By-law By-law PW-9
- Property Standards By-law By-law CP-16
1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Designated Provision</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Park facing wrong way</td>
<td>5(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stop in traffic lane</td>
<td>8(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stop in prohibited area - signed</td>
<td>8(2)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Park on sidewalk</td>
<td>9(1)(a)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Park between sidewalk and roadway</td>
<td>9(1)(b)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Park on boulevard</td>
<td>9(1)(c)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Park in front of driveway access</td>
<td>9(1)(d)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Park in front of lane</td>
<td>9(1)(d)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Park within an intersection</td>
<td>9(1)(e)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Park within 2 metres of fire hydrant</td>
<td>9(1)(f)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Park on crosswalk</td>
<td>9(1)(g)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Park more than .3 metres from curb</td>
<td>5(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Park within 6 metres of crosswalk at intersection</td>
<td>9(1)(h)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Park - obstruct traffic</td>
<td>9(1)(i)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Park - prevent removal of previously parked vehicle</td>
<td>9(1)(j)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Park prohibited - 3:00 am to 5:00 am</td>
<td>9(1)(k)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Park - obstruct ramp</td>
<td>9(1)(l)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Park within 15 metres of signal controlled intersection</td>
<td>9(1)(m)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Park - on roadway longer than 12 hours</td>
<td>9(1)(n)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Park - on shoulder longer than 12 hours</td>
<td>9(1)(n)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Park - in front of entrance to office building</td>
<td>10(1)(a)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Park - in front of entrance to hospital</td>
<td>10(1)(b)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Angle park not within pavement markings</td>
<td>6(1)(a)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Park - within 20m of intersection</td>
<td>10(1)(c)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Park - within 8m of fire hall</td>
<td>10(1)(d)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Park - adjacent to school property</td>
<td>10(1)(e)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Park - adjacent to service station</td>
<td>10(1)(f)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Park - within 30m of intersection controlled by traffic signal</td>
<td>10(1)(g)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Park - within 30 metres of railway crossing</td>
<td>10(1)(h)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Park - within limits of roundabout</td>
<td>10(1)(i)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Park - 20 metres on approach street to roundabout</td>
<td>10(1)(j)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Park - adjacent to inner curb within cul-de-sac</td>
<td>10(1)(j)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Park - signed prohibited area</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Angle park exceed 60 degrees</td>
<td>6(1)(b)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Park - in bus stop</td>
<td>12(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Stop - in bus stop</td>
<td>12(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Park - in paratransit stop</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Stop - in paratransit stop</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Park - in taxi stand</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Park - in a loading zone</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Column 1 Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Column 2 Designated Provision</td>
<td>Column 3 Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Park - where restricted</td>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Park over time limit</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Park vehicle without valid Residential Parking Pass displayed</td>
<td>16.1(1)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Angle park where not permitted</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Angle park with load extending</td>
<td>6(2)(a)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Stop in rush hour route</td>
<td>18(a)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Park motorcycle more than 45 degree angle</td>
<td>19(1)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Park heavy truck on prohibited street</td>
<td>27(2)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Park school bus not in designated School Bus Zone</td>
<td>29(2)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Park school vehicle not in designated School Bus Zone</td>
<td>29(2)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Park outside meter zone</td>
<td>39(1)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Park more than one vehicle in parking space</td>
<td>40(1)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Park in parking meter zone without depositing appropriate parking meter payment</td>
<td>42(1)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Park in parking meter zone exceeding maximum period allowable</td>
<td>42(1.1)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Park exceeding maximum period allowable</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Angle park vehicle attached to trailer</td>
<td>6(2)(b)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Park in space adjacent to meter indicating no unexpired time</td>
<td>47(a)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Park without display of paper from pay and display parking meter</td>
<td>47(b)(i)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Park beyond time and date on paper from pay and display parking meter</td>
<td>47(b)(ii)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Park outside designated space - metered lot</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Park vehicle in reserved parking space</td>
<td>56(4)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Park vehicle exceeding 6.1 metres in length</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Park outside designated space - unmetered lot</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle over time limit - unmetered lot</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Park during prohibited hours - unmetered lot</td>
<td>62(2)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Park vehicle exceeding 6.1 metres in length - unmetered lot</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Angle park obstructing traffic</td>
<td>6(2)(c)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Park in fire route</td>
<td>71(1)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Park in space designated for disabled person on street</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Park in space designated for disabled person off-street</td>
<td>77(1)</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Park unlicensed vehicle on highway</td>
<td>78(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Park unlicensed vehicle on parking space</td>
<td>78(1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Park vehicle in privately-owned parking lot exceeding maximum period allowable</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Park vehicle in privately-owned parking facility exceeding maximum period allowable</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Park vehicle in privately-owned parking lot without authorization</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Park vehicle in privately-owned parking facility without authorization</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Park vehicle on privately-owned land not used as parking lot or parking facility without authorization</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Park facing wrong way on one way street</td>
<td>7(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Park vehicle on Corporation-owned or occupied land without authorization</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Idle Motor Vehicle for more than 2 consecutive minutes</td>
<td>By-law PH-15, 3.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Designated Provision</td>
<td>Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Idle Transit Vehicle for more than 5 consecutive minutes</td>
<td>By-law PH-15, 3.3</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Park Motor Vehicle on Parking Space that does not comply with Parking Space</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Stand Motor Vehicle on Parking Space that does not comply with Parking Space</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Stop Motor Vehicle on Parking Space that does not comply with Parking Space</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Park in Unauthorized Area</td>
<td>By-law S-3, 2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Permit the parking in Unauthorized Area</td>
<td>By-law S-3, 2.2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in park in place other than authorized parking area</td>
<td>3.1(7)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in recreation area in place other than authorized parking area</td>
<td>3.1(7)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Park more than .3 metres from edge of roadway</td>
<td>7(2)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in park between 10 pm and 6 am</td>
<td>3.1(8)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in recreation area between 10 pm and 6 am</td>
<td>3.1(8)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Park trailer for overnight accommodation</td>
<td>4.1(3)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Park motor vehicle in parking area between 10 pm and 6 am</td>
<td>5.2(2)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Park trailer in natural park area</td>
<td>5.4(5)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Park trailer in ESA area</td>
<td>5.4(5)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Park - within reserved lane for bicycles</td>
<td>10(1)(k)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Park in parking space beyond time paid for</td>
<td>47(1)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Parking in access aisle to disabled parking-&quot;no stopping&quot; signs displayed</td>
<td>77(2)</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Park vehicle in electric vehicle parking space - not an</td>
<td>10.1(a)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>electric vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Park a vehicle on a municipal parking lot without displaying the parking permit</td>
<td>56(3)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>issued for that lot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Item #</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Operate Rental Unit without current valid licence</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hold out to be licensed if not licensed</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Contravene (term / condition) of licence</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fail to comply with (term / condition) of licence</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Operate Rental Unit while licence under suspension</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
**Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-Law**

**Penalty Schedule for Yard and Lot Maintenance By-law**

1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Item #</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amounts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fail to clear land of refuse</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fail to enclose excavation with temporary barrier (122cm / 48 inches) high</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fail to drain accumulation of water over (30cm / 12 inches) deep</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Deposit refuse on private property</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Deposit refuse on municipal property</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fail to keep water in swimming pool in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fail to maintain water in swimming pool in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fail to clear buffer strip</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hinder Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Obstruct Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Attempt to hinder Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Attempt to obstruct Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Contravene (Work Order / Order to Discontinue Activity)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fail to contain refuse in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Fail to locate refuse containers in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Fail to use (bins / bulk storage units) to contain refuse in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Fail to remove graffiti from (buildings / structures / erections / objects) in accordance with by-law</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
Schedule “A-5”
Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-Law
Penalty Schedule for Business Licensing By-law

1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hold out to be licensed if not licensed</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Operate business while licence under suspension</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Operate business at location other than for which licence issued</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Operate business under name other than name endorsed on licence</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Licence holder – fail to display licence in conspicuous place on premise</td>
<td>3.5(a)</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Licence holder – fail to display licence in conspicuous place on vehicle</td>
<td>3.5(b)</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Licence holder – fail to maintain licence on their person</td>
<td>3.5(c)</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fail to keep required records</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hinder any person exercising power or duty under by-law</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Attempt to hinder any person exercising power or duty under by-law</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Obstruct any person exercising power or duty under by-law</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Attempt to obstruct any person exercising power or duty under by-law</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Own Body-Rub Parlour without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Operate Body-Rub Parlour without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Owner – permit person other than licensed Operator to operate Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.2</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Operate Body-Rub Parlour without Owner holding Body-Rub Parlour licence</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.3</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to enter Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to remain in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to enter Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to remain in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to Provide Body-Rub in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to offer to Provide Body-Rub in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to Provide Body-Rub in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to offer to Provide Body-Rub in Body-Rub Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Owner – Body Rub Parlour – fail to ensure Operator attends at request of Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1 (a)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Owner – fail to post sign at Body-Rub Parlour entrance – no entry to under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1 (b)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to comply with prescribed operational standards</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to comply with prescribed hours of operation</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1 (d)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to comply with prescribed signage and advertising standards</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1 (e)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to maintain prescribed record of Attendants</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.1 (f)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Column 3</th>
<th>Column 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Provision Creating or Defining Offence</td>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to ensure no services visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (a)</td>
<td>2, 8.2 (a)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Operator – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to ensure no services visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (b)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Owner – fail to ensure Body-Rub Parlour not more than 225m² in size</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Operator – fail to ensure Body-Rub Parlour not more than 225m² in size</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Owner – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to ensure no changes to premises as shown in floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Operator – Body-Rub Parlour – fail to ensure no changes to premises as shown in floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 2, 8.2 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Own Adult Live Entertainment Parlour without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Operate Adult Live Entertainment Parlour without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.1</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Owner – permit person other than licensed Operator to operate Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.2</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Operate Adult Live Entertainment Parlour without Owner holding Adult Live Entertainment Parlour Owner licence</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.3</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to enter Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to remain in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to enter Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to remain in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.4</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to provide services in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Owner – permit person under 18 to act as Attendant in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to provide services in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Operator – permit person under 18 to act as Attendant in Adult Live Entertainment Parlour</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.5</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – permit Attendant to have physical contact with person</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.6</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – permit Attendant to have physical contact with person</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.7</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Attendant – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – have physical contact with person</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 7.7</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure Operator attends on premises at request of Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.1 (a)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Owner – fail to post sign at Adult Live Entertainment Parlour entrance – no entry to under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.1 (b)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to comply with prescribed signage and advertising standards</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.1 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to maintain prescribed record of Attendants</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.1 (d)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Owner – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no services visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.2 (a)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no service visible from outside</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.2 (b)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Owner – fail to ensure Attendant services are within view of Entertainment Area</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.2 (b)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Operator – fail to ensure Attendant services are within view of Entertainment Area</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.2 (b)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Owner – fail to ensure Adult Live Entertainment Parlour operated in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.2 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Operator – fail to ensure Adult Live Entertainment Parlour operated in accordance with floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.2 (c)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Owner - Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no changes to floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.2 (d)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Operator – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – fail to ensure no changes to floor plan</td>
<td>Schedule 3, 8.2 (d)</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Column 1: Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Column 2: Provision Creating or Defining Offence</td>
<td>Column 3: Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Operate Automotive Service Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 4, 2.1</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Operate Commercial Parking Facility without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 5, 3.1</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Commercial Parking Facility licence holder – fail to post prescribed signage</td>
<td>Schedule 5, 4.1</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Commercial Parking Facility licence holder – fail to maintain Facility in accordance with prescribed standards</td>
<td>Schedule 5, 4.2</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Operate Contractor Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 6, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Operate Donation Bin Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – place Bin in low density residential or industrial zone</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – place Bin without authorization from property owner</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.1 (b)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – place Bin other than as shown in plan</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.1 (c)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – fail to comply with prescribed operational standards</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.2 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Donation Bin Business licence holder – fail to comply with prescribed advertising and signage requirements</td>
<td>Schedule 7, 5.2 (b)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Carry on business through Door to Door Sales without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 8, 5.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Door to Door Sales Licence holder – fail to maintain prescribed registry of persons conducting sales</td>
<td>Schedule 8, 6.1 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Door to Door Sales Licence holder – fail to produce registry upon request</td>
<td>Schedule 8, 6.1 (b)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Door to Door Sales Licence holder – fail to produce Police Record Check for person conducting sales</td>
<td>Schedule 8, 6.1 (d)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Operate Electronic Cigarette Retail Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 9, 3.1</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Operate Tobacco Retail Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 9, 3.2</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Operate Food Premise without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 10, 2.1</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Operate Lodging House without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 11, 3.1</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Lodging House licence holder – fail to prominently display contact information sign</td>
<td>Schedule 11, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Lodging House licence holder – fail to display contact information sign as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 11, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Operate Payday Loan Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 3.1</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Payday Loan Business licence holder – fail to prominently display interest rates sign</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 4.1 (a)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Payday Loan Business licence holder – fail to display interest rates sign as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 4.1 (a)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Payday Loan Business licence holder – fail to display interest rates sign in required locations</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 4.1 (a)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Payday Loan Business licence holder – fail to ensure person given prescribed money management support information</td>
<td>Schedule 12, 4.1 (b)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Operate Personal Services Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 13, 3.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Operate Pet Shop without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 3.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – dog or cat obtained from unauthorized source</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – fail to post prescribed list of animals in conspicuous place</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.2</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – keep animal not prescribed by Licence Manager</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.3</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – fail to maintain record of animals</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.4</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – fail to maintain prescribed record of animals</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.4</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – give animal to person under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.5</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Pet Shop licence holder – fail to provide prescribed information about animal to purchaser</td>
<td>Schedule 14, 4.6</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Column 1 Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Column 2 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</td>
<td>Column 3 Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Operate Public Hall without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 15, 3.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Operate Refreshment Vehicle without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Operate Class 2 Refreshment Vehicle at location not prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Operate Class 3 Refreshment Vehicle at location not prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (b)</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Operate Class 3 Refreshment Vehicle within 100m of Special Event</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (c)</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Operate Class 3 Refreshment Vehicle between 7am and 5pm within 100m of school</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (d)</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Operate Class 3 Refreshment Vehicle between 3am and 7am</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.2 (e)</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Class 2 Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – operate at location not allocated by Licence Manager</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 6.3</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – fail to comply with all prescribed operational standards</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 7.1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – fail to operate Refreshment Vehicle in compliance with Traffic and Parking By-law</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 7.2</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – fail to ensure Refreshment Vehicle Plate affixed as required</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 7.3 (a)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Refreshment Vehicle licence holder – fail to ensure Refreshment Vehicle Plate plainly visible</td>
<td>Schedule 16, 7.3 (b)</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Operate Seasonal Sales Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 17, 5.1</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Operate Salvage Yard without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.1</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Operate Second-hand Goods Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.2</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – Acquire goods – serial number altered</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – Acquire goods – serial number altered</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – Acquire goods – from person who appears to be under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – Acquire goods – from person who appears to be under 18</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – Acquire goods – from person who appears to be under influence of drugs or alcohol</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – Acquire goods – from person who appears to be under influence of drugs or alcohol</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – Acquire goods – from person without first verifying identity</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (d)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – Acquire goods – from person without first verifying identity</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.3 (d)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to keep goods within Holding Area for at least 5 days</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.4</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – fail to keep goods within Holding Area for at least 5 days</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.4</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – place goods in place other than licensed premises</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.5</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – place goods in place other than licensed premises</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 6.5</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to maintain register as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business – fail to maintain register as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to open register to inspection</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business – fail to open register to inspection</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to make prescribed report to Police of prescribed good</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Second-hand Goods Business licence holder – fail to make prescribed report to Police of prescribed good</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.1 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Short Form Wording</td>
<td>Provision Creating or Defining Offence</td>
<td>Administrative Penalty Amount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to erect fence on all boundaries of premises</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.3</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Salvage Yard licence holder – fail to maintain fence on all boundaries of premises</td>
<td>Schedule 18, 7.3</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Operate Towing Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 5.1</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Operate Motor Vehicle Storage Business without current valid licence</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 5.2</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – fail to provide Police with prescribed information prior to towing</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.1</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – fail to maintain log book as prescribed</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.2</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – tow vehicle from Parking lot not posted with prescribed signs</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.3 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – charge amount for towing vehicle from Parking Lot other than as set out in by-law</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.3 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Towing Business licence holder – charge amount for service not in by-law – towing vehicle from Parking Lot</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.3 (d)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Storage Business licence holder – charge amount for storage of vehicle other than as set out in by-law</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.4 (a)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Storage Business licence holder – charge amount for waiting – storage of vehicle</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.4 (b)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Storage Business licence holder – charge amount for service not in by-law – care or storage of vehicle</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.4 (c)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Storage Business licence holder – close premises during time required to remain open</td>
<td>Schedule 19, 6.4 (d)</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
1. For the purposes of Section 2 of this By-law, Column 3 in the following table lists the provisions in the Designated By-law identified in the Schedule, as amended.

2. Column 2 in the following table set out the short form wording to be used in a Penalty Notice for the contravention of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

3. Column 4 in the following table set out the Administrative Penalty amount that is payable for contraventions of the designated provisions listed in Column 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Item #</th>
<th>Column 2 Short Form Wording</th>
<th>Column 3 Provision Creating or Defining Offence</th>
<th>Column 4 Administrative Penalty Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Failure to comply with Property Standards Order</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the discretion of the Officer, fines may be doubled for any and all subsequent repeat offences.
Bill No. 302
2020

By-law No. CP-19-20

A by-law to amend By-law No. CP-19 referred to as Residential Rental Units Licensing By-law.

WHEREAS section 434.1 of the Municipal Act authorizes the City to require a person, subject to conditions as the municipality considers appropriate, to pay an administrative penalty if the municipality is satisfied that the person has failed to comply with a by-law of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council considers it desirable to enforce and seek compliance with the designated by-laws, or portions of those by-laws, through the Administrative Monetary Penalty System.

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council on passed By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London”;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council deems it appropriate to amend By-law No. CP-19 with respect to contraventions of designated by-laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. That Part 10 of the By-law be amended by adding the following new clause:

   10.6 “Each person who contravenes any provision of this By-law shall, upon issuance of a penalty notice in accordance with the Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-law A-54, be liable to pay the City an Administrative Monetary Penalty.”

2. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


   Ed Holder
   Mayor

   Catharine Saunders
   City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
Bill No. 303
2020

By-law No. CPOL.-142(-394)-

A by-law to amend By-law No. CPOL.-142-394, as amended, as it relates to the Council Policy entitled “Special Events Policies and Procedures Manual” to restrict the issuance of parade permits between November 1 and November 11 annually.

WHEREAS section 5(3) of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, C.25, as amended, provides that a municipal power shall be exercised by by-law;

AND WHEREAS section 9 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, C.25, as amended, provides a municipality with the capacity, rights, powers and privileges of a natural person for the purpose of exercising its authority;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London wishes to amend By-law No. CPOL.-142-394, as amended, the Council Policy entitled “Special Events Policies and Procedures Manual” to restrict the issuance of parade permits between November 1 and November 11 annually.

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. By-law No. CPOL.-142-394, as amended, as it relates to the Council Policy entitled “Special Events Policies and Procedures Manual”, is hereby amended by deleting 4.13, and replacing it with the following new part 4.13 to part 4 “General Policies:

4.13:

a) Groups who intend to gather at the Cenotaph for a purpose and decorum consistent with the symbolism of the Cenotaph, and Council Policy, must notify the City of London, City Clerk’s Office, by letter, no later than two weeks in advance of the Special Event.

b) From November 1 to November 11, the issuance of special events permits related to parades on public streets, or city owned property will be restricted to those activities which are directly related to the honouring of Canada’s veterans and organized in partnership with veteran’s organizations.

2. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the date it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
WHEREAS section 434.1 of the Municipal Act authorizes the City to require a person, subject to conditions as the municipality considers appropriate, to pay an administrative penalty if the municipality is satisfied that the person has failed to comply with a by-law of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council considers it desirable to enforce and seek compliance with the designated by-laws, or portions of those by-laws, through the Administrative Monetary Penalty System.

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council on passed By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London”;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council deems it appropriate to amend By-law No. L.131-16 with respect to contraventions of designated by-laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. That Part 12 of the By-law be amended by adding the following new section:

12.7 “Each person who contravenes any provision of this By-law shall, upon issuance of a penalty notice in accordance with the Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-law A-54, be liable to pay the City an Administrative Monetary Penalty.”

2. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk
Bill No. 305
2020

By-law No. PW-9-20______

A by-law to amend By-law No. PW-9 referred to as the Yard and Lot Maintenance By-law titled “A By-law to provide for the filling up, draining, cleaning and clearing of land, and clearing of refuse from land.”

WHEREAS section 434.1 of the Municipal Act authorizes the City to require a person, subject to conditions as the municipality considers appropriate, to pay an administrative penalty if the municipality is satisfied that the person has failed to comply with a by-law of the municipality;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council considers it desirable to enforce and seek compliance with the designated by-laws, or portions of those by-laws, through the Administrative Monetary Penalty System.

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council on passed By-law No. A-54, being “A by-law to implement an Administrative Monetary Penalty System in London”;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council deems it appropriate to amend By-law No. PW-9 with respect to contraventions of designated by-laws;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. That Part 5 of the By-law be amended by adding the following new section:

   5.14 “Each person who contravenes any provision of this By-law shall, upon issuance of a penalty notice in accordance with the Administrative Monetary Penalty System By-law A-54, be liable to pay the City an Administrative Monetary Penalty.”

2. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.


   Ed Holder
   Mayor

   Catharine Saunders
   City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
Bill No. 306  
2020  

By-law No. S.-____-____  

A by-law to lay out, constitute, establish and assume certain reserves in the City of London as public highway. (as widening to Dundas Street, west of English Street)  

WHEREAS it is expedient to establish the lands hereinafter described as public highway;  

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:  

1. The lands and premises hereinafter described are laid out, constituted, established and assumed as public highway as widening to Dundas Street, west of English Street, namely:  

“Parts of Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block ‘L’ on Registered Plan 304(3), in the City of London and County of Middlesex, designated as Parts 1 through 9, both inclusive, on Reference Plan 33R-20735.”  

2. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.  


Ed Holder  
Mayor  

Catharine Saunders  
City Clerk  

First Reading – October 13, 2020  
Second Reading – October 13, 2020  
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
Bill No. 307
2020

By-law No. S.-____-____

A by-law to assume certain works and services in the City of London. (Talbot Village Subdivision Phase 4, Plan 33M-684)

WHEREAS the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer of The Corporation of the City of London has reported that works and services have been constructed to her satisfaction in Talbot Subdivision Phase 4, Plan 33M-684;

AND WHEREAS it is deemed expedient to assume the said works and services;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. The Corporation of the City of London assumes the following works and services, namely:

   Talbot Subdivision Phase 4, Plan 33M-684
   Speyside East Corporation c/o Southside Group
   Raleigh Crescent– All

2. The warranty period for the works and services in the subdivision referred to in Section 1 of this by-law is for the period of September 17, 2020 to September 17, 2021.

3. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020
WHEREAS the Treasurer has calculated an updated limit for The Corporation of the City of London using its most recent debt and financial obligation limit determined by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs in accordance with the provisions of Ontario Regulation 403/02, and has calculated the estimated annual amount payable by The Corporation of the City of London in respect of the project described in this by-law and has determined that such estimated annual amount payable does not exceed the Limit;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. The “Windermere Road -Western Road to Richmond Street Improvements (Project No. TS1359)” is hereby authorized.

2. The net cost of this project shall be met by the issue of debentures in an amount not to exceed $46,754.00.

3. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Ed Holder
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – October 13, 2020
Second Reading – October 13, 2020
Third Reading – October 13, 2020