Council Agenda
Including Addeds

10th Meeting of City Council
May 8, 2018, 4:00 PM
Council Chambers

The Council will break for dinner from approximately 6:30 – 7:00 PM, as required.

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

2. Recognitions

3. Review of Confidential Matters to be Considered in Public

4. Council, In Closed Session

Motion for Council, In Closed Session (Council will remain In Closed Session until approximately 5:15 PM, at which time Council will rise and reconvene in Public Session; Council may resume In Closed Session later in the meeting, if required.)

4.1 Land Acquisition/Solicitor-Client Privileged Advice

A matter pertaining to instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation pertaining to a proposed acquisition of land; advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; reports or advice or recommendations of officers and employees of the Corporation pertaining to a proposed acquisition of land; commercial and financial information supplied in confidence pertaining to the proposed acquisition the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice significantly the competitive position or interfere significantly with the contractual or other negotiations of the Corporation, result in similar information no longer being supplied to the Corporation where it is in the public interest that similar information continue to be so supplied, and result in undue loss or gain to any person, group, committee or financial institution or agency; commercial, information relating to the proposed acquisition that belongs to the Corporation that has monetary value or potential monetary value; information concerning the proposed acquisition whose disclosure could reasonably be expected to prejudice the economic interests of the Corporation or its competitive position; information concerning the proposed acquisition whose disclosure could reasonably be expected to be injurious to the financial interests of the Corporation; and instructions to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the Corporation concerning the proposed acquisition. (3.1/10/CSC)

5. Confirmation and Signing of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting(s)

5.1 9th Meeting held on April 24, 2018

6. Communications and Petitions

6.1 (ADDED) L. Howard, 444 York Street and C. Bradbury, 330 Burwell Street - Supervised Consumption Facility Location
7. **Motions of Which Notice is Given**

8. **Reports**

8.1 8th Report of the Planning and the Environment Committee

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

2. (2.1) 5th Report of the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee

3. (2.2) Application - 467-469 Dufferin Avenue (OZ-8804)

4. (2.3) Application - 661 to 667 Talbot Street (Z-8659)

5. (2.4) Application - Riverbend Golf Community Phase 9 (Block 1 Plan 33M-721) (P-8762) (Relates to Bill No. 193)

6. (2.5) Application - 660 Sunningdale Road East - Applewood Subdivision Phase 1 - Special Provisions (39T-09501)

7. (2.6) Application - 3804 South Winds Drive - Deer Creek Subdivision - Special Provisions (39T-09503)

8. (2.7) 560 and 562 Wellington Street - Status Update and Request to Undertake Further Study (OZ-8462)

9. (3.1) Application - Archaeological Management Plan (OZ-8771) (Relates to Bill No.s 194 and 199)

10. (3.2) Application - 200 Villagewalk Boulevard (Z-8867) (Relates to Bill No. 201)

11. (3.3) Official Plan, The London Plan and Downtown Plan Criteria for Downtown Temporary Surface for Commercial Parking Lots (0-8876) (Relates to Bill No.s 195 and 196)

12. (3.4) Old East Village Dundas Street Corridor Secondary Plan - Draft Terms of Reference (O-8879)

13. (3.5) Application - 100 Kellogg Lane (Z-8893) (Relates to Bill No. 200)

14. (3.6) Supervised Consumption Facility Location

8.2 8th Report of the Community and Protective Services Committee

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

2. (2.2) London's Homeless Prevention System - Homelessness Partnering Strategy Funding Agreement Amendment #4 (Relates to Bill No. 192)

3. (2.4) 5th Report of the Diversity, Inclusion and Anti-Oppression Advisory Committee

4. (2.1) Short Term Accommodations
5. (2.3) 4th Report of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee
6. (3.1) A Day in a Chair
7. (3.2) By-law L.-130-71
8. (3.3) Adult Live Entertainment Parlour - Location Substitution Request
9. (4.1) 2nd Report of the Childcare Advisory Committee
10. (4.2) Vehicle for Hire By-law - One Year Review
11. (4.3) Opioid Crisis Working Group - Update - C. Mackie
12. (5.1) Deferred Matters List
13. (5.2) Naloxone Kits

8.3 10th Report of the Corporate Services Committee

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest
2. (2.1) Use of City Facilities for Activities of Organizations Which Promote Hatred
3. (2.3) Declare Surplus and Sale - 126 Hamilton Road
4. (2.4) Request for Council Reconsideration of Sources of Financing - Bus Rapid Transit Property Acquisitions and Leasehold Improvements
5. (2.2) Employee Absenteeism 2017
6. (4.1) Request for Designation of the 1st Annual Mommy and Me Fashion Show as a Municipally Significant Event
7. (4.2) Confirmation of Appointment to the Advisory Committee on the Environment
8. (5.1) Request for Designation of the 1st Annual Poutine Festival

9. Added Reports

9.1 7th Report of Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee
(Note: A copy of the 7th Report of Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee will be provided at the meeting)

9.2 10th Report of the Council In Closed Session

10. Deferred Matters

11. Enquiries

12. Emergent Motions

13. By-laws

By-laws to be read a first, second and third time:
13.1 Bill No. 191 By-law No. A.-_____
A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council Meeting held on the 8th day of May, 2018. (City Clerk)

13.2 Bill No. 192 By-law No. A.-_____
A by-law to approve the Funding Agreement with Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, as represented by the Federal Minister of Employment and Social Development Canada under the Homelessness Partnering Strategy; and, to authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute this Agreement. (2.2/8/CPSC)

13.3 Bill No. 193 By-law No. C.P.-1525-_____
A by-law to exempt from Part Lot Control lands located on the east side of Kains Road, north of Shore Road; being composed of all of Block 1 Plan 33M-721, more accurately described as Parts 1-54 inclusive on Reference Plan 33R- 20077 in the City of London and County of Middlesex. (2.4/8/PEC).

13.4 Bill No. 194 By-law No. C.P.-1284-(._)-____

13.5 Bill No. 195 By-law No. C.P.-1284-(._)-____
A by-law to amend the “Our Move Forward- London’s Downtown Plan” for the City of London, relating to Temporary Downtown Commercial Parking Lots. (3.3/8/PEC)

13.6 Bill No. 196 By-law No. C.P.-1284-(._)-____
A by-law to amend the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 relating to Temporary Downtown Commercial Parking Lots. (3.3/8/PEC)

13.7 Bill No. 197 By-law No. S-5931-____
A by-law to lay out, constitute, establish and assume lands in the City of London as public highway. (as widening to Base Line Road East, west of Wellington Road) (Chief Surveyor)

13.8 Bill No. 198 By-law No. S-5932-____
A by-law to lay out, constitute, establish and assume certain reserves in the City of London as public highway. (as part of Savoy Street) (Chief Surveyor)

13.9 Bill No. 199 By-law No. Z.-1-18-_____
A by-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to delete and replace an existing Holding Provision in Section 3.8 (Holding Zones). (3.1/8/PEC).

13.10 Bill No. 200 By-law No. Z.-1-18-_____
A by-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone an area of land located at 100 Kellogg Lane (south portion). (3.5/8/PEC)

13.11 Bill No. 201 By-law No. Z.-1-18-_____

4
A by-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone an area of land located at 200 Villagewalk Boulevard. (3.2/8/PEC)

13.12  Bill No. 202 By-law No. W.-______  160

A by-law to authorize the Storm Water Management Servicing-Oxford Business Park (Project ID2095OXF). (2.3/7/PEC)

14.  Adjournment
Council
Minutes

9th Meeting of City Council
April 24, 2018, 4:00 PM

Present: Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, J. Zaffman

Absent: M. Salih


The meeting was called to order at 4:04 PM.

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Councillor S. Turner discloses a pecuniary interest in clause 4.2 of the 7th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee, having to do with the request for delegation status by Dr. C. Mackie, Medical Officer of Health and Chief Executive Officer, Middlesex-London Health Unit, with respect to the proposed supervised consumption facilities, by indicating that the Middlesex-London Health Unit is his employer.

Councillor S. Turner further discloses a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of the 6th Report of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)iii) regarding the South Leg of the project in the area of Wellington Street, between Horton and the Thames River, and Wellington Road between the Thames River to Bond Street, by indicating that his family owns adjoining property.

Councillor T. Park discloses a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of the 6th Report of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)iii) regarding the South Leg of the project in the area of Wellington Street, between Horton and the Thames River, and Wellington Road between the Thames River to Bond Street, by indicating that her family owns adjoining property.

Councillor J. Morgan discloses a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of the 6th Report of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)i) regarding the North leg of the project, as well as those portions of parts b), c), d), g) and h) pertaining to that portion of the project, by indicating that the infrastructure of this leg of the project passes through the property of his employer, Western University.

Councillor P. Hubert discloses a pecuniary interest in clause 2.3 of the 9th Report of the Corporate Services Committee, having to do with the 2017 Compliance Report in accordance with the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy, by indicating that he is the Executive Director of Pathways Skill Development, which is named in the Report.
2. **Recognitions**

2.1 His Worship the Mayor will recognize the City of London as the recipient of the Ontario Age-Friendly Community Recognition Award

The Corporation of the City of London is recognized as the recipient of the Ontario Age-Friendly Community Recognition Award.

3. **Review of Confidential Matters to be Considered in Public**

None.

4. **Council, In Closed Session**

Motion made by: M. Cassidy
Seconded by: V. Ridley

That the following Council, In Closed Session reason, related to clause 3.2 of the 7th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee (Item 7 of Stage 8.2 of the Council Agenda), having to do with the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South) – Conservation Master Plan BE APPROVED:

A matter pertaining to advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; litigation or potential litigation with respect to the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South) – Conservation Master Plan as it relates to the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA); and for the purpose of providing instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation concerning this matter.

Yeas: (10): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, V. Ridley, S. Turner, and J. Zaifman

Nays: (4): P. Squire, A. Hopkins, H. Usher, and T. Park

Absent (1): M. Salih

**Motion Passed (10 to 4)**

Motion made by: H. Usher
Seconded by: M. van Holst

That Council rise and go into Council, In Closed Session, for the purpose of considering the following matters in addition to the Added reason approved above:

4.1 A matter pertaining to advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; the subject matter pertains to litigation or potential litigation with respect to an appeal at the Conservation Review Board, and for the purpose of providing instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation. (6.1/7/PEC)

4.2 A matter pertaining to advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; the subject matter pertains to litigation or potential litigation with respect to an appeal at the Ontario Municipal Board, and for the purpose of providing instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation. (6.2/7/PEC)

4.3 A matter pertaining to instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation pertaining to a proposed disposition of land; advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; reports or advice or recommendations of officers and employees of the Corporation pertaining to a proposed disposition of land; commercial and financial information supplied in confidence pertaining to the proposed acquisition the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice significantly
the competitive position or interfere significantly with the contractual or other negotiations of the Corporation, result in similar information no longer being supplied to the Corporation where it is in the public interest that similar information continue to be so supplied, and result in undue loss or gain to any person, group, committee or financial institution or agency; commercial, information relating to the proposed acquisition that belongs to the Corporation that has monetary value or potential monetary value; information concerning the proposed acquisition whose disclosure could reasonably be expected to prejudice the economic interests of the Corporation or its competitive position; information concerning the proposed acquisition whose disclosure could reasonably be expected to be injurious to the financial interests of the Corporation; and instructions to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the Corporation concerning the proposed acquisition. (6.1/9/CSC)

ADDED - A matter pertaining to advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; litigation or potential litigation with respect to the Medway Value Heritage Forest Environmental Significant Area (South) – Conservation Master Plan as it relates to the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA); and for the purpose of providing instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation concerning this matter.

Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

The Council rises and goes into the Council, In Closed Session, at 4:25 PM, with Mayor M. Brown in the Chair and all Members present except Councillor M. Salih.

A.L. Barbon and B. Warner leave the meeting at 4:30 PM.

J.M. Fleming enters the meeting at 5:03 PM

The Council, In Closed Session, rises at 5:18 PM and Council reconvenes at 5:23 PM, with Mayor M. Brown in the Chair and all Members present except Councillor M. Salih.

5. Confirmation and Signing of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting(s)

5.1 8th Meeting held on April 10, 2018

Motion made by: J. Zaifman
Seconded by: B. Armstrong

That the Minutes of the 8th Meeting held on April 10, 2018 BE APPROVED.

Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)
6. Communications and Petitions

Motion made by: T. Park
Seconded by: H. Usher

That the following actions be taken:

a) the communications listed on the Public Added Agenda BE RECEIVED and BE REFERRED as noted on the Public Added Agenda; and

b) the attached communication from J. Preston BE RECEIVED and BE REFERRED to the Planning and Environment Committee Stage for consideration with clause 3.2 of the 7th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee.


Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

7. Motions of Which Notice is Given

None.

Motion made by: M. Cassidy
Seconded by: M. van Holst

That pursuant to section 6.4 of the Council Procedure By-law, the order of business be changed to permit consideration clause 3.2 of the 7th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee (Item No. 6 of Stage 8.2 of the Council Agenda), having to do with the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South) – Conservation Master Plan at this time.


Nays: (1): J. Morgan

Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (13 to 1)

8. Reports

8.2 7th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee

7. (3.2) Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South) - Conservation Master Plan

The Managing Director, Planning and City Planner provided the attached presentation.

Motion made by: M. Cassidy
Seconded by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, the following actions be taken with respect to the Conservation Master Plan for the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South):
a) the Conservation Master Plan for the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South) attached as Appendix ‘A’, **BE APPROVED** in accordance with Section 15.3.8. of the Official Plan and policies 1421 and 1422 of the London Plan;

b) the Staff **BE DIRECTED** to work with our community partners in the implementation of the Conservation Master Plan with regards to external funding opportunities;

c) the members of ACCAC, EEPAC and the Local Advisory Committee and the community **BE THANKED** for their work in the review and comments on the document;

d) the Civic Administration **BE DIRECTED** to report back on the following matters with respect to the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (south) Conservation Master Plan:

i) ways to improve the public consultation process for any Environmentally Significant Areas and Conservation Master Plans; and,

ii) amending the Trails Systems Guidelines to incorporate consultation with neighbouring First Nations, Governments and Organizations at the beginning of the process;

it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received the following communications with respect to this matter:

· a Municipal Council resolution adopted at its meeting held on January 16, 2018;

· the Environmental and Ecological Advisory Committee’s revised statement and recommendations;

· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from S. Dagnone, 675 Eagletrace Drive;

· a communication from S. and S. Pacifico, 1607 Gloucester Road;

· a communication from S. Levin, 59 Longbow Road;

· a communication dated April 9, 2018 from A. Cojocaru, 2345 Humberside Common;

· a communication from L. Kari, 56 Doncaster Place;

· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from L. Robinson, 2120 Valleyrun Boulevard;

· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from C. Robinson, 2120 Valleyrun Boulevard;

· a communication dated April 8, 2018 from D. Wake, 597 Kildare Road;

· a communication dated April 6, 2018 from D. Lucas, Vice Principal, Finance and Administration, Huron University College;

· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from M. Trotter, 2408 Meadowlands Way;

· a communication dated April 8, 2018 from K. and L. Zerebecki, 205-240 Village Walk Boulevard;

· a communication from R. Croft, by e-mail;
- a communication from R. Agathos, by e-mail;
- a communication from P. Agathos, 2112 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication from C. Parvulescu, 397 Castlegrove Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from C. Sheculksi, Vice-President, Sunningdale West Residents Association;
- a communication from B. Morgan, 50 Doncaster Place;
- a communication from L. Symmes, 797 Highton Road;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from R. and A. Menon, 2131 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from T. Thrasher, 2048 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from J. Peters, 2048 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 5, 2018 from E. Westeinde, 3645 Boswick Road North;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from D.R. Donnelly, Donnelly Law;
- a communication dated April 3, 2018 from G. Miller, Miller Environmental Services Inc.;
- a communication from W. and F. Fretz, 1984 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication from B. Adair, 675 Eagletrace Drive;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from L. Carriere, 73-825 Dundalk Drive;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from J. Robinson, 2156 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication from S. Russell, by e-mail;
- a communication from Dr. A. Guy Plint, Professor of Geology, Western University;
- a communication dated March, 2018 from C. Dyck, by e-mail;
- a communication from M. Does, 161 Bruce Street;
- a communication dated April 5, 2018 from Susan Hall, by e-mail;
- a communication from G. Neish, 1706 Ironwood Road;
- a communication dated April 4, 2018 from R. Duench, 121, Wychwood Park;
- a communication from W. Van Hemessen, Terrestrial Ecologist, Parsons Inc.;
- a communication dated April 5, 2018 from A. Caveney, 46 Kingspark Crescent;
- a communication from J. Bruce Morton, 11 Doncaster Avenue;
- a communication dated March 4, 2018 from G. Wood, by e-mail;
- a communication dated February 5, 2018 from C. Blake, 18 Braemar Crescent;
- a communication dated March 28, 2018 from J. Davies, 60 Longbow Road;
- a communication dated April 4, 2018 from G. McGinn-McTeer, Stoneybrook Heights-Uplands Residents Association;
- a communication dated March 29, 2018 from P. Pendl and A. Vanstone, 74 Green Acres Drive;
- a communication dated February 12, 2018 from J. Nesbitt, by e-mail;
- a communication from C. Boles, 455 Piccadilly Street;
- a communication dated January 30, 2018 from D. Bickford, 64 Doncaster Place;
- a communication dated January 24, 2018 from S. Levin, President, Orchard Park Sherwood Forest Ratepayers;
- a communication from J. Farquar, 383 St. George Street;
- a communication dated March 29, 2018 from G. and S. Sinker, 1597 Gloucester Road;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from P. Hayman, 77 Doncaster Avenue;
- a communication dated February 7, 2018 from D. Potten, 110 West Rivertrace Walk;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from D. Schmidt, Development Manager, Corlon Properties;
- a communication from I. Connidis, 38 Doncaster Avenue;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from S. Handler, 54 Doncaster Place; and,
- a communication dated April 4, 2018 from Professor J. Blocker, et. al;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters.

Yeas: (4): Mayor M. Brown, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman
Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Failed (4 to 10)

Motion made by: H. Usher
Seconded by: J. Zaifman
That pursuant to section 9.6 of the Council Procedure By-law, Councillor M. Cassidy be permitted to speak longer than 5 minutes with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed

Motion made by: T. Park
Seconded by: H. Usher
That pursuant to section 9.6 of the Council Procedure By-law, Councillor A. Hopkins be permitted to speak longer than 5 minutes with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed

At 6:23 PM His Worship the Mayor places Councillor P. Hubert in the Chair, and takes a seat at the Council Board.

Motion made by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: H. Usher

That pursuant to section 9.6 of the Council Procedure By-law, Mayor M. Brown be permitted to speak longer than 5 minutes with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed

At 6:30 PM His Worship the Mayor resumes the Chair, and Councillor P. Hubert takes his seat at the Council Board.

Motion made by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: S. Turner

That pursuant to section 9.6 of the Council Procedure By-law, Councillor J. Morgan be permitted to speak longer than 5 minutes with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed

Motion made by: J. Zaifman
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That pursuant to section 9.6 of the Council Procedure By-law, Councillor S. Turner be permitted to speak longer than 5 minutes with respect to this matter.

Motion Passed

Motion made by: S. Turner
Seconded by: M. van Holst

That reconsideration of the following parts of the previous motion be approved:

b) the Staff BE DIRECTED to work with our community partners in the implementation of the Conservation Master Plan with regards to external funding opportunities;

c) the members of ACCAC, EEPAC and the Local Advisory Committee and the community BE THANKED for their work in the review and comments on the document;

d) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back on the following matters with respect to the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (south) Conservation Master Plan:
i) ways to improve the public consultation process for any Environmentally Significant Areas and Conservation Master Plans; and,

ii) amending the Trails Systems Guidelines to incorporate consultation with neighbouring First Nations, Governments and Organizations at the beginning of the process;

it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received the following communications with respect to this matter:

- a Municipal Council resolution adopted at its meeting held on January 16, 2018;
- the Environmental and Ecological Advisory Committee’s revised statement and recommendations;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from S. Dagnone, 675 Eagletrace Drive;
- a communication from S. and S. Pacifico, 1607 Gloucester Road;
- a communication from S. Levin, 59 Longbow Road;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from A. Cojocaru, 2345 Humberside Common;
- a communication from L. Kari, 56 Doncaster Place;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from L. Robinson, 2120 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from C. Robinson, 2120 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from D. Wake, 597 Kildare Road;
- a communication dated April 6, 2018 from D. Lucas, Vice Principal, Finance and Administration, Huron University College;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from M. Trotter, 2408 Meadowlands Way;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from K. and L. Zerebecki, 205-240 Village Walk Boulevard;
- a communication from R. Croft, by e-mail;
- a communication from R. Agathos, by e-mail;
- a communication from P. Agathos, 2112 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication from C. Parvulescu, 397 Castlegrove Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from C. Sheculkis, Vice-President, Sunningdale West Residents Association;
- a communication from B. Morgan, 50 Doncaster Place;
- a communication from L. Symmes, 797 Haighton Road;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from R. and A. Menon, 2131 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from T. Thrasher, 2048 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from J. Peters, 2048 Valleyrun Boulevard;
· a communication dated April 5, 2018 from E. Westeinde, 3645 Boswick Road North;
· a communication dated April 8, 2018 from D.R. Donnelly, Donnelly Law;
· a communication dated April 3, 2018 from G. Miller, Miller Environmental Services Inc.;
· a communication from W. and F. Fretz, 1984 Valleyrun Boulevard;
· a communication from B. Adair, 675 Eagletree Drive;
· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from L. Carriere, 73-825 Dundalk Drive;
· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from J. Robinson, 2156 Valleyrun Boulevard;
· a communication from S. Russell, by e-mail;
· a communication from Dr. A. Guy Plint, Professor of Geology, Western University;
· a communication dated March, 2018 from C. Dyck, by e-mail;
· a communication from M. Does, 161 Bruce Street;
· a communication dated April 5, 2018 from Susan Hall, by e-mail;
· a communication from G. Neish, 1706 Ironwood Road;
· a communication dated April 4, 2018 from R. Duench, 121, Wychwood Park;
· a communication from W. Van Hemessen, Terrestrial Ecologist, Parsons Inc.;
· a communication dated April 5, 2018 from A. Caveney, 46 Kingspark Crescent;
· a communication from J. Bruce Morton, 11 Doncaster Avenue;
· a communication dated March 4, 2018 from G. Wood, by e-mail;
· a communication dated February 5, 2018 from C. Blake, 18 Braemar Crescent;
· a communication dated March 28, 2018 from J. Davies, 60 Longbow Road;
· a communication dated April 4, 2018 from G. McGinn-McTeer, Stoneybrook Heights-Uplands Residents Association;
· a communication dated March 29, 2018 from P. Pendl and A. Vanstone, 74 Green Acres Drive;
· a communication dated February 12, 2018 from J. Nesbitt, by e-mail;
· a communication from C. Boles, 455 Piccadilly Street;
· a communication dated January 30, 2018 from D. Bickford, 64 Doncaster Place;
· a communication dated January 24, 2018 from S. Levin, President, Orchard Park Sherwood Forest Ratepayers;
· a communication from J. Farquar, 383 St. George Street;
- a communication dated March 29, 2018 from G. and S. Sinker, 1597 Gloucester Road;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from P. Hayman, 77 Doncaster Avenue;
- a communication dated February 7, 2018 from D. Potten, 110 West Rivertrace Walk;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from D. Schmidt, Development Manager, Corlon Properties;
- a communication from I. Conidis, 38 Doncaster Avenue;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from S. Handler, 54 Doncaster Place; and,
- a communication dated April 4, 2018 from Professor J. Blocker, et. al;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters.


Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: P. Squire
Seconded by: H. Usher

a) the Conservation Master Plan (CMP) for the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South) (ESA), appended to the staff report dated April 16, 2018 BE REFERRED back to the Civic Administration to report back at a future meeting of the Planning and Environment Committee, after undertaking the following actions:

i) deleting proposed bridge A from the CMP;


Nays: (6): Mayor M. Brown, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, and J. Zaifman

Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (8 to 6)

Motion made by: P. Squire
Seconded by: H. Usher

ii) deleting proposed bridge D from the CMP:


Nays: (3): Mayor M. Brown, J. Helmer, and M. Cassidy

Absent (1): M. Salih
Motion Passed (11 to 3)

Motion made by: P. Squire
Seconded by: H. Usher

iii) undertaking further public consultation with respect to those portions of the CMP that effect changes to the eastern boundary of the ESA, including the use of public streets;

Yeas: (11): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman

Nays: (3): J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, and S. Turner

Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (11 to 3)

Motion made by: P. Squire
Seconded by: H. Usher

iv) undertaking further consultation with the Accessibility Advisory Committee (ACCAC), the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee (EEPAC), the Upper Thames River Conservation Authority and neighbouring First Nations Governments and Organizations with respect to improved trail access and conditions;


Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: P. Squire
Seconded by: H. Usher

b) the Staff BE DIRECTED to work with our community partners in the implementation of the Conservation Master Plan with regards to external funding opportunities;

c) the members of ACCAC, EEPAC and the Local Advisory Committee and the community BE THANKED for their work in the review and comments on the document;

d) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back on the following matters with respect to the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (south) Conservation Master Plan:

i) ways to improve the public consultation process for any Environmentally Significant Areas and Conservation Master Plans; and,

ii) amending the Trails Systems Guidelines to incorporate consultation with neighbouring First Nations, Governments and Organizations at the beginning of the process;
it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received the following communications with respect to this matter:

- a Municipal Council resolution adopted at its meeting held on January 16, 2018;
- the Environmental and Ecological Advisory Committee’s revised statement and recommendations;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from S. Dagnone, 675 Eagletrace Drive;
- a communication from S. and S. Pacifico, 1607 Gloucester Road;
- a communication from S. Levin, 59 Longbow Road;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from A. Cojocaru, 2345 Humberside Common;
- a communication from L. Kari, 56 Doncaster Place;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from L. Robinson, 2120 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from C. Robinson, 2120 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from D. Wake, 597 Kildare Road;
- a communication dated April 6, 2018 from D. Lucas, Vice Principal, Finance and Administration, Huron University College;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from M. Trotter, 2408 Meadowlands Way;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from K. and L. Zerebecki, 205-240 Village Walk Boulevard;
- a communication from R. Croft, by e-mail;
- a communication from R. Agathos, by e-mail;
- a communication from P. Agathos, 2112 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication from C. Parvulescu, 397 Castlegrove Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from C. Shcuulksi, Vice-President, Sunningdale West Residents Association;
- a communication from B. Morgan, 50 Doncaster Place;
- a communication from L. Symmes, 797 Haighton Road;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from R. and A. Menon, 2131 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from T. Thrasher, 2048 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from J. Peters, 2048 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 5, 2018 from E. Westeinde, 3645 Boswick Road North;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from D.R. Donnelly, Donnelly Law;
· a communication dated April 3, 2018 from G. Miller, Miller Environmental Services Inc.;
· a communication from W. and F. Fretz, 1984 Valleyrun Boulevard;
· a communication from B. Adair, 675 Eagletrace Drive;
· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from L. Carriere, 73-825 Dundalk Drive;
· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from J. Robinson, 2156 Valleyrun Boulevard;
· a communication from S. Russell, by e-mail;
· a communication from Dr. A. Guy Plint, Professor of Geology, Western University;
· a communication dated March, 2018 from C. Dyck, by e-mail;
· a communication from M. Does, 161 Bruce Street;
· a communication dated April 5, 2018 from Susan Hall, by e-mail;
· a communication from G. Neish, 1706 Ironwood Road;
· a communication dated April 4, 2018 from R. Duench, 121, Wychwood Park;
· a communication from W. Van Hemessen, Terrestrial Ecologist, Parsons Inc.;
· a communication dated April 5, 2018 from A. Caveney, 46 Kingspark Crescent;
· a communication from J. Bruce Morton, 11 Doncaster Avenue;
· a communication dated March 4, 2018 from G. Wood, by e-mail;
· a communication dated February 5, 2018 from C. Blake, 18 Braemar Crescent;
· a communication dated March 28, 2018 from J. Davies, 60 Longbow Road;
· a communication dated April 4, 2018 from G. McGinn-McTeer, Stoneybrook Heights-Uplands Residents Association;
· a communication dated March 29, 2018 from P. Pendl and A. Vanstone, 74 Green Acres Drive;
· a communication dated February 12, 2018 from J. Nesbitt, by e-mail;
· a communication from C. Boles, 455 Piccadilly Street;
· a communication dated January 30, 2018 from D. Bickford, 64 Doncaster Place;
· a communication dated January 24, 2018 from S. Levin, President, Orchard Park Sherwood Forest Ratepayers;
· a communication from J. Farquar, 383 St. George Street;
· a communication dated March 29, 2018 from G. and S. Sinker, 1597 Gloucester Road;
· a communication dated April 8, 2018 from P. Hayman, 77 Doncaster Avenue;
- a communication dated February 7, 2018 from D. Potten, 110 West Rivertrace Walk;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from D. Schmidt, Development Manager, Corlon Properties;
- a communication from I. Connidis, 38 Doncaster Avenue;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from S. Handler, 54 Doncaster Place; and,
- a communication dated April 4, 2018 from Professor J. Blocker, et. al;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters.


Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: P. Squire
Seconded by: T. Park

That part a) be amended to add a new subpart v) a follows:

v) actions be taken to discourage crossings of the creek at sites A, B, C, D and E, as identified in the CMP;

Yeas: (8): B. Armstrong, P. Squire, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, and T. Park
Nays: (6): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, J. Morgan, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (8 to 6)

Motion made by: P. Squire
Seconded by: T. Park

That part a) be amended by adding a new subpart vi) as follows:

vi) hardscaped surfaces on the level 2 trails be limited to the greatest extent possible;

Nays: (6): Mayor M. Brown, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (8 to 6)
That the motion to add a new subpart vi) to part a) BE REFERRED for consideration in conjunction with the referral of the staff report dated April 16, 2018.

Yeas: (1): M. van Holst


Motion Failed (1 to 13)

Item 7, as amended, reads as follows:

That the following actions be taken with respect to the Conservation Master Plan for the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South):

a) the Conservation Master Plan (CMP) for the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (South) (ESA), appended to the staff report dated April 16, 2018, BE REFERRED back to the Civic Administration to report back at a future meeting of the Planning and Environment Committee, after undertaking the following actions:

i) deleting proposed bridge A from the CMP;

ii) deleting the proposed bridge D from the CMP;

iii) undertaking further public consultation with respect to those portions of the CMP that effect changes to the eastern boundary of the ESA, including the use of public streets;

iv) undertaking further consultation with the Accessibility Advisory Committee (ACCAC), the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee (EEPAC), the Upper Thames River Conservation Authority and neighbouring First Nations Governments and Organizations with respect to improved trail access and conditions;

v) actions be taken to discourage crossings of the creek at sites A, B, C, D and E, as identified in the CMP;

vi) hardscaped surfaces on the level 2 trails be limited to the greatest extent possible;

b) staff BE DIRECTED to work with our community partners in the implementation of the CMP with regard to external funding opportunities;

c) the members of ACCAC, EEPAC and the Local Advisory Committee and the community BE THANKED for their work in the review and comments on the document;

d) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back on the following matters with respect to the Medway Valley Heritage Forest Environmentally Significant Area (south) Conservation Master Plan:

i) ways to improve the public consultation process for any Environmentally Significant Areas and Conservation Master Plans; and,

ii) amending the Trails Systems Guidelines to incorporate consultation with neighbouring First Nations, Governments and Organizations at the beginning of the process;
it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received the following communications with respect to this matter:

- a Municipal Council resolution adopted at its meeting held on January 16, 2018;
- the Environmental and Ecological Advisory Committee’s revised statement and recommendations;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from S. Dagone, 675 Eagletrace Drive;
- a communication from S. and S. Pacifico, 1607 Gloucester Road;
- a communication from S. Levin, 59 Longbow Road;
- a communication dated April 9, 2018 from A. Cojocaru, 2345 Humberside Common;
- a communication from L. Kari, 56 Doncaster Place;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from L. Robinson, 2120 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from C. Robinson, 2120 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from D. Wake, 597 Kildare Road;
- a communication dated April 6, 2018 from D. Lucas, Vice Principal, Finance and Administration, Huron University College;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from M. Trotter, 2408 Meadowlands Way;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from K. and L. Zerebecki, 205-240 Village Walk Boulevard;
- a communication from R. Croft, by e-mail;
- a communication from R. Agathos, by e-mail;
- a communication from P. Agathos, 2112 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication from C. Parvulescu, 397 Castlegrove Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from C. Sheculksi, Vice-President, Sunningdale West Residents Association;
- a communication from B. Morgan, 50 Doncaster Place;
- a communication from L. Symmes, 797 Haithon Road;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from R. and A. Menon, 2131 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from T. Thrasher, 2048 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 7, 2018 from J. Peters, 2048 Valleyrun Boulevard;
- a communication dated April 5, 2018 from E. Westeinde, 3645 Boswick Road North;
- a communication dated April 8, 2018 from D.R. Donnelly, Donnelly Law;
· a communication dated April 3, 2018 from G. Miller, Miller Environmental Services Inc.;
· a communication from W. and F. Fretz, 1984 Valleyrun Boulevard;
· a communication from B. Adair, 675 Eagletrace Drive;
· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from L. Carriere, 73-825 Dundalk Drive;
· a communication dated April 7, 2018 from J. Robinson, 2156 Valleyrun Boulevard;
· a communication from S. Russell, by e-mail;
· a communication from Dr. A. Guy Plint, Professor of Geology, Western University;
· a communication dated March, 2018 from C. Dyck, by e-mail;
· a communication from M. Does, 161 Bruce Street;
· a communication dated April 5, 2018 from Susan Hall, by e-mail;
· a communication from G. Neish, 1706 Ironwood Road;
· a communication dated April 4, 2018 from R. Duench, 121, Wychwood Park;
· a communication from W. Van Hemessen, Terrestrial Ecologist, Parsons Inc.;
· a communication dated April 5, 2018 from A. Caveney, 46 Kingspark Crescent;
· a communication from J. Bruce Morton, 11 Doncaster Avenue;
· a communication dated March 4, 2018 from G. Wood, by e-mail;
· a communication dated February 5, 2018 from C. Blake, 18 Braemar Crescent;
· a communication dated March 28, 2018 from J. Davies, 60 Longbow Road;
· a communication dated April 4, 2018 from G. McGinn-McTeer, Stoneybrook Heights-Uplands Residents Association;
· a communication dated March 29, 2018 from P. Pendl and A. Vanstone, 74 Green Acres Drive;
· a communication dated February 12, 2018 from J. Nesbitt, by e-mail;
· a communication from C. Boles, 455 Piccadilly Street;
· a communication dated January 30, 2018 from D. Bickford, 64 Doncaster Place;
· a communication dated January 24, 2018 from S. Levin, President, Orchard Park Sherwood Forest Ratepayers;
· a communication from J. Farquar, 383 St. George Street;
· a communication dated March 29, 2018 from G. and S. Sinker, 1597 Gloucester Road;
· a communication dated April 8, 2018 from P. Hayman, 77 Doncaster Avenue;
· a communication dated February 7, 2018 from D. Potten, 110 West Rivertrace Walk;
· a communication dated April 9, 2018 from D. Schmidt, Development Manager, Corlon Properties;
· a communication from I. Connnidis, 38 Doncaster Avenue;
· a communication dated April 9, 2018 from S. Handler, 54 Doncaster Place; and,
· a communication dated April 4, 2018 from Professor J. Blocker, et. al;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters.

Motion made by: B. Armstrong
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That the Council recess.


Motion Passed (14 to 0)

The Council recesses at 7:36 PM and reconvenes at 8:15 PM with Mayor M. Brown in the Chair and all Members present except Councillors Cassidy, Salih and Squire.

8.1 7th Report of the Civic Works Committee

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That Items 1, 2 and 4 to 19 BE APPROVED.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

Motion Passed

2. (2.1) Greenway Rotary Drum Thickener Pre-Purchase

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the Greenway Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Rotary Drum Thickener Purchase:
a) the bid submitted by JWC Environmental Canada ULC in the amount of $191,307.00 (excluding HST) BE APPROVED in accordance with Section 12.2(b) of the City of London’s Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;
b) the financing for this project BE APPROVED as set out in the Sources of Financing Report appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018;
c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this project; and,
d) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2018-F17)

Motion Passed

4. (2.3) Traffic and Parking By-law - Repeal of By-law No. PS - 113-18013 (Relates to Bill No. 185)
Motion made by: V. Ridley
That, on the recommendation of Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018 BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018, in order to repeal By-law No. PS-113-18013 and amend the Traffic and Parking By-law (PS-113). (2018-T08)

Motion Passed

5. (2.4) Southern Ontario Water Consortium - London Wastewater Facility - Support for Local Water Research and Development
Motion made by: V. Ridley
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the City’s involvement in water technology advancement:
a) an expansion to the number of available municipal infrastructure sites for technological research and demonstrations BE ENDORSED; and,
b) the concept of supporting Western University in expanding the current research partnership BE ENDORSED.
(2018-E13)

Motion Passed

6. (2.5) London Pollution Prevention and Control Plan - Final Master Plan
Motion made by: V. Ridley
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the London Pollution Prevention and Control Plan - Master Plan:
a) the Master Plan Report appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018 BE ACCEPTED;
b) a Notice of Completion BE FILED with the Municipal Clerk;
c) the above-noted Master Plan Report BE PLACED on public record for a 30-day review period; and,
d) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to include the recommended projects outlined in the Pollution Prevention and Control Plan in the Water and Wastewater and Treatment Budget as part of the next Multi-Year Budget process. (2018-E05)

Motion Passed

7. (2.6) South London Wastewater Servicing Study - Municipal Class Environmental Assessment - Notice of Completion
Motion made by: V. Ridley
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the South London Wastewater Servicing Study:
a) the preferred wastewater servicing alternatives BE ACCEPTED in accordance with the Schedule B Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process requirements;
b) a Notice of Completion BE FILED with the Municipal Clerk; and,
c) the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Schedule B project file for the South London Wastewater Servicing Study BE PLACED on public record for a 30-day review period. (2018-E05)

Motion Passed

8. (2.7) Update on the Thames River Clear Water Revival Initiative and Associated Water Management Plan
Motion made by: V. Ridley
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the staff report dated April 17, 2018, with respect to the Thames River Clear Water Revival Initiative and associated water management plan, BE RECEIVED. (2018-E21)

Motion Passed

9. (2.8) Contract Award - Tender T18-08 - 2018 Growth Management Implementation Strategy - Southwest Area Trunk Sanitary Sewer - Phase 3
Motion made by: V. Ridley
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the award of contracts for
the construction of Phase 3 of the Southwest Area Trunk Sanitary Sewer:

a) the bid submitted by L82 Construction Ltd., Suite A – 2070 Huron Street, London, ON, N5V 5A7, at its tendered price of $7,296,700.00 (excluding H.S.T.), for the construction of Phase 3 of the Southwest Area Trunk Sanitary Sewer, BE ACCEPTED; it being noted that the bid submitted by L82 Construction Ltd., was the lowest of seven bids received and meets the City’s specifications and requirements in all areas;

b) AECOM Canada Ltd BE APPOINTED Consulting Engineers to complete the construction administration for the Southwest Area Trunk Sanitary Sewer – Phase 3 in accordance with the estimate, on file, at an upset amount of $482,025.50, including 10% contingency, excluding H.S.T., and in accordance with Section 15.2 (g) of the City of London’s Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;

c) the financing for the project BE APPROVED in accordance with the “Sources of Financing Report” appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018;

d) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this project;

e) the approvals given herein BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract; and,

f) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2018-D22)

Motion Passed

10. (2.9) Contract Award - 2018 Watermain Cleaning And Structural Lining - T16-105

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the award of the contract for the 2018 Watermain Cleaning and Structural Lining Project:

a) the bid submitted by Aquarehab (Canada) Inc., 2145 Michelin Street, Laval, Quebec, Canada, H7L 5B8, at its tendered price of $5,054,469.31 (excluding H.S.T.), for the 2018 Watermain Cleaning and Structural Lining program, BE ACCEPTED; it being noted that this is the second year of a three-year contract submitted by Aquarehab (Canada) Inc. and where unit prices were carried over from the original tendered contract plus a two percent increase as stipulated in the original contract. The original bid submitted by Aquarehab (Canada) Inc. in 2017 was the lower of two bids received. The City has the sole discretion to renew the contract based on price and performance;

b) the financing for this project BE APPROVED as set out in the Sources of Financing Report appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018;

c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this project;
d) the approval given herein BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract or issuing a purchase order for the material to be supplied and the work to be done relating to this project (Tender 16-105); and

e) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2018-F18)

Motion Passed

11. (2.10) 2018 Infrastructure Renewal Program - Consultant Construction Supervision Awards for Cavendish Crescent and Avalon Street Projects

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the award of consultant construction supervision for the Cavendish Crescent and Avalon Street projects:

a) the following Consulting Engineering firms BE AUTHORIZED to carry out the contract administration services, including geotechnical services for the projects, at the upset amounts identified below, in accordance with the estimate on file, and in accordance with Section 15.2 (g) of the City of London’s Procurement of Goods and Services Policy:

i) Cavendish Crescent Phase 1 Reconstruction: Spriet Associates London Ltd. (Spriet), in the amount of $243,595.00 including contingency, (excluding HST); and,

ii) Avalon Street Reconstruction: R.V. Anderson Associates Limited, in the amount of $526,399.50 including contingency, (excluding HST);

b) the financing for this project BE APPROVED as set out in the Sources of Financing Report appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018;

c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this project;

d) the approvals given herein BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract or issuing a purchase order for the work to be done relating to these projects (Cavendish Crescent, Phase 1 Tender 18-06, Avalon Street Tender 18-42); and,

e) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2018-T04)

Motion Passed

12. (2.11) Transportation Intelligent Mobility Management System - Waze Connected Citizens Program Agreement

Motion made by: V. Ridley
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to real-time travel data support for the current Transportation Intelligent Mobility Management System (TIMMS) project:

a) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to enter into, execute, and deliver an agreement with Google Inc. for its Waze Connected Citizens Program;

b) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to negotiate and approve the terms and conditions of the agreement with Google Inc. regarding its Waze Connected Citizens Program;

c) the approvals given herein BE CONDITIONAL upon the Corporation entering into a formal contract with Google Inc.; and,

d) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to give effect to these recommendations. (2018-A03)

Motion Passed

13. (2.12) Award of Consulting Engineering Services for Long-Term Water Storage Options - Environmental Assessment

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the award of consulting engineering services for the long-term water storage options environmental assessment (EW3617):

a) the proposal submitted by AECOM Canada Limited, 410-250 York Street, Citi Plaza, London, Ontario N6A 6K2, in the amount of $157,816, including 10% contingency, (excluding H.S.T.), BE AWARDED in accordance with Section 15.2 (e) of the City of London’s Procurement of Goods and Services Policy;

b) the financing for this project BE APPROVED as set out in the Sources of Financing Report appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018;

c) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to undertake all the administrative acts that are necessary in connection with this project; and,

d) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute any contract or other documents, if required, to effect these recommendations. (2018-A05)

Motion Passed

14. (2.13) 3rd Report of the Transportation Advisory Committee

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That the 3rd Report of the Transportation Advisory Committee, from its meeting held on March 27, 2018, BE RECEIVED

Motion Passed
15. (3.1) Street Renaming - Centre Street (East of Pond Mills Road) (Relates to Bill No. 186)

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That, on the recommendation of the Director, Development Services, the following actions be taken with respect to the proposed renaming of Centre Street:

a) subject to final approval of the Draft Approved Plan 39T-12501 and on approval of the proposed street name change by-law, as appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018, to rename the specified portion of Centre Street to Deveron Crescent, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council Meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 in order to rename the portion of Centre Street lying east of Pond Mills Road, in the City of London, to Deveron Crescent; it being noted that the proposed by-law will come into force and effect within 30 days of the Draft Approval Plan 39T-12501 being registered at the Land Registry Office; and,

b) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to make the necessary arrangements to pay the costs associated with the street renaming, including, but not limited to, street signage, advertisement and by-law registration costs;

it being noted that no individuals spoke at the public participation meeting associated with this matter. (2018-D29)

Motion Passed

16. (3.2) Street Renaming - Various Streets Across the City (Relates to Bill No. 187)

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Development & Compliance Services and Chief Building Official and the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the following actions be taken with respect to the application by The Corporation of the City of London for street renamings:

a) the proposed by-law, as appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council Meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 to undertake the following actions:

i) rename La Stradella between Scottsdale Street and Monterey Crescent to La Stradella Gate, effective September 1, 2018;

ii) rename Middlewoods between Sarnia Road and Lawson Road to Middlewoods Drive, effective September 1, 2018;

iii) rename Tallwood north of Windermere Road to Tallwood Circle, effective September 1, 2018; and,

iv) rename The Birches south of Agincourt Gardens The Birches Place effective, September 1, 2018;

b) the owners of the affected lots BE COMPENSATED Two Hundred Dollars ($200.00) each for the costs associated with the municipal address change;
c) Environmental & Engineering Services BE DIRECTED to review and amend the Traffic and Parking By-Law, as appropriate; and,

d) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to make the necessary arrangements to pay the costs associated with the street renaming outlined in a) above, including, but not limited to, street signage, advertisement and by-law registration costs;

it being noted that no individuals spoke at the public participation meeting associated with this matter. (2018-D29)

Motion Passed

17. (3.3) Draft Proposed Terms of Reference – Environmental Assessment of the Proposed W12A Landfill Expansion

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, with the support of the Waste Management Working Group, the following actions be taken with respect to the staff report dated April 17, 2018 related to the Draft Proposed Terms of Reference for the Environmental Assessment of the Proposed W12A Landfill Expansion:

a) the above-noted staff report BE RECEIVED;

b) the Draft Proposed Terms of Reference BE CIRCULATED for review and comment by the Government Review Team, Aboriginal Communities, stakeholders and the general public from April 26, 2018 to June 8, 2018;

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to consider the feedback from the consultation noted in part b), above, and revise the Draft Proposed Terms of Reference as appropriate; and,

d) in accordance with Council Policy, the revised Proposed Terms of Reference noted in part c), above, BE POSTED on the City of London’s website at least 30 days prior to a public participation meeting to be held by the Civic Works Committee, to consider the revised Proposed Terms of Reference;

it being noted that the attached presentation from the Director, Environment, Fleet and Solid Waste, was received with respect to this matter. (2018-E07A)

Motion Passed

18. (4.1) Garbage Cycles and Holidays

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That the Civic Administration BE REQUESTED to review the 2019 waste pick up calendar and report back to the Civic Works Committee with a recommendation related to the best dates in the Spring for the unlimited container pick up. (2018-E07)

Motion Passed

19. (5.1) Deferred Matters List
Motion made by: V. Ridley
That the Civic Works Committee Deferred List, as at April 9, 2018, BE RECEIVED.

Motion Passed

3. (2.2) Amendments to the Traffic and Parking By-law (Relates to Bill No. 184)

Motion made by: V. Ridley
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer, the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018 BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 for the purpose of amending By-law PS-113 entitled "A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles in the City of London". (2018-T08)

Motion made by: J. Morgan
Seconded by: A. Hopkins
That Item 3 (2.2) BE AMENDED to provide a revised by-law for enactment that excludes Buroak Drive, in order to allow additional time for consultation.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman
Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

Motion made by: V. Ridley
Seconded by: J. Morgan
Item 3 as amended:
That the attached, revised, proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018 BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 for the purpose of amending By-law PS-113 entitled "A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles in the City of London". (2018-T08)

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman
Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

8.2 7th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee

Motion made by: S. Turner
That Items 1 to 6, and 8 BE APPROVED.
Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman
Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest
   Motion made by: S. Turner
   That it BE NOTED that Councillor S. Turner disclosed a pecuniary interest in clause 4.2 of this Report, having to do with the request for delegation status by Dr. C. Mackie, Medical Officer of Health and Chief Executive Officer, Middlesex-London Health Unit, with respect to the proposed supervised consumption facilities, by indicating that the Middlesex-London Health Unit is his employer.

   Motion Passed

2. (2.1) 5th Report of the Advisory Committee on the Environment
   Motion made by: S. Turner
   That the following actions be taken with respect to the 5th Report of the Advisory Committee on the Environment from its meeting held on April 4, 2018:

   a) the Manager, Urban Forestry and the Manager, Forestry Operations, BE REQUESTED to attend a future meeting of the Advisory Committee on the Environment (ACE) to provide information with respect to the practices relating to the watering of trees, the cutting down of trees and the planting of trees near hydro lines; it being noted that the 2nd Report of the Trees and Forests Advisory Committee, from its meeting held on February 28, 2018 was received;

   b) the Municipal Council and the Agricultural Advisory Committee BE ADVISED that the Advisory Committee on the Environment expressed its support for contacting The Honourable Jeff Leal, Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, with respect to the consultations relating to the Bees Act; it being noted that the 2nd Report of the Agricultural Advisory Committee, from its meeting held on March 21, 2018 was received;

   c) the following actions be taken with respect to the 2018 Advisory Committee on the Environment (ACE) Work Plan and proposed Budget:

      i) the proposed Budget items identified on the approved 2018 ACE Work Plan BE APPROVED; it being noted that the ACE has sufficient funds in its 2018 Budget and,

      ii) it BE NOTED that a general discussion was held with respect to the 2018 ACE Work Plan;
3. (2.2) Application - Ontario Municipal Board Final Decision Draft Plan of Subdivision a Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment

Motion made by: S. Turner
That, on the recommendation of the Senior Planner, Development Services, the staff report dated April 16, 2018, entitled "Applicant/Appellant: Sunningdale Golf & Country Ltd. OMB Final Decision Draft Plan of Subdivision, Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment" for the lands located at 379 Sunningdale Road West BE RECEIVED for information. (2018-D09/L01)

Motion Passed

4. (2.3) City Services Reserve Fund (CSRF) Claimable Works - 2150 Oxford Street East

Motion made by: S. Turner
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, the Source of Financing Report appended to the staff report dated April 16, 2018 BE APPROVED with respect to the site plan development agreement between The Corporation of the City of London and Dancor Oxford Inc., for the development charge claimable work located at 2150 Oxford Street East. (2018-F01)

Motion Passed

5. (2.4) Building Division Monthly Report for February 2018

Motion made by: S. Turner

Motion Passed

6. (3.1) Demolition Request of Heritage Designated Property at 660 Sunningdale Road East

Motion made by: S. Turner
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the request for the demolition of the heritage designated property located at 660 Sunningdale Road East BE REFUSED; it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee received and reviewed a communication dated April 8, 2018, from M. Bloxam, President, London Region Branch, Architectural Conservancy of Ontario, with respect to this matter; it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individual indicated on the ...
attached public participation meeting record made an oral submission regarding this matter.

Motion Passed

8. (4.1) 3rd Report of the Trees and Forests Advisory Committee
Motion made by: S. Turner
That the following actions be taken with respect to the 3rd Report on the Trees and Forests Advisory Committee from its meeting held on March 28, 2018:

a) the revised 2018 Work Plan appended to the 3rd Report of the Trees and Forests Advisory Committee BE APPROVED; and,

b) clauses 1.1, 2.1, 3.1, and 5.1 BE RECEIVED.

Motion Passed

9. (4.2) Supervised Consumption Facility Location
Motion made by: A. Hopkins
That Dr. C. Mackie BE GRANTED delegation status at a future Planning and Environment Committee meeting; it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received the following communications with respect to this matter:

- a communication dated April 12, 2018 from S. Courtice, Executive Director, London InterCommunity Health Centre;
- a communication dated April 10, 2018 from L. Sibley, Executive Director, Addiction Services;
- a communication dated April 11, 2018 from B. Dokis, Chief Executive Officer, Southwest Ontario Aboriginal Health Access Centre;
- a communication dated April 10, 2018 from M. Walker, Executive Director, London Abused Women’s Centre.

Yeas: (11): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman
Recuse: (1): S. Turner
Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (11 to 0)

10. (5.1) 5th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage
Motion made by: T. Park  
Seconded by: H. Usher

That part a) of Item 10, clause 5.1 of the 7th Report of the Planning and Environment Committee, having to do with the 5th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage (LACH), requesting a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, as follows, BE AMENDED to refer this portion of the Report back to the LACH for further consideration.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

Motion made by: S. Turner  
Seconded by: T. Park

Approve Item 10, as amended, as follows:

That, the following actions be taken with respect to the 5th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage (LACH), from its meeting held on April 11, 2018:

a) the request for the Heritage Planners to prepare a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest for the Fugitive Slave Chapel at its new location at 432 Grey Street pursuant to direction from the Municipal Council during the repeal of the heritage designating by-law for 275 Thames Street BE REFERRED back to the LACH for additional consideration; it being noted that the presentation appended to the 5th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage (LACH) from G. Hodder and a verbal delegation from H. Neary, with respect to this matter, were received;

b) on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the application by Stantec Consulting Ltd., under Section 4.2 of the Ontario Heritage Act to alter The Green located at 165 Elmwood Avenue East, individually designated by By-law No. L.S.P.-2854-377 and within the Wortley Village-Old South Heritage Conservation District, BE PERMITTED; it being noted that the presentation from K. Gonyou, Heritage Planner, appended to the 5th Report of the London Advisory Committee on Heritage (LACH), was received with respect to this matter;

c) C. Parker, Senior Planner, BE REQUESTED to attend the May 9, 2018 London Advisory Committee on Heritage meeting in order to discuss the proposed Old East Village Dundas Street Corridor Secondary Plan outlined in the Notice of Application dated March 12, 2018;

d) the following actions be taken with respect to the Stewardship Sub-Committee report from the meeting held on March 28, 2018:

i) the following properties BE LISTED on the Register (Inventory of Heritage Resources) based on the research
and evaluation undertaken by the Western University Public History Program, on file with the Heritage Planners:

- 306 Simcoe Street;
- 397 Wortley Road; and,
- 399 Wortley Road; and,

ii) it BE NOTED that the remainder of the Stewardship Sub-Committee report was received;

e) on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the request by P. Sergautis for the demolition of the heritage designated property located at 660 Sunningdale Road East BE REFUSED; it being noted that the presentation from K. Gonyou, Heritage Planner, appended to the 5th Report of the LACH was received with respect to this matter; it being further noted that a communication dated April 8, 2018, from M. Bloxam, ACO London, was received with respect to this matter;

f) clauses 1.1, 2.3, 2.4, 3.1 to 3.3, 3.5 to 3.7, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2 BE RECEIVED;

it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received a communication from the British Methodist Episcopal Church, with respect to the Fugitive Slave Chapel.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

8.3 9th Report of the Corporate Services Committee

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That Items 1 to 3 and 5 to 12 BE APPROVED.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

Motion Passed

2. (2.1) Elected Officials and Appointed Citizen Members 2018 Remuneration

Motion made by: J. Helmer
That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk, the 2018 remuneration for elected officials and appointed citizen members of local boards and commissions, where stipends are paid, BE ADJUSTED by 1.7% over 2017 effective January 1, 2018, in keeping with the Council Policy entitled “Remuneration for Elected Officials and Appointed Citizen Members”.

Motion Passed

3. (2.2) Amendment to Mayor’s New Years Honour List Policy
(Relates to By-law No.183)

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the City Clerk, the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 17, 2018 as Appendix “A” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 for the purpose of amending By-law No. CPOL-18-214 being “A by-law to revoke and repeal Council policy related to Mayor’s New Year’s Honour List and replace it with a new Council policy entitled Mayor’s New Year’s Honour List Policy”, by replacing the Mayor’s New Year’s Honour List Policy with a new Mayor’s New Year’s Honour List Policy to change the name of the nomination category “Persons with Disabilities” to the name “Accessibility”; and to change the current description of the award from “(i.e. contributions to the promotion and facilitation of a barrier-free community for citizens of all abilities, including those with disabilities)” to “(awarded to those who, through action and/or example, foster an environment of inclusion that embraces citizens of all abilities)”.

Motion Passed

5. (2.4) 2017 Year-End Capital Monitoring Report

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer:

a) the 2017 Year-End Capital Monitoring Report BE RECEIVED for information; it being noted that the life-to-date capital budget represents $1.7 billion with $1.2 billion committed and $0.5 billion uncommitted; it being further noted that the City Treasurer, or designate, will undertake the housekeeping adjustments identified in the staff report dated April 17, 2018, in accordance with the Multi-Year Budget Policy adopted by By-law No. CPOL.-45-241;

b) the status updates of active 2014 life-to-date capital budgets (2014 and prior) having no future budget requests, attached as Appendix “B” to the staff report dated April 17, 2018, BE RECEIVED for information;

c) the following actions be taken with respect to the completed Capital Projects identified in Appendix “C” to the staff report dated April 17, 2018, which have a total of $5.0 million of net surplus funding:

i) the Capital Projects included in Appendix “C” to the staff report dated April 17, 2018, BE CLOSED;
ii) the following actions be taken with respect to the funding associated with the Capital Projects approved for closure in c) i), above:

Rate Supported
A) pay-as-you-go funding of $83,918 BE TRANSFERRED to the capital receipts account;
B) authorized debt financing of $31,872 BE RELEASED resulting in a reduction of authorized, but unissued debt;
C) uncommitted reserve fund drawdowns of $3,183,127 BE RELEASED from the reserve funds which originally funded the projects;

Non-Rate Supported
D) uncommitted reserve fund drawdowns of $1,017,413 BE RELEASED from the reserve funds which originally funded the projects;
E) other net non-rate supported funding sources of $704,830 BE ADJUSTED in order to facilitate project closings.

Motion Passed

6. (2.5) 2017 Operating Budget Year-End Monitoring Report - Property Tax, Water, Wastewater & Treatment Budgets

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, the following actions be taken with respect to 2017 year-end monitoring:

a) the 2017 Operating Budget Year-End Monitoring Report for the Property Tax Supported Budget (Appendix A to the staff report dated April 17, 2018), Water and Wastewater & Treatment Budgets BE RECEIVED for information; it being noted that an overview of the net corporate positions are outlined below:

i) the Property Tax Supported Budget surplus is $6.9 million as identified by Civic Administration, Boards and Commissions. The year-end surplus is $2.7 million greater than projected in the 2017 Operating Budget Mid-Year Monitoring Report. The $6.9 million operating surplus was contributed to the Operating Budget Contingency Reserve;

ii) the Water Rate Supported Budget surplus is $2.2 million. The year-end surplus is $1.6 million more than projected in the 2017 Operating Budget Mid-Year Monitoring Report. The $2.2 million surplus was contributed to the Water Capital Reserve Fund;

iii) the Wastewater & Treatment Rate Supported Budget surplus is $3.3 million. The year-end surplus is $1.3 million more than projected in the 2017 Operating Budget Mid-Year Monitoring Report. The $3.3 million surplus was contributed to the Wastewater Rate Stabilization Reserve;

b) notwithstanding the Council-approved Surplus/Deficit Policy, the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to allocate $5 million of the $6.9 million surplus from the Property Tax Supported Budget to fund costs related to the subsidized transit programs which include free transit for children 5-12, a reduced rate transit pass for youth 13-17 pilot program, and an income-related subsidized transit pilot program for adults 18 and over, noting that costs in excess of available budgets for the pilot programs in 2018 and 2019 are
approved to come from Operating Budget Surplus, and if required, Contingency Reserves;

c) notwithstanding the Council-approved Surplus/Deficit Policy, the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to allocate the London & Middlesex Housing Corporation (LMHC) operational savings, currently estimated to be $37 thousand (subject to LMHC’s financial statement audit), included in the above noted $6.9 million surplus to the LMHC Employee Entitlement Reserve Fund to support its obligation for future employee entitlement costs;

d) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to allocate the remaining balance of surplus from the Property Tax Supported Budget in accordance with the Council approved Surplus/Deficit Policy as follows:

i) 50% to reduce authorized but unissued debt;

ii) 25% to the Community Investment Reserve Fund; and

iii) 25% to the Capital Infrastructure Gap Reserve Fund.

e) the Civic Administration BE AUTHORIZED to allocate $3.2 million of the $3.3 million Wastewater & Treatment Rate Supported Budget surplus to the Sewage Works Reserve Fund; it being noted that the Wastewater Rate Stabilization Reserve has achieved its targeted balance of 2.5% of the Wastewater & Treatment Revenue Budget, and that in previous years this Reserve Fund has been drawn from to offset operational deficits; and

f) the Civic Administration’s contribution of $3,578,214 ($2,905,476 – Property Tax Supported; $242,714 – Water; and $430,024 – Wastewater) to the Efficiency, Effectiveness and Economy reserves in 2017 BE RECEIVED for information; it being noted that the reported year-end surplus is subject to the financial statement audit and adjustments related to the accounting for Tangible Capital Assets (PSAB 3150).

Motion Passed

7. (4.2) Request for Designation of the Jean Carlos Centeno en London as a Municipally Significant Event

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That the Jean Carlos Centeno en London, to be held on June 16, 2018, at the parking lot located at 195 Dundas Street, from 6:00 PM to 12:00 AM, BE DESIGNATED as an event of municipal significance in the City of London.

Motion Passed

8. (4.3) Request for Designation of THE Fashion Show 2018 as a Municipally Significant Event

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That THE Fashion Show 2018, to be held on July 21, 2018, at the Wolf Performance Hall, from 5:00 PM to 9:00 PM, BE DESIGNATED as an event of municipal significance in the City of London.
9. (4.4) Request for Designation of the Appleseed Cider Festival as a Municipally Significant Event
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the Appleseed Cider Festival, to be held on June 1 and 2, 2018, at 211 King Street, from 7:00 PM to 2:00 AM both evenings, BE DESIGNATED as an event of municipal significance in the City of London.

Motion Passed

10. (4.5) Request for Designation of the Forest City Beer Fest as a Municipally Significant Event
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the Forest City Beer Fest, to be held on August 10, 2018 from 6:00 PM to 12:00 AM and August 11, 2018 from 5:00 PM to 12:00 AM, at the Budweiser Gardens parking lot located 99 Dundas Street, BE DESIGNATED as an event of municipal significance in the City of London.

Motion Passed

11. (4.6) Request for Designation of the Sunfest Shade Garden as a Municipally Significant Event
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the Sunfest Shade Garden, to be held on July 5, 2018 to July 8, 2018, as part of TD Sunfest at Victoria Park, from 11:00 AM to 11:00 PM on each of those dates, BE DESIGNATED as an event of municipal significance in the City of London.

Motion Passed

At 8:46 PM Councillor M. Cassidy enters the meeting.

12. (4.1) Year 2018 Tax Policy (Relates to By-law No.’s 178, 179, 180, 181 and 182)
Motion made by: J. Helmer
That the following actions be taken with respect to property taxation for 2018:

a) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to bring forward a proposed by-law for introduction and enactment at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018, reflective of the Corporate Services Committee’s recommendation in accordance with Sub-sections 308(4) and 308.1(4) of the Municipal Act, 2001, to set tax ratios in the various property classes in keeping with Option AB2 as detailed in the staff report dated April 17, 2018;

b) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to bring forward a proposed by-law (Appendix C to the staff report dated April 3, 2018) for introduction and enactment at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 to fully utilize options available in 2018 to exclude properties in capped property classes which have reached
current value assessment tax levels or higher in 2017 from being capped again in 2018 and future years;

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to bring forward a proposed by-law (Appendix D to the staff report dated April 3, 2018) for introduction and enactment at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 to initiate a 4-year phase out of capping for any of the non-residential property classes where London is eligible for such option and exclude vacant land from the capping phase-out eligibility criteria where all properties must be within 50% of CVA level taxes;

d) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to bring forward a proposed by-law (Appendix E to the staff report dated April 3, 2018) for introduction and enactment at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 to limit capping protection only to reassessment related changes prior to 2017 and that reassessment changes in capped classes thereafter would not be subject to the cap;

e) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to bring forward a proposed by-law (Appendix F to the staff report dated April 3, 2018) for introduction and enactment at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on April 24, 2018 to adopt the capping formulae for the commercial, industrial and multi-residential property classes as described in detail in the staff report dated April 3, 2018.

Motion Passed

4. (2.3) 2017 Compliance Report in Accordance with the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy

Motion made by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, the following actions be taken with respect to the 2017 Compliance Report in accordance with the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy:

a) an annual report of total payments where a supplier has invoiced the City a cumulative total value of $100,000 or more in a calendar year, as per the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy, Section 8.11 (c), BE RECEIVED for information (included as Appendix “A” to the staff report dated April 17, 2018);

b) the administrative contract awards for Professional Consulting Services with an aggregate total greater than $100,000, as per Section 15.1 (g) of the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy, decentralized from Purchasing and Supply that have been reported to the Manager of Purchasing and Supply and have been reviewed for compliance to the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy, BE RECEIVED for information (included as Appendix “B” to the staff report dated April 17, 2018);

c) the list of administrative contract awards for Tenders with a value up to $3,000,000 that do not have an irregular result, as per Section 8.11 (c) of the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy, BE RECEIVED for information (included as Appendix “C” to the staff report dated April 17, 2018); and

d) the City Treasurer or delegate BE DELEGATED authority to, at any time, refer questions concerning compliance with the Procurement of Goods and Services Policy to the City’s internal auditor, and the City Treasurer or delegate is hereby further
authorized to ratify and confirm completed awards or purchases between $15,000 and $50,000 where the City Treasurer or delegate is of the opinion that the awards or purchases were in the best interests of the Corporation.


Recuse: (1): P. Hubert

Absent (2): M. Salih, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

9. Added Reports

9.2 6th Report of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That it BE NOTED that the following pecuniary interests were disclosed:

a) Councillor T. Park disclosed a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of this Report having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)iii) regarding the South Leg of the project in the area of Wellington Street, between Horton and the Thames River, and Wellington Road between the Thames River to Bond Street, by indicating that her family owns adjoining property.

b) Councillor S. Turner disclosed a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of this Report having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)iii) regarding the South Leg of the project in the area of Wellington Street, between Horton and the Thames River, and Wellington Road between the Thames River to Bond Street, by indicating that his family owns adjoining property.

c) Councillor J. Morgan disclosed a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of this Report having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)ii) regarding the North leg of the project, as well as those portions of parts b), c), d), g) and h) pertaining to that portion of the project, by indicating that this leg of the project passes through the vicinity of his employer, Western University.


Absent (2): M. Salih, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (13 to 0)

At 9:02 PM Councillor P. Squire enters the meeting.

3. Bus Rapid Transit - Environmental Assessment Initiative

Motion made by: V. Ridley

Part b)

b) the communication dated April 15, 2018, from Councillor M. van Holst, requesting that the Civic Administration assist in
clarifying the Municipal Council’s priorities with respect to the Rapid Transit Project, BE RECEIVED.


Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: V. Ridley

That the following actions be taken with respect to the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative:

a) the staff report dated April 23, 2018, entitled “Bus Rapid Transit – Environmental Assessment Initiative”, together with the associated presentation made by the Project Director, Rapid Transit Implementation at the April 23, 2018 meeting of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee, as well as the communication dated April 12, 2018, from C. Butler, BE REFERRED to the May 7, 2018 meeting of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee for consideration and debate, in order to allow additional time for the public and the Council Members to review the information; and

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, T. Park, and J. Zaifman

Nays: (2): S. Turner, and H. Usher

Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (12 to 2)

2. Public Consultation - Proposed Expansion of a Gaming Site

Motion made by: V. Ridley

Approve the introduction included in part b), as follows:

b) the expansion of a gaming site to include entertainment options that would allow for up to 1,200 slots at a casino, and up to 50 live table games, within the jurisdiction of The Corporation of the City of London, BE ENDORSED;

Yeas: (9): Mayor M. Brown, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, P. Squire, J. Morgan, V. Ridley, S. Turner, T. Park, and J. Zaifman

Nays: (5): M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, and H. Usher

Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (9 to 5)

Motion made by: V. Ridley

Approve the remainder of part b), as follows:

it being noted that The Corporation of the City of London prefers an expanded location at the Western Fair District;
Yeas: (13): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman
Nays: (1): S. Turner
Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (13 to 1)

Motion made by: V. Ridley
Approve parts a) and d) as follows:

That the following actions be taken with respect to the proposed expansion of a gaming site in London:

a) the staff report dated April 23, 2018 BE RECEIVED;

b) the Province of Ontario and the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario BE REQUESTED to flow the additional revenues from expanded gaming in London back into the community in a more significant way than the current funding arrangement, and that the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to engage with relevant parties;

it being noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee received the attached communication from J. Dales, Chair, Western Fair Association Board of Governors, with respect to this matter;

it being pointed out that the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions with respect to the proposed expansion of a gaming site in London.

Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

Motion made by: V. Ridley
Approve part c) as follows:

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back on how some or all of the incremental revenues from expanded gaming, paid to The Corporation of the City of London according to the contribution agreement with the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation, could be allocated to prevent, reduce or mitigate harms in the Zone SW 4 bundle from problem gambling, including fostering a partnership between the local community and the gaming operator; and

Nays: (1): P. Squire
Absent (1): M. Salih
Motion Passed (13 to 1)

4. Motion on Daytime Meetings
   Motion made by: V. Ridley
   That the communication dated April 15, 2018, from Councillor M. van Holst, requesting that Council and Standing Committee meetings be scheduled during the day, where possible, with arrangements made to accommodate public participation meetings at appropriate times, BE RECEIVED.


Absent (1): M. Salih

Motion Passed (14 to 0)

At 9:17 PM, Councillor M. Cassidy leaves the meeting.

9.1 9th Public Report of Council, In Closed Session

PRESENT: Mayor M. Brown, Councillors M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, M. Cassidy, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H.L. Usher, T. Park and J. Zaifman

ABSENT: Councillor M. Salih


Motion made by: V. Ridley

That, as a procedural matter pursuant to Section 239(6) of the Municipal Act, 2001, the following recommendation be forwarded to City Council for deliberation and a vote in public session:

That, on the recommendation of the City Solicitor’s Office, the following actions be taken with respect to ongoing proceedings before the Conservation Review Board relating to the property located at 660 Sunningdale Road East:

a) the attached Minutes of Settlement between The Corporation of the City of London and Peter Sergautis, attached as Schedule 1, BE APPROVED;

b) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to sign the Minutes of Settlement approved in (a) above;

c) the City Solicitor's Office BE AUTHORIZED to take all necessary actions with respect to the Minutes of Settlement, including filing all required documents with the Conservation Review Board.


Absent (2): M. Salih, and M. Cassidy

Motion Passed (13 to 0)

Councillor V. Ridley reported progress on the following matters:

A matter pertaining to advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; the subject matter pertains
to litigation or potential litigation with respect to an appeal at the Conservation Review Board, and for the purpose of providing instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation. (6.1/7/PEC)

A matter pertaining to instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation pertaining to a proposed disposition of land; advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; reports or advice or recommendations of officers and employees of the Corporation pertaining to a proposed disposition of land; commercial and financial information supplied in confidence pertaining to the proposed acquisition the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice significantly the competitive position or interfere significantly with the contractual or other negotiations of the Corporation, result in similar information no longer being supplied to the Corporation where it is in the public interest that similar information continue to be so supplied, and result in undue loss or gain to any person, group, committee or financial institution or agency; commercial, information relating to the proposed acquisition that belongs to the Corporation that has monetary value or potential monetary value; information concerning the proposed acquisition whose disclosure could reasonably be expected to prejudice the economic interests of the Corporation or its competitive position; information concerning the proposed acquisition whose disclosure could reasonably be expected to be injurious to the financial interests of the Corporation; and instructions to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the Corporation concerning the proposed acquisition.

A matter pertaining to advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; litigation or potential litigation with respect to the Medway Value Heritage Forest Environmental Significant Area (South) – Conservation Master Plan as it relates to the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA); and for the purpose of providing instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation concerning this matter.

At 9:19 PM His Worship the Mayor places Councillor P. Hubert in the Chair, and leaves the meeting.

Motion made by: S. Turner
Seconded by: H. Usher

That pursuant to section 20.3 of the Council Procedure By-law leave be given for discussion and debate and making of a substantive motion with respect to the planning applications submitted by Southside Group for the properties located at 3234, 3263, 3274 Wonderland Road South.

Yeas: (12): M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

Motion made by: S. Turner
Seconded by: M. van Holst

That the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to process the applications submitted by Southside Group for the properties located at 3234, 3263, 3274 Wonderland Road South requesting amendments to the Zoning by-law and the Official Plan to provide for commercial development on the subject properties, in accordance with the Planning Act.


Nays: (2): P. Hubert, and A. Hopkins
At 9:24 PM His Worship the Mayor resumes the Chair, and Councillor P. Hubert takes his seat at the Council Board.

10. Deferred Matters

None.

11. Enquiries

Councillor V. Ridley enquired about the distribution of “Respect the Limit” signage to Council Members. The Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer advised that staff determined it would be more efficient to make the signs available at the City’s various Community Centres, for pick up by interested residents, rather than providing the signage to the Council Members for them to distribute.

Councillor B. Armstrong enquired about the status of discussions with CP Railway and CN Railway officials regarding the possibility of rerouting rail traffic. The Managing Director, Environmental & Engineering Services and City Engineer advised that talks were under way and staff are hoping to provide an update report on the matter in June of this year.

12. Emergent Motions

None.

13. By-laws

Motion made by: H. Usher
Seconded by: J. Zaifman

Introduction and First Reading of Bill No.’s 177 to the Added Bill No. 190, including the revised Bill No. 184.

Absent (2): M. Salih, and M. Cassidy

Motion Passed (13 to 0)

Motion made by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: J. Helmer

Second Reading of Bill No.’s 177 to the Added Bill No. 190, including the revised Bill No. 184.

Absent (2): M. Salih, and M. Cassidy

Motion Passed (13 to 0)

Motion made by: H. Usher
Seconded by: B. Armstrong

Third Reading and Enactment of Bill No.’s 177 to the Added Bill No. 190, including the revised Bill No. 184.

Absent (2): M. Salih, and M. Cassidy

Motion Passed (13 to 0)
Motion Passed (13 to 0)

The following by-laws are enacted as by-laws of The Corporation of the City of London:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill No.</th>
<th>By-law No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>A.-7713-138</td>
<td>A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council Meeting held on the 24th day of April, 2018. (City Clerk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>A.-7714-139</td>
<td>A by-law setting tax ratios for property classes in 2018. (4.1/9/CSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>A.-7715-140</td>
<td>A by-law to opt to have Section 8.0.2 of Ontario Regulation 73/03 as amended apply within the City of London for the year 2018 to exempt certain properties in the commercial classes, industrial classes and multi-residential property class from the application of Part IX of the Municipal Act, 2001. (4.1/9/CSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>A.-7716-141</td>
<td>A by-law to exercise the option to establish a phase out and end to the capping of property taxes under Part IX of the Municipal Act, 2001 for eligible property classes. (4.1/9/CSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>A.-7718-143</td>
<td>A by-law to opt to use certain subsections of section 329.1 of the Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, in the calculation of taxes in the commercial, industrial, and multi-residential property classes. (4.1/9/CSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>CPOL.-18(a)-144</td>
<td>A by-law to amend By-law No. CPOL.-18-214 being “A by-law to revoke and repeal Council policy related to Mayor’s New Year’s Honour List and replace it with a new Council policy entitled “Mayor’s New Year’s Honour List Policy” to replace the name of the nomination category “Persons with Disabilities” with the name “Accessibility”; and to replace the current description of the award from “(i.e. contributions to the promotion and facilitation of a barrier-free community for citizens of all abilities, including those with disabilities)” to “(awarded to those who, through action and/or example, foster an environment of inclusion that embraces citizens of all abilities)”. (2.2/9/CSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>PS-113-18016 REVISED</td>
<td>A by-law to amend By-law No. PS-113 entitled, “A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles in the City of London.” (2.2/7/CWC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>PS-113-18017</td>
<td>A by-law to amend By-law PS-113 entitled, “A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles in the City of London,” and to repeal By-law No. PS-113-18013.” (2.3/7/CWC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>S.-5929-145</td>
<td>A by-law to rename the portion of Centre Street lying east of Pond Mills Road, in the City of London, to Deveron Crescent. (3.1/7/CWC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bill No. 187 By-law No. S.-5930-146
A by-law to rename a portion of LA Stradella to La Stradella Gate; to rename a portion of Middlewoods to Middlewoods Drive; to rename a portion of Tailwood to Tailwood Circle and to rename a portion of The Birches to The Birches Place, effective September 1, 2018. (3.2/7/CWC)

Bill No. 188 By-law No. W.-5639-147
A by-law to authorize the New Thames Valley Pathway Project (Project No. PD2124-15). (2.1/7/CPSC)

Bill No. 189 By-law No. W.-5640-148
A by-law to authorize the New District Park Project (Project No. PD103316). (2.1/7/CPSC)

Bill No. 190 By-law No. A.-7719-149
A by-law levying tax rates for property classes in 2018. (6/20/SPPC)

14. Adjournment

Motion made by: S. Turner
Seconded by: T. Park
That the Meeting adjourn.

Motion Passed

The meeting adjourns at 9:32 PM.

_________________________
Matt Brown, Mayor

_________________________
Catharine Saunders, City Clerk
April 19, 2018

Dear Mayor Brown and City Councillors,

I was deeply concerned by the rhetoric heard both in and out of public session on Monday evening regarding the proposed Medway Valley conservation plan and felt it necessary to write in and provide some opinion and insight. As you can probably imagine, I was heartbroken by the repeated characterization that disabled people in this city are less deserving of using public spaces simply because we may access them in different ways or that we, as a people, are some sort of annoyance or burden because of our differing needs. Lurking behind many comments, made by both the public and some councillors, were some insidious implications that disabled people do not have the same value as the nondisabled, that we cannot possibly make an equitable world that is accessible for everyone or that the drive to become more accessible would inevitably lead to an ecological catastrophe. I feel there are some important clarifications and explanations missing from this debate and hope to fill some of those gaps with the following letter.

It is my interpretation of the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) and its subsequent accessibility standards that the municipality will eventually have an obligation to provide access to all municipal services or infrastructure otherwise being provided to people who are not currently disabled. The light in the darkness, so to speak, of the AODA is that disabled people have the same right to exist in our city as everyone else and it is our duty, both the public and the private sector, to begin tackling the structural, architectural and attitudinal barriers that functionally disable people. The AODA states it is the obligation of our world to change, not the duty of the disabled themselves to fit within a rigid normative hierarchy of ability. And best yet, the AODA states unequivocally that Ontario must be fully accessible by 2025.

From this interpretation, and based on Part 4 Section 80 of the O. Reg. 191/11: Integrated Accessibility Standards, the municipality has an obligation to “ensure that any recreational trails that they construct or redevelop, and that they intend to maintain” follow a set of technical requirements, including ensuring the surface of recreational trails are “firm and stable.” The Standard also states that the requirements do not apply to wilderness trails, backcountry trails and/or portage routes (O. Reg. 413/12, s. 6) and Section 80.15 outlines exemptions from compliance in instances where there may be “significant risk” to ecological integrity and at-risk species (as scheduled by the Endangered Species Act, 2007) in direct or indirect ways.

When looked at in the context of the Medway Valley plan, I think the critical point here to note is not necessarily the exemption on ecological grounds but the phrasing around creation and maintenance: I am of the opinion that the AODA does not require the creation of new pathways, especially into areas that are ostensibly not intended to be accessed by anyone, disabled or not, such as ecologically sensitive areas. Given the spirit of the AODA, to provide equitable access for disabled people, the requirement seems to indicate that if the city intends on creating new and/or maintaining existing pathways, places where members of the public are welcome, then there is an obligation to ensure pathway access for diverse means of ambulation.
Which brings us to my point: this discussion is not and should not be about the accessibility of pathways. Non-exempt recreational paths must be accessible going forward. Full stop. Building off comments from one city councillor on Monday, debating accessibility is actually “moot” because that debate already happened at Queen’s Park and now it is the law—we don’t really have a say in that anymore, unless we’re interested in going to court.

The actual choice here is about whether or not to provide publicly usable pathways. Not who will use them, what they should look like, but whether they should exist at all.

Which is where this screed began: do the disabled deserve to access a “natural gem” in the city? If the nondisabled are able and facilitated by the municipality to experience it, then yes, absolutely we do. Morally, ethically, and legally yes, we do.

Living with a physical disability has meant that I only get to explore a small portion of London. Many places Londoners take for granted, staples of the community, I have never visited because they are inaccessible. Most of my life opportunities have been decided not by the things I want to do or the places I want to go but based on the arbitrary patchwork of accessibility that has resulted in a miniscule list of places I can physically access. Before I go out with friends, before going shopping, before applying to university, before applying for a job, I have to call ahead and ask if the space is wheelchair accessible. All too commonly, the answer to this question is “I’m so sorry, but no.” Polite as the response may be, what I really hear and see is a city that intentionally or otherwise does not believe people like me are worth, fiscally or physically, the cost of accommodation. I hear and see that I do not belong. Frankly, at times on nights like Monday, I felt like I am not particularly wanted nor welcome.

I can access a mere fraction of the freedom enjoyed by the approximately 84% of Londoners who do not have a disability. This is, by and large, because of choices we’ve made about how to imagine our city and who we presume are its residents. Worse still, these are choices we regularly make without asking disabled people about how these decisions will continue to marginalize their experiences and prevent full citizenship. Ultimately, we are not people with disabilities, we are people disabled by a system of ableism that prefers to assume disabled people either have everything they need already (we don’t) or that they simply do not exist (we do...and our numbers are growing).

And the continued vacillating on tackling the systemic and attitudinal barriers faced by disabled Londoners validates that belief. Every “we can’t afford it” or “now is not the right time” or “this is not the right place” makes us all complicit in this oppression based on bodily formation.

London may or may not need city-provided access to Medway Valley. That is ultimately something you will need to decide on Tuesday. But if you do not believe disabled people “belong” there, then I implore you ask where do we, disabled people, belong? If Medway Valley is one of the few spaces that we cannot accommodate, and you genuinely agree that the disabled do in fact belong in London, then I urge you to make up for this one ecological limitation by committing to resolving some of the countless other barriers that we can remove—we just have to stop finding excuses not to.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Jeff Preston, PhD
Assistant Professor, Disability Studies
King’s University College @ The University of Western Ontario
WHY IS NATURAL HERITAGE IMPORTANT TO OUR FUTURE?

... natural heritage features and areas that form the Natural Heritage System, shall be protected and managed to improve their ecological integrity and to provide opportunities for public use where appropriate.

1. Natural features and ecological functions shall be protected.
2. The ecological integrity and ecosystem health of the ESA shall have priority in any use or design-related decision.
3. The trail system will be designed with the primary objective of ecological protection and the secondary objective of providing suitable recreational and educational opportunities.
4. The community will be engaged in trail planning.
5. Enjoyable, safe, accessible trails will be permitted in accordance with accessibility legislation.
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Accessibility Advisory Committee (AACAC)
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Sunnyside West Residents Association Adopt an ESA
Friends of Meadow Creek Adopt an ESA
Orchard Park / Sherwood Forest Ratepayers Adopt an ESA
Orchard Park / Sherwood Forest Ratepayers
Sunnyside West Ratepayers
Old Masonville Ratepayers
Sunnyside North Residents Association
Meadow Valley Residents Association
Western University
Huron University College
Nature London
Brant Valley Trail Association (BVTSA)
Heritage London Foundation
Museum of Ontario Archaeology
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ENGAGEMENT
Engagement Process Highlights

- Letters to all homes (1,860) within 200m of entire Medway ESA sent 3 times in 2017
- Direct engagement with EEPAC (6/7)
- Direct engagement with ACCAC (5/5)
- 7 Notices in Londoner 2013-2018
- Local Advisory Committee (6/6)
- Online CMP Survey June 2017
- 4 Open Houses (Phase 1 & 2 in 2013-2017)
- Presentation to OPSF Ratepayers 2017 AGM
- 767 comments 2017-2018

- Process paused 2015-2016 to update Council approved Guidelines for MZs and Trails, 2016

Sustainable Trail Plan
Bill No. 184
2018
By-law No. PS-113-18016

A by-law to amend By-law PS-113 entitled, “A by-law to regulate traffic and the parking of motor vehicles
in the City of London.”

WHEREAS subsection 10(2) paragraph 7 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c.25, as amended, provides that a municipality may pass by-laws to provide any service or thing that the municipality considers necessary or desirable to the public;

AND WHEREAS subsection 5(3) of the Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, provides that a municipal power shall be exercised by by-law;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. **No Stopping**

   Schedule 1 (No Stopping) of the PS-113 By-law is hereby amended by adding the following rows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huron Street</td>
<td>105 m west</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Barker Street</td>
<td>8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huron Street</td>
<td>55 m west</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Barker Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **No Parking**

   Schedule 2 (No Parking) of the By-law PS-113 is hereby amended by deleting the following rows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Woodland Place</td>
<td>80 m west</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Carfare Crescent</td>
<td>Anytime</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Schedule 2 (No Parking) of the By-law PS-113 is hereby amended by adding the following rows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cherokee Road</td>
<td>145 m east</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Glasgow Street</td>
<td>Anytime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherokee Road</td>
<td>37 m east</td>
<td>South and West</td>
<td>Aldersbrook Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mornington Avenue</td>
<td>165 m east</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Glasgow Street</td>
<td>Anytime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenlock Crescent</td>
<td>108 m east</td>
<td>South and West</td>
<td>Aldersbrook Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland Place</td>
<td>37 m east</td>
<td>South, West and North</td>
<td>Aldersbrook Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **School Bus Loading Zones**

   Schedule 16 (School Bus Loading Zones) of the PS-113 By-law is hereby amended by adding the following row:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. **Lower Speed Limits**

Schedule 17.1 (Lower Speed Limits) of the PS-113 By-law is hereby amended by deleting the following row:

Webster Street  
Jensen Street  
A point 60 m north of Bentley Drive

Schedule 17.1 (Lower Speed Limits) of the PS-113 By-law is hereby amended by adding the following rows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Braesye Avenue</th>
<th>Hamilton Road</th>
<th>Dengate Crescent (north intersection)</th>
<th>40 km/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classic Drive</td>
<td>A point 150 m west of Quail Ridge Crescent</td>
<td>A point 69 m east of River Run Terrance</td>
<td>40 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curry Street</td>
<td>Mornington Avenue</td>
<td>Oxford Street E</td>
<td>40 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Crescent</td>
<td>River Run Terrace (south intersection)</td>
<td>River Run Terrace (north intersection)</td>
<td>40 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyle Street</td>
<td>York Street</td>
<td>Dundas Street</td>
<td>40 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mornington Avenue</td>
<td>Curry Street</td>
<td>Connaught Avenue</td>
<td>40 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piccadilly Street</td>
<td>Wellington Street</td>
<td>Colborne Street</td>
<td>40 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterloo Street</td>
<td>Pall Mall Street</td>
<td>Oxford Street E</td>
<td>40 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster Street</td>
<td>Huron St</td>
<td>Jensen Street</td>
<td>40 km/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.

PASSED in Open Council on April 24, 2018.

Matt Brown  
Mayor

Catharine Saunders  
City Clerk

First Reading – April 24, 2018  
Second Reading – April 24, 2018  
Third Reading – April 24, 2018
Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee

Report

6th Meeting of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee
April 23, 2018

PRESENT: Mayor M. Brown, Councillors M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, T. Park

ABSENT: M. Salih, M. Cassidy, J. Zaifman


The meeting was called to order at 4:04 PM.

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

That it BE NOTED that the following pecuniary interests were disclosed:

a) Councillor T. Park disclosed a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of this Report having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)iii) regarding the South Leg of the project in the area of Wellington Street, between Horton and the Thames River, and Wellington Road between the Thames River to Bond Street, by indicating that her family owns adjoining property.

b) Councillor S. Turner disclosed a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of this Report having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)iii) regarding the South Leg of the project in the area of Wellington Street, between Horton and the Thames River, and Wellington Road between the Thames River to Bond Street, by indicating that his family owns adjoining property.

c) Councillor J. Morgan disclosed a pecuniary interest in clause 4.1 of this Report having to do with the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative, particularly as it relates to subsection a)j) regarding the North leg of the project, as well as those portions of parts b), c), d), g) and h) pertaining to that portion of the project, by indicating that this leg of the project passes through the vicinity of his employer, Western University.

2. Consent

None.

3. Scheduled Items

3.1 Public Participation Meeting - Not to be heard before 4:00 PM - Public Consultation - Proposed Expansion of a Gaming Site

That the following actions be taken with respect to the proposed expansion of a gaming site in London:

a) the staff report dated April 23, 2018 BE RECEIVED;

b) the expansion of a gaming site to include entertainment options that would allow for up to 1,200 slots at a casino, and up to 50 live table games, within the jurisdiction of The Corporation of the City of London, BE ENDORSED; it being noted that The Corporation of the City of London prefers an expanded location at the Western Fair District;
c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back on how some or all of the incremental revenues from expanded gaming, paid to The Corporation of the City of London according to the contribution agreement with the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation, could be allocated to prevent, reduce or mitigate harms in the Zone SW 4 bundle from problem gambling, including fostering a partnership between the local community and the gaming operator; and

d) the Province of Ontario and the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario BE REQUESTED to flow the additional revenues from expanded gaming in London back into the community in a more significant way than the current funding arrangement, and that the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to engage with relevant parties;

it being noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee received a communication from J. Dales, Chair, Western Fair Association Board of Governors, with respect to this matter;

it being pointed out that the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions with respect to the proposed expansion of a gaming site in London.

Voting Record:

Moved by: H. Usher
Seconded by: M. van Holst

Motion to approve that the following actions be taken with respect to the proposed expansion of a gaming site in London:

a) the staff report dated April 23, 2018 BE RECEIVED;

it being noted that the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee received a communication from J. Dales, Chair, Western Fair Association Board of Governors, with respect to this matter;

it being pointed out that the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions with respect to the proposed expansion of a gaming site in London.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, and T. Park

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

Moved by: J. Morgan
Seconded by: P. Squire

Motion to approve that part b) commence with the words "the expansion of a gaming site to include entertainment options that would allow for up to 1,200 slots at a casino, and up to 50 live table games, within the jurisdiction of The Corporation of the City of London, BE ENDORSED;"

Yeas: (7): Mayor M. Brown, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, V. Ridley, S. Turner, and T. Park

Nays: (5): M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, and H. Usher

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (7 to 5)
Moved by: J. Morgan
Seconded by: P. Squire

Motion to approve the addition of the words "it being noted that The Corporation of the City of London prefers an expanded location at the Western Fair District;" to the end of part b).

Yeas: (11): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, H. Usher, and T. Park
Nays: (1): S. Turner
Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (11 to 1)

Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: P. Hubert

Motion to approve the following part c)

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back on how some or all of the incremental revenues from expanded gaming, paid to The Corporation of the City of London according to the contribution agreement with the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation, could be allocated to prevent, reduce or mitigate harms in the Zone SW 4 bundle from problem gambling, including fostering a partnership between the local community and the gaming operator;

Nays: (1): P. Squire
Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (11 to 1)

Moved by: J. Morgan
Seconded by: P. Squire

Motion to approve the following part d):

d) the Province of Ontario and the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario BE REQUESTED to flow the additional revenues from expanded gaming in London back into the community in a more significant way than the current funding arrangement; and that Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to engage with relevant parties;

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, and T. Park
Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

Moved by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: B. Armstrong

Motion to approve that the Public Participation Meeting BE OPENED.
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Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, and T. Park

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

**Motion Passed (12 to 0)**

Moved by: P. Hubert
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

Motion to Approve that the Public Participation Meeting BE CLOSED.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, and T. Park

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

**Motion Passed (12 to 0)**

4. **Items for Direction**

4.1 **Bus Rapid Transit – Environmental Assessment Initiative**

That the following actions be taken with respect to the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative:

a) the staff report dated April 23, 2018, entitled “Bus Rapid Transit – Environmental Assessment Initiative”, together with the associated presentation made by the Project Director, Rapid Transit Implementation at the April 23, 2018 meeting of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee, as well as the communication dated April 12, 2018, from C. Butler, BE REFERRED to the May 7, 2018 meeting of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee for consideration and debate, in order to allow additional time for the public and the Council Members to review the information; and

b) the communication dated April 15, 2018, from Councillor M. van Holst, requesting that the Civic Administration assist in clarifying the Municipal Council’s priorities with respect to the Rapid Transit Project, BE RECEIVED.

**Voting Record:**

Moved by: V. Ridley
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That the following actions be taken with respect to the Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Assessment Initiative:

a) the staff report dated April 23, 2018, entitled “Bus Rapid Transit – Environmental Assessment Initiative”, together with the associated presentation made by the Project Director, Rapid Transit Implementation at the April 23, 2018 meeting of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee, as well as the communication dated April 12, 2018, from C. Butler, BE REFERRED to the May 7, 2018 meeting of the Strategic Priorities and Policy Committee for consideration and debate, in order to allow additional time for the public and the Council Members to review the information; and
Yeas: (10): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, and T. Park

Nays: (2): S. Turner, and H. Usher

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (10 to 2)

Moved by: P. Hubert
Seconded by: H. Usher

That the following part b) be approved:

b) the communication dated April 15, 2018, from Councillor M. van Holst, requesting that the Civic Administration assist in clarifying the Municipal Council’s priorities with respect to the Rapid Transit Project, BE RECEIVED.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, and T. Park

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

Moved by: V. Ridley
Seconded by: P. Squire

That the order of business BE CHANGED in order to permit Item 4.1 to be dealt with prior to Item 3.1.

Yeas: (3): B. Armstrong, P. Squire, and V. Ridley

Nays: (9): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, J. Helmer, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, S. Turner, H. Usher, and T. Park

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

Motion Failed (3 to 9)

4.2 Motion on Daytime Meetings

Moved by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: J. Helmer

That the communication dated April 15, 2018, from Councillor M. van Holst, requesting that Council and Standing Committee meetings be scheduled during the day, where possible, with arrangements made to accommodate public participation meetings at appropriate times, BE RECEIVED.

Yeas: (12): Mayor M. Brown, M. van Holst, B. Armstrong, J. Helmer, P. Squire, J. Morgan, P. Hubert, A. Hopkins, V. Ridley, S. Turner, H. Usher, and T. Park

Absent (3): M. Salih, M. Cassidy, and J. Zaifman

Motion Passed (12 to 0)

5. Deferred Matters/Additional Business

None.
6. **Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 10:16 PM.
3.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING – Public Consultation – Proposed Expansion of a Gaming Site

- Ed Betterley – suggesting that the gaming proponent’s approach says a lot about their business tactics and that he expects they will be very aggressive; indicating that they have come in offering buyouts to current employees and he does not feel that this is fair; stating that he is in support of expanded gaming, but that should be done through the casino that offers music entertainment, etc.; suggesting that the benefits that local patrons get are less than other gaming facilities; advising that one issue he has is that staff currently know him by name, but they almost always ask him to open any bags he is carrying, but the same staff don’t ask the female patrons to open any bags they are carrying; adding that the survey may have included 700 people, and asking why weren’t people surveyed outside the casino; and stating that the whole process could use more feedback.

- Mark Horner, racehorse owner, employer, located north of London – speaking to the benefits of expanded gaming at Western Fair; suggesting the proposed model will produce a win/win through the provision of 700 jobs, continuation of horseracing at Western Fair, and providing a full entertainment centre at one location; suggesting that not locating the expanded gaming at Western Fair would devastate horseracing in all of Southwestern Ontario; and strongly urging, on behalf of thousands of horse people, to keep gaming at the Western Fair District.

- Laura, 8-year volunteer with the Western Fair – indicating that she doesn’t believe gambling should be expanded as it is an individual activity that doesn’t promote social interaction; noting that there are a variety of activities at the Western Fair; suggesting that there is adequate gaming opportunities available to people in such places as Windsor, Niagara Falls and Las Vegas, all of which are quite easily accessible; listing all the enjoyable activities already available at the Western Fair District; and requesting that the City not proceed with endorsing expanded gaming.

- A. Straatman, Seelster Farms – indicating that she is in favour of expanded gaming; noting that Seelster Farms is a third generation operation, with approximately 200 horses, 600 acres and 20 full time employees; adding that she is representing more than 500 breeders in Ontario; advising that if Western Fair was not to have gaming, the best case scenario would be irreparable change to horseracing in Ontario; and urging the Municipal Council to vote for a win/win partnership for the Western Fair and Gateway.

- Wayne – indicating that he is totally against expanded gaming in London; suggesting that the Province sees gaming as an opportunity for revenues, but at the same time there are people begging on the street; stating that the Province is selling entertainment, but it is really selling gambling; noting that the Province has privatized gaming so that the general public can no longer access data regarding that activity; stating that gambling will be expanded, but it is just a question of where because it all boils down to dollars; advising that the proponent would not be here if they weren’t going to build a casino; advising that he had a responsibility to himself to express his feelings on expanded gaming and to try and help Council Members recognize the associated repercussions of expanded gaming; and adding that if you could take all of the monies people spend on gambling we would all be in much better shape.

- Jackie – suggesting that the proponent likes London, but that they are looking at other locations than Western Fair; stating that London needs a new casino, but not a bigger more profitable one as the current one is big enough; noting that she wants entertainment and horseracing to stay as it is; indicating that she does not want a hotel as that would take over from the Western Fair and replace current exhibit space; and asking that things stay as they are.
R. Caranci, Paramount Developments Inc. – noting that one of the big factors in developing their property on Dundas Street was the Western Fair, which has been a large part of London for a number of years; indicating support for expanded gambling at the Western Fair District; stating that this part of London has needed rejuvenation, which is happening with projects such as the redevelopment of the former Kelloggs plant; advising that while there was a large outcry when gambling was originally allowed, at the end of the day it has proven to be the right decision; noting that the benefit to the City will be approximately $6 million per year, with a lot of dollars to be invested in the London community; advising that Paramount Developments Inc. purchase their Dundas Street property as they wanted to help rejuvenate the Old East Village, applauding the decision by Gateway and its efforts to negotiate expanded gaming at the Western Fair District.

J. Pastorius, Old East Village Business Improvement Area – making the attached presentation.

S. Merritt, 831 Elias Street – indicating that she understands that the purpose of the public participating meeting is to speak to whether or not gaming should be expanded in London, but noting that the people who have spoken in favour of expanded gaming have done so in the context of horseracing and the Old East Village and have kept referring back to the Western Fair District; stating that she believes that that context is a safe one for expanded gaming and as a result would like to support the position of the Old East Business Improvement Area that all partners, including Gateway, should monitor effects on the local community; advising that because of its long history, Western Fair understands context in the community and how things will need to be rolled out; stating that the best place for expanded gaming is the Western Fair District, and emphasizing that expanded gaming will need to support growth and development of business and the needs of the community; summarizing that she supports, in context, expanded gaming in the Western Fair District.

Pam, Ward 13 – indicating she doesn't feel anywhere informed enough to make a decision with respect to the expansion of gaming and that she is not likely alone; advising that she would like more information and that is critical for all parties as the decision will have a tremendous impact on the entire community; referencing that last week in the local newspaper there was an article indicating that 70% of survey responders supported expanded gaming, but that the online survey was unscientific; advising she is not sure where the gambling proceeds go and asking where the cost benefit analysis is; questioning how it is possible to make an informed decision without sufficient information such as whether or not expanded gaming has the potential to help revenues and provide an economic boost; noting the timing of the upcoming election...nothing like a political campaign to get people to care; and asking that we learn what we need to know.

M. Bray – indicating support for expanded gaming and that she worked on this many years ago; noting she has always felt a casino should be located Downtown and that there are lots of sites between the London Convention Centre and Budweiser Gardens where a casino should go, even if the slot machines were left at the Western Fair District; and indicating that a Downtown casino would bring business into the core.

F. Felici – stating there are other important issues beyond whether or not you support expanded gaming, including being involved in revitalization; advising that you really need to understand that where it operates is as important as if it is operated; and indicating that it could be very exciting and a unique opportunity for the Old East Village if the expanded gaming was located at the Western Fair District.

D. Desantos, 809 Dundas Street – noting that expanded gaming would bring 700-1000 jobs to London and that’s what we need; advising it would also help the construction industry and keeping gaming at the Western Fair District would also help the horse people.
• L. Sibley and L. Griffin, Addiction Services of Thames Valley – providing the attached presentation and concluding by emphasizing the need to have an effective local strategy in place, with adequate resources to offer educational opportunities to promote prevention, reduce the stigma attached with seeking treatment for gambling addiction, and to provide assistance to those affected by gambling addiction.

• Jeff Harmon, Edinburgh Street – advising that he had a very serious history of gambling addiction but now serves on the Addiction Services of Thames Valley Board of Directors; spoke to how gambling consumed him and resulted in the loss of family savings, loss of his professional designation, loss of his job and almost the loss of his marriage and would have most certainly taken his own life if it weren’t for the support of his family and friends; stating that anyone could succumb to a gambling addiction just like he experienced because of the availability of gambling and, in particular, card games; advising that while he personally strongly opposes the expansion of gaming because of his own experience, as a Board Member he takes a more pragmatic view that resources need to be set aside for treatment and prevention to ensure that others don’t end up going down the same path that he did.
Dear Chair and Members:

From the earliest days of the Old East Village revitalization efforts the Western Fair District has been a partner. In collaboration with the City of London and community residents the Old East Village BIA and Western Fair stewarded the redevelopment of Queens Park over more than a ten-year period resulting in the final investment of the area’s first splash pad this spring. In 2010, at the BIA’s request the Western Fair District invested in parking lot improvements including gateway features in their northwest lots. Beyond their current gaming activities, in 2006 Western Fair District embraced the London Farmers Market, a private business located in the Confederation Building. The Market at the Western Fair District has become a significant economic driver for the Old East Village and in 2018 they furthered their commitment to this neighbourhood institution by taking over operations and discussing potential further development of market activities. These projects are examples of Western Fair’s participation as a great community partner and neighbour.

It would have been natural for Londoners who support expanded gaming to have used the Western Fair District as a reference point as they are presently the provider of such activities. As the provider of a variety of events, activities and services, the site is consistently well maintained and well-staffed. This is the standard that Londoners are expecting and putting gambling aside for a moment, in our experience, there have been no negative impacts on revitalization as a result of activities on the Western Fair grounds.

This standard of excellence is also the standard that the Old East Village businesses and community have come to expect from the Western Fair District. The OLG has fulfilled its commitment to community engagement through the recent municipal process; however this is only the first phase of dialogue. If expanded gaming is to remain at the Western Fair District then the successful collaboration between the Old East Village and Western Fair District will need to include other partners such as Gateway Casinos who will also become stakeholders in our community.

If it is decided that expanded gaming is to be permanently located at 900 King Street the BIA would like to request a Community Partnership Committee be implemented as a mechanism for ongoing communication between all invested parties. Groups such as Western Fair District, City of London, Gateway Casinos, Old East Village BIA and community residents should be included, however this list is not exhaustive.

Early discussions suggest that there are great opportunities for cooperation between all groups. The Western Fair District understands and has participated in the urban renewal of Old East Village and with their continued partnership and the partnership of Gateway Casino’s we will have the tools to work towards a development that can support the needs of all involved.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Pastorius  
BIA Manager  

Maria Drangova  
BIA Board Chair
What is the Impact of Gambling Expansion for London?

Presented by
Linda Sibley, Executive Director
Lori Griffith, Coordinator
Jeff Herman, Board Member, ADSTV
(Separate Presentation)

Our Intention

• Share our expertise after providing gambling treatment services for 20 years
• Provide some advice related to new prevention strategies for this community
• Assist with strategy for an expansion of gambling that maximizes benefits and minimizes costs as much as possible
• Remind you that there will be very real costs for some visitors to and residents of this community

ADSTV - IGDPGS

• Our services are listed on our website:
  www.adstv.ca
• We are a treatment facility that also provides education in the schools and the community
• Caseloads are a mix between people with gambling problems and concerned significant others (spouses, adult children, parents)

Gambling by the Numbers

• 1997 to April 2018:
  – 6290 persons identified gambling as a concern
    • 1.66% of London population over 20 years
  – 668 concerned significant others sought help
• When people experience problems with gambling many others are affected:
  – Entire family (sometimes three generations), friends, employers, peers, banks, retail business, charities for example
At Risk Gamblers

Canadian Gambling Digest 2013-2014

- 6.3 percent of people are thought to be “at risk gamblers and problem gamblers.”
- 6.3% of London population = 23,940
- Modernization (which means privatization) and increased accessibility to new forms of gambling may increase risk for Londoners

“You don’t need to have a gambling problem to have problems from gambling”
Questions?
MINUTES OF SETTLEMENT

WHEREAS the Corporation of the City of London served a Notice of Intention to Designate on the Owner of 660 Sunningdale Road pursuant to section 29(1.1) of the Ontario Heritage Act.

AND WHEREAS Owner of 660 Sunningdale Road East, Peter Sergautis, has filed an objection to the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board, pursuant to section 29(5) of the Ontario Heritage Act.

AND WHEREAS 660 Sunningdale Road East is currently legally described as Part Lot 13, Concession 6 (Township of London), City of London, County of Middlesex (the “Property”).

AND WHEREAS the Owner has received approval for a draft plan of subdivision on the Property (the “Plan of Subdivision”).

AND WHEREAS the Owner has submitted a request to Municipal Council to demolish the two remaining barns located on the Property pursuant to section 34(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act.

AND WHEREAS the Parties are desirous of settling the Owner’s objection to the designation filed with the Conservation Review Board, current demolition request, and conditions of draft plan approval.

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF LONDON AND PETER SERGAUTIS AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The City will proceed with designation of lands shown as Block 48 on the Draft Plan of Subdivision attached hereto as Appendix A as being of cultural heritage
value or interest pursuant to the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. O-18 (the “Act”), by bylaw.

2. The Designating By-law shall be in the form attached hereto as Appendix B (the “By-law”).

3. The Owner shall obtain and deposit a Reference Plan for Block 48, at the Owner’s sole expense, in advance of final registration of the Plan of Subdivision (the “Reference Plan”). Upon deposit of the Reference Plan and enactment of the By-law, the Owner will be relieved of preparing a Heritage Impact Assessment for all blocks requiring site plan approval, except adjacent blocks 41 and 45 as shown on Appendix A.

4. The By-law will be registered on title for the Property until such time as the Reference Plan is deposited, creating a new legal description for Block 48, and subsequently the By-law will apply only to Block 48. The Owner hereby consents to an amending bylaw recognizing the new legal description and agrees not to take any steps under the *Ontario Heritage Act* with respect to objecting to this amendment.

5. In the event that final approval of the Plan of Subdivision alters the extent or limits of Block 48, further amendment to the legal description may be required. The Owner hereby consents to an amending bylaw recognizing the new legal description and agrees not to take any steps under the *Ontario Heritage Act* with respect to objecting to this amendment.

6. The conditions to approval of the Draft Plan of Subdivision are attached as Appendix C. The City agrees to recommend to the Approval Authority that Condition 16 may be cleared by incorporating this requirement into a Subdivision Agreement that addresses the future development of Block 48.

7. The Owner agrees to withdraw its objection to the Conservation Review Board within 2 business days of these Minutes being executed by both Parties.

8. The Owner agrees to send a letter to Council for the City of London within 2 business days of these Minutes being executed by both Parties, withdrawing its request to demolish the two remaining barns. The withdrawal of the request and/or the Owner’s failure to appeal a refusal by Municipal Council on the request to demolish will be “without prejudice” to the Owner’s rights to make a future request for demolition under of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. The Owner understands that Council’s consent is required by the *Ontario Heritage Act* process prior to demolition of the two remaining barns, despite submitting the
withdrawal letter, and agrees to make no further submissions at a public participation meeting or Council in consideration of this particular demolition request.

9. This settlement shall not be construed as an agreement or admission on the part of the Owner that the remaining barns have heritage value or are in good condition.

10. This agreement shall bind all future owners or successors of the Owner.

11. This agreement may be executed in counterparts.

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF LONDON

Dated: __________________________________________

Matt Brown, Mayor

Dated: __________________________________________

Cathy Saunders, City Clerk

Dated: __________________________________________

Peter Sergautis

Dated: __________________________________________

Witness:
City Clerk's Office  
City of London  
300 Dufferin Avenue  
London, Ontario  
N6A 4L9  
Letter via email and hand delivered to City Clerk

Attention: Mayor Brown and all members of City Council

Re: Letter of Concern: Planning and Environment Committee for the Supervised Consumption Facility Location (446 York Street) – Scheduled Item 3.6, Delegation by Dr. C. Mackie, Middlesex London Health Unit

Dear Mayor Brown & Members of the City Council:

We were in attendance in the public gallery for the above noted meeting held at City Hall at 5:30 pm on April 30.

We send this letter to express our concern to City Council regarding the process that is being followed by the Planning and Environment Committee (Planning Committee), the lack of data submitted to the Planning Committee by the Middlesex London Health Unit, the lack of stakeholder consultation sought from the affected parties before the endorsement was made and finally the adversity to the landowners (including potential reduction in property values and reduction of market rents due to being located directly beside a safe injection site) by the implied approval of this land use change before a proper application has been submitted.

Dr. Mackie made a slide presentation with remarks to the Planning Committee in order to justify the request for an endorsement for the approval of 446 York St as one of two permanent facilities to function as a Supervised Consumption facility (SCF). He referenced a January 2018 consultant’s report from the Center for Organizational Effectiveness titled Supervised Consumption Facilities. Neighborhood safety was a prime concern expressed in the Consultant’s report. One of Dr. Mackie’s main suggestions was there would be 24-hour security on site. No financial plan has been filed with Health Canada or presented to the Planning Committee. How do we know the funds are available for this? There has been no staffing plan filed with Health Canada, again we cannot confirm if there will be provisions for security. The use of security was a major selling point to the Planning Committee.

As property owners and landlords we have a concern about the security of the site and the potential devaluation of our property and rent rolls. Dr. Mackie put forward an argument about the property values of East Vancouver that have no bearing on the City of London. We will have to secure our properties, spend on infrastructure to accomplish this and it will be financial hardship on us, caused by this facility.
We have concerns about the lack of procedure being followed by the Planning Committee and the London Middlesex Health Unit, as no application had been submitted to the Planning Committee at the time delegation status was granted to the London Middlesex Health Unit. It appears the Planning Committee and the London Middlesex Health Unit are trying to circumvent the established rules. I wonder how other businesses, developers and individuals feel about this precedent being set. Imagine a builder asking for Delegation Status and then saying, I don’t have zoning in place, I don’t have a building plan or business plan, however will you approve a by-law change? That would be completely inappropriate and we feel that is the case here.

One member of the Planning Committee asked about site selection criteria. The consultant’s report laid out several criteria necessary for a successful SCF location, none of this was discussed at the Planning Meeting. The committee endorsed the proposal without verifying the criteria had been met. We do not feel 446 York St. meets the criteria for an appropriate SCF noting its proximity to schools, location of commercial businesses and foot traffic associated with those businesses, high density residential units, tourist areas and volume of traffic. Also, this location is not discrete, thereby not protecting the anonymity of clients entering the facility.

Both the TVDSB and Separate School Board have stated that they do not approve of 446 York St. as it is 100 meters from Beal and 300 meters from CCH. The proposed location at 446 York is directly in the middle of 7 businesses that rely almost exclusively on customers and clients walking into their place of business. There are 17 businesses within 500 meters of this location and if the old Free Press building is redeveloped then there is potential for significantly more.

There are 4 high density residential units within 250 meters of this proposed site and many ground floor apartments in houses surrounding the area.

The volume of traffic is substantial on York St as it is a major east/west artery in the city. Jaywalking is an everyday occurrence to and from the men’s mission, given its location in the middle of the block. Cities that currently have a SCF have had to lower the speed limit around their facilities as the users of the facility when leaving due to their impairment are not able to distinguish between sidewalk and road. To place these people and the drivers of vehicles on York in this risky situation is fool hardy.

The City of London has invested significant money into the Convention Center and the Western Fair District. This District is drawing more trade shows to their facilities and the Casino is expanding. York St. is the logical route for travel between these destinations.

Finally, the building at 446 is wide open and clearly visible by all cars and pedestrians that travel along York St. It is not discrete in the least.
This location fails on all the major criteria that the consultant's report put forward as considerations when selecting a site. It appears the only thing it has going for it is an owner that is willing to lease the building to the Middlesex Health Unit.

For clarity, we are disappointed and very upset that Dr. Mackie of the London Middlesex Health Unit was permitted to address the Planning Committee. This is highly irregular and creates a positive bias for and prejudice to any opposition to this proposed (assumed) land use change.

There was a constant theme put forward by Dr. Mackie and by the Planning committee in that there is an urgency to get a permanent location in place. Commendable, however, there is proper and due process that must be followed. It appears that this is being ignored for political expediency which is inappropriate. Council should act to make sure the rules are followed or precedent will be created that could have long term serious implications to the running of the City.

Sincerely,

Lance Howard and Catherine Bradbury
Business owners and Property Owners
444 York St. and 330 Burwell St.
Planning and Environment Committee
Report

8th Meeting of the Planning and Environment Committee
April 30, 2018

PRESENT: Councillors S. Turner (Chair), A. Hopkins, M. Cassidy, J. Helmer, T. Park, Mayor M. Brown


The meeting was called to order at 4:00 PM.

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

That it BE NOTED that Councillor S. Turner disclosed a pecuniary interest in clause 3.6 of this Report having to do with the location of potential Supervised Consumption Facilities in London, by indicating that his supervisor, Dr. C. Mackie, CEO and Medical Officer of Health, Middlesex-London Health Unit, has delegation status at this meeting.

2. Consent

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That Items 2.1 to 2.7, inclusive, BE APPROVED.


Motion Passed (6 to 0)

2.1 5th Report of the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That, the following actions be taken with respect to the 5th Report of the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee from its meeting held on April 19, 2018:

a) part b) of clause 2.2 of the 4th Report of the EEPAC BE AMENDED to read as follows:

"b) the Environmental Study Report BE REQUIRED to be included in the Request for Proposal";

b) N. Pasato, Senior Planner, BE REQUESTED to attend the next Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee (EEPAC) meeting and provide a written report with respect to the following, related to the Subject Land Status Report on the properties located at 3614, 3630 Colonel Talbot Road and 6621 Pack Road:
i) the current status of the Subject Land Status Report;
ii) the current status of the Environmental Impact Study;
iii) what other studies are currently being undertaken and the timeline for their completion;
iv) what studies are yet to be undertaken as part of the application and detail design; and,
v) how EEPAC will be involved in the review of these studies;

it being noted that the EEPAC received a communication dated January 23, 2018, from Natural Resource Solutions Inc., with respect to this matter;

c) the Civic Administration BE REQUESTED to provide an electronic copy of the South London Wastewater Servicing Study to the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee for its consideration;

d) the Working Group comments appended to the 5th Report of the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee and dated April, 2018 with respect to the Bus Rapid Transit Environment Information Session review and recommendations BE FORWARDED to the Project Director, Rapid Transit, for consideration;

e) the Working Group comments appended to the 5th Report of the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee with respect to the Parker Stormwater Management Facility, Water Balance report BE FORWARDED to P. Titus, Senior Technologist, for consideration; and,

f) the following actions be taken with respect to the Notice of Planning Application for a draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning By-law Amendment for the property located at 600 Sunningdale Road West appended to the 5th Report of the Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee:

i) a Working Group BE ESTABLISHED consisting of S. Levin and C. Dyck to review and report back at the next Environmental and Ecological Planning Advisory Committee meeting with respect to this matter; and,

ii) C. Smith, Senior Planner, BE REQUESTED to provide an electronic copy of the hydrogeological study with respect to this property to the EEPAC; and,

g) clauses 1.1, 2.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 to 3.9, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2 and 6.2 BE RECEIVED.

Motion Passed
2.2 Application - 467- 469 Dufferin Avenue (OZ-8804)

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, in response to the letter of appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board, received December 14, 2017, submitted by Lisa Lansink (Marigold Homes Inc.), relating to Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment File Number OZ-8804 concerning the property located at 467-469 Dufferin Avenue, the Ontario Municipal Board BE ADVISED that the Municipal Council has reviewed its decision relating to this matter and sees no reason to alter it. (2018-D09)

Motion Passed

2.3 Application - 661 to 667 Talbot Street (Z-8659)

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, in response to the letter of appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board, received November 27, 2017 submitted by Ian Flett, on behalf of AnnaMaria Valastro, relating to the Zoning By-law Amendment Z.1-172622 concerning the properties located at 661 and 667 Talbot Street, the Ontario Municipal Board BE ADVISED that the Municipal Council has reviewed its decision relating to this matter and sees no reason to alter it. (2018-D09)

Motion Passed

2.4 Application - Riverbend Golf Community Phase 9 (Block 1 Plan 33M-721) (P-8762)

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That, on the recommendation of the Senior Planner, Development Services, based on the application by Sifton Properties Limited, to exempt the following lands from Part Lot Control, the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on May 8, 2018 to exempt Block 1 Registered Plan 33M-721 from the Part Lot Control provisions of Subsection 50(5) of the Planning Act. (2018-D09)

Motion Passed

2.5 Application - 660 Sunningdale Road East - Applewood Subdivision Phase 1 - Special Provisions (39T-09501)

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That, on the recommendation of the Manager, Development Planning, the following actions be taken with respect to entering into a Subdivision Agreement between The Corporation of the City of London and Extra Realty Limited, for the subdivision of land over Concession 6 S, Part Lot 13, situated on the north side of Sunningdale Road, west of Adelaide Street North, municipally known as 660 Sunningdale Road East:
a) the Special Provisions, to be contained in a Subdivision Agreement between The Corporation of the City of London and Extra Realty Limited, for the Applewood Subdivision, Phase 1 (39T-09501) appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “A”, BE APPROVED;

b) the Applicant BE ADVISED that Development Finance has summarized the claims and revenues appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “B”; and,

c) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute this Agreement, any amending agreements and all documents required to fulfill its conditions. (2018-D09)

Motion Passed

2.6 Application - 3804 South Winds Drive - Deer Creek Subdivision - Special Provisions (39T-09503)

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That, on the recommendation of the Manager, Development Planning, the following actions be taken with respect to entering into a Subdivision Agreement between The Corporation of the City of London and Southside Group, for the subdivision of land over Part Lot 74, West of the North Branch of the Talbot Road, (Geographic Township of London), situated on the north end of South Winds Drive, municipally known as 3804 South Winds Drive:

a) the Special Provisions, to be contained in a Subdivision Agreement between The Corporation of the City of London and Southside Group, for the Deer Creek Subdivision, (39T-09503) appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “A”, BE APPROVED;

b) the Applicant BE ADVISED that Development Finance has summarized the claims and revenues appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “B”; and,

c) the Mayor and the City Clerk BE AUTHORIZED to execute this Agreement, any amending agreements and all documents required to fulfill its conditions. (2018-D09)

Motion Passed

2.7 560 and 562 Wellington Street - Status Update and Request to Undertake Further Study (OZ-8462)

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, the following actions be taken with respect to the application of GSP Group Inc. relating to the property located at 560 and 562 Wellington Street:

a) the staff report dated April 30, 2018, entitled "GSP Group Inc., 560 and 562 Wellington Street, Status update and request to undertake further study" BE RECEIVED for information; and,
b) the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, BE DIRECTED to undertake a review of the existing plans, policies, and guidelines applying to the properties surrounding Victoria Park and to consider a comprehensive plan for the properties surrounding the Park. (2018-D09)

Motion Passed

3. Scheduled Items

3.1 Public Participation Meeting - Application - Archaeological Management Plan (OZ-8771)

Moved by: M. Cassidy
Seconded by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, with the advice of the Heritage Planner, the following actions be taken with respect to the application of the City of London relating to the Archaeological Management Plan for all properties in the City of London:

a) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “A” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on May 8, 2018 to amend the Official Plan to add a new subsection to Section 19.2.2. ii) (Guideline Documents) to add Archaeological Management Plan (2017) to the list of Guideline Documents;

b) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “B” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on May 8, 2018 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z.-1, (in conformity with the Official Plan, as amended in part a) above), to delete Section 3.8. 2) s) h-18 (Holding Zone Provisions) and replace with new wording to require an archaeological assessment to be undertaken; and,

c) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “C”, BE INTRODUCED at a future meeting of Municipal Council to amend The London Plan by ADDING the Archaeological Management Plan (2017) to Policy 1721_ of the Our Tools policies when The London Plan is in force and effect;

it being noted that technical edits to Section 6 of the Archaeological Management Plan (2017) have been made to provide consistent wording with the Provincial Policy Statement and The London Plan to require an archaeological assessment for site plan applications;

it being further noted that no individuals spoke at the public participation meeting associated with this matter;
it being also noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:

- the Archaeological Management Plan (2017) was adopted by Municipal Council at its meeting on July 25, 2017 and directed the following actions be taken:
  - to delete from the list of Guideline Documents for the Official Plan (1989) reference to the Archaeological Master Plan (1996) from the Official Plan and to replace it with reference to the Archaeological Management Plan (2017) to the list of Guideline Documents in the Official Plan (1989);
  - to delete reference to the Archaeological Master Plan (1996) from The London Plan and to replace it with reference to the Archaeological Management Plan (2017); and,
  - to delete the wording of the h-18 zone of the Zoning By-law, Z.-1, and replace it with wording consistent with the adopted Archaeological Management Plan (2017);
- archaeological resources contribute to our understanding of the past. Our stewardship and management of archaeological resources shows our respect for past occupation, settlement, and cultures that have had an influence on our City;
- the conservation of archaeological resources is a matter of Provincial Interest, pursuant to Section 2(d) of the Planning Act, with policies requiring archaeological assessments in the Provincial Policy Statement (2014). Provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act protect archaeological sites from inappropriate alteration and disturbance, and help to ensure that archaeological fieldwork in Ontario is undertaken in compliance with the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (2011);
- archaeological resources are best protected through the planning and development process. The land use planning process, governed by the Planning Act or the Environmental Assessment Act, requires approval authority to integrate the requirements of the Ontario Heritage Act and the Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act regarding known archaeological sites and areas of archaeological potential;
- the City must ensure that appropriate policies and practices are in place to conserve archaeological resources in the planning and development process; and,
- replacing the Archaeological Master Plan (1996) with the Archaeological Management Plan (2017) will bring the City of London’s archaeological resource management policies into alignment with current legislation and regulatory framework, and bring our land use planning tools into conformity. (2018-R01)


Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Voting Record:

Moved by: A. Hopkins
Seconded by: M. Cassidy

Motion to open the public participation meeting.

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Moved by: M. Cassidy  
Seconded by: Mayor M. Brown

Motion to close the public participation meeting.


3.2 Public Participation Meeting - Application - 200 Villagewalk Boulevard (Z-8867)

Moved by: M. Cassidy  
Seconded by: J. Helmer

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, with respect to the application of 1904812 Ontario Ltd., c/o Domus Development London Inc., relating to the property located at 200 Villagewalk Boulevard, the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “A” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on May 8, 2018 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z.-1, (in conformity with the Official Plan), to change the zoning of the subject property FROM a Residential R6 Special Provision/ Residential R7 Special Provision/ Office Special Provision (R6-5(26)/R7(10)/OF(1)) Zone, TO a Residential R6 Special Provision/ Residential R7 Special Provision/ Office Special Provision (R6-5(26)/R7(10)/OF(_)) Zone;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made oral submissions regarding these matters;

it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:

- the recommended Zoning By-law Amendment would allow the development of a building with a height and setbacks consistent with what was already approved through minor variance applications for a professional office building on the site. The requested addition of up to 790 square metres of medical/dental office as a permitted use would allow for an office use that is likely to create a more active frontage than the professional office use already permitted on the site, bringing the permitted uses into greater conformity with the Official Plan and The London Plan policies that apply to the site; and,

- the recommended Zoning By-law Amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, conforms with the Official Plan and The London Plan, and allows for an additional type of office use to occupy an already-approved office building which is under construction. The recommended Zoning By-law Amendment is also a condition of consent application (B.050/17), which is necessary to facilitate the expansion of the site to accommodate the requested parking supply. (2018-D09)


Motion Passed (6 to 0)
Voting Record:
Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: M. Cassidy
Motion to open the public participation meeting.

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins
Motion to close the public participation meeting.

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

3.3 Public Participation Meeting - Official Plan, The London Plan and Downtown Plan Criteria for Downtown Temporary Surface Commercial Parking Lots (O-8876)

Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, the following actions be taken with respect to the application of The Corporation of the City of London relating to the properties located within the boundaries of the Downtown as defined by the Official Plan:

a) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix "A" BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on May 8, 2018 to amend the Official Plan to change Section 4.1.10 iv) (Parking/Surface Parking Lots) to add Official Plan criteria to evaluate requests for temporary extensions to existing surface commercial parking lots;

b) the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “B”, BE INTRODUCED at a future Council meeting to amend The London Plan by ADDING new policies to the Downtown Place Type policies and the Temporary Use Provisions of the Our Tools policies when The London Plan is in force and effect; and,

c) the changes to Policy 5.2 in the guideline document “Our Move Forward – London’s Downtown Plan”, appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix “C” BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on May 8, 2018 to add criteria to evaluate requests for temporary extensions to existing surface commercial parking lots;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individual indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made an oral submission regarding these matters;
it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reason:

the purpose and effect of the recommended action is to add criteria to provide a consistent basis for evaluating requests for temporary commercial parking lot extensions and meet the long term goal of replacing surface lots with development that includes underground or above ground parking spaces. (2018-D08)


Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Voting Record:
Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: A. Hopkins
Motion to open the public participation meeting.


Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Moved by: M. Cassidy
Seconded by: T. Park
Motion to close the public participation meeting.


Motion Passed (6 to 0)

3.4 Public Participation Meeting - Old East Village Dundas Street Corridor Secondary Plan - Draft Terms of Reference (O-8879)

Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: M. Cassidy

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, the Terms of Reference for the Old East Village Dundas Street Corridor Secondary Plan, appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018 as Appendix A, BE ENDORSED;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individual indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made an oral submission regarding these matters. (2018-D08)


Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Voting Record:
Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: A. Hopkins
Motion to open the public participation meeting.

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: T. Park

Motion to close the public participation meeting.


3.5 Public Participation Meeting - Application - 100 Kellogg Lane (Z-8893)

Moved by: J. Helmer
Seconded by: Mayor M. Brown

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Planning and City Planner, based on the application by the Corporation of the City of London, relating to the property located at 100 Kellogg Lane (south portion), the proposed by-law appended to the staff report dated April 30, 2018, as Appendix "A" BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council meeting to be held on May 8, 2018 to amend Zoning By-law No. Z-1, (in conformity with the Official Plan), to amend Section 40.4 a) 19) of the Light Industrial Special Provision (LI1(19)) Zone to add “place of entertainment in association with a commercial recreation establishment” and “amusement games establishment in association with a commercial recreation establishment” to the list of permitted uses;

it being noted that no individuals spoke at the public participation meeting associated with this matter;

it being further noted that the Municipal Council approves this application for the following reasons:

· the recommendation is consistent with Provincial Policy Statement 2014;

· the recommendation is consistent with the Light Industrial policies of the Official Plan;

· the recommendation provides for a compatible adaptive reuse of a large industrial site located within a community in transition comprised of legacy industrial uses, residential uses and new commercial land use policies;

· the recommended amendment is consistent with the intent of the vision expressed by the applicant at the public meeting on October 10, 2017, but was not specifically identified within the list of permitted uses in the Zoning By-law amendment at that time; and,

· the recommended amendment will facilitate the building permit to allow for the entertainment and amusement type uses proposed to be established as part of the commercial recreation facility that is currently under renovation.

(2018-D09)


Motion Passed (6 to 0)
Voting Record:
Moved by: M. Cassidy  
Seconded by: T. Park  
Motion to open the public participation meeting.

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

Moved by: M. Cassidy  
Seconded by: J. Helmer  
Motion to close the public participation meeting.

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

3.6 Delegation - Dr. C. Mackie, Middlesex London Health Unit - Supervised Consumption Facility Location  
Moved by: Mayor M. Brown  
Seconded by: T. Park  
That, the following actions be taken with respect to supervised consumption facility locations:

a) the provision of supervised consumption facilities in London BE ENDORSED;

b) the provision of supervised consumption services at 241 Simcoe St and 446 York St BE ENDORSED subject to the properties meeting the criteria for the location of supervised consumption facility in accordance with Council policy "Siting of Supervised Consumption Facilities (SCF) and Temporary Overdose Prevention Sites (TOPS)";

c) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to consult with the London Police Services, Middlesex London Health Unit, Regional HIV AIDS Connection, London and Middlesex Housing Corporation, Resident and Business Associations of an area being considered for a proposed supervised consumption site, with respect to the preparation of a Neighborhood Safety Plan; and,

d) that Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to consult with the London and Middlesex Housing Corporation to work on any required changes to the Articles of Incorporation and Shareholder agreements;

it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee heard the attached presentation from Dr. Mackie, Medical Officer of Health and Chief Executive Officer, Middlesex-London Health Unit, with respect to this matter;
it being noted that the Planning and Environment Committee reviewed and received the following communications with respect to this matter:

- a communication dated April 22, 2018, from G. Coakley, Coakleys;
- a communication dated April 26, 2018, from L. McCardle, 31 Cartwright Street;
- a communication dated April 26, 2018, from B. Speagle, 434 Wilkins Street;
- a communication dated April 26, 2018, from A. Lukach, President, SoHo Community Association;
- a communication dated April 26, 2018, from D.J. Lizotte, by e-mail;
- a communication dated April 26, 2018, from C. Bodkin, 15 Ravenglass Crescent;
- a communication dated April 26, 2018, from M. Richings, Founder, Red Ten Women's Peer Support Network;
- a communication from D. Ruston, by e-mail; and,
- a communication dated April 27, 2018, from J. Densky, by e-mail. (2018-S08)

Yeas: (5): A. Hopkins, M. Cassidy, J. Helmer, T. Park, and Mayor M. Brown
Recuse: (1): S. Turner

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

Voting Record:
Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: J. Helmer

Motion to grant Dr. C. Mackie an extension of his delegation beyond five minutes.

Yeas: (5): A. Hopkins, M. Cassidy, J. Helmer, T. Park, and Mayor M. Brown
Recuse: (1): S. Turner

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

4. Items for Direction
None.

5. Deferred Matters/Additional Business
None.

6. Adjournment
The meeting adjourned at 7:06 PM.
Dr. Boksman, 609-240 Villagewalk Boulevard – indicating that it is a Tricar building just to the south of this site; stating that when the Planning Committee amended the policy for their building, decided to give them twelve visitors parking spots for a one hundred fifty unit condominium building; indicating that the building that is in process next door is also one hundred fifty units; advising that they currently have situations on holidays, special weekends, where they have in excess of twenty cars parking on the road because there is insufficient parking for their two high rise buildings; advising that he is not opposed to this building being zoned for a dental building because he, as one himself, is retired; finding it unusual and he is totally against the fact of reducing the parking that is required for this site; educating the Committee, referring to his dental practice, in the North end of the city, because the rents are so high, dental offices run in the approximately seventy percent overhead range and so many of them get together to have a better, more efficient use of space and try to decrease or mitigate that overhead cost; believing that, in this building, if they are looking at eight thousand five hundred and three square feet, a portion of that being dental office, he foresees that probably it will probably be a group practice going into that building; pointing out that just to handle the parking for that alone, irrespective of everything else that is involved with that building, running through the statistics for their past practice with two dentists; advising that they had two dentists, three office receptionists, one office manager, four hygienists, two dental assistants, one floater, eight patients in the rooms at one time and at least eight, if not more, waiting in the waiting room; stating that, just for a two person dental practice, they would need approximately thirty parking spots; going on record that he does not support this application as far as reducing the number of parking spots; thinking that is the wrong way to go since they already, south of Sunningdale Road, have massive parking problems because of the two high rise buildings that Tricar has built and sometimes people from their area, south of Sunningdale, already park on the street to the North of Sunningdale.

Mike, Domus Developments, applicant – expressing support for the staff recommendation; indicating that there is one dentist going in on the main floor; advising that this is a four storey building and they are only going in for one level of dental and the other three floors are leased out for office use; noting that their office is going there; indicating that they are taking three thousand feet and have four cars; reiterating that the office component is not going to be intense in how much parking they will take; knowing that the dental will take more which is why they added the severance to add in more parking for that basic reason; advising that the people who sign the lease are advised that they have a certain number of parking spaces that they are allowed so they are aware of their parking allowances; advising that he does know what Dr. Boksman is talking about across the road, where they park on the road and they have nothing to do with that, you are looking at a residential versus an office component.
3.3 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING – Official Plan, The London Plan and Downtown Plan Criteria for Downtown Temporary Surface Commercial Parking Lots (O-8876)

- Jennifer Granger, President, Architectural Conservancy of Ontario (ACO), London Branch – indicating that ACO supports the goal of replacing surface parking lots with development that would include underground or aboveground parking rather than tearing down heritage properties for a development; stating that it seems to them that developers and investors are just sitting on parking lots that they have owned for years until they finally decide to build or sell the land for a development; noting Camden Terrace on Talbot Street was torn down by Rygar Developments to build a high rise and we are still waiting for them to begin building the high rise; believing the situation might have been avoided if there had been more surface lots available for them to build on.
3.4 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING – Old East Village Dundas Street Corridor Secondary Plan – Draft Terms of Reference (O-8879)

• Jen Pastorius, Manager, Old East Village Business Improvement Area – see attached communication.
I am happy to be here today to speak to the Old East Village Secondary Plan Terms of Reference.

First I would like to thank John Fleming and his team and Edward Soldo and his group for their early and collaborative work on this project.

When we are talking about infrastructure and development projects, Old East Village has a lot going on.

Adelaide Grade Separation EA just had its last Public Information Centre before moving into the detailed design phase.

Rapid Transit lanes have been designated for King Street and Dundas between Adelaide and Ontario.

And, the work to replace over 100 year old infrastructure on Dundas in the Village will be completed in the coming weeks.

And there are multiple potential residential and commercial developments being proposed or planned.

The Old East Village Secondary Plan and its terms of reference acknowledge these other large scale private and city projects and their relationship to the Old East Village which is so important in identifying opportunities for area improvements that are timely and coordinated.

This report list for review many such potential improvements – things like, a greening plan, cycle lanes, street treatments, traffic flow, area intensification, connectivity from Dundas to King Street and the municipal parking lots to name a few.

We are pleased to support the recommendation to endorse the Terms of Reference. It is exciting to work with Planning and Engineering to review potential opportunities for improvements in the area. The last time Dundas Street scape changed significantly was the straightening of the Dundas curve, which was more than 20 years ago.

So as you can imagine, the BIA is pleased to assist this process moving forward and to work with City staff and the Old East Village Community Association to encourage Old East Villagers to get involved in shaping this comprehensive and potentially transformative secondary plan.
Siting of Supervised Consumption Facilities
Planning and Environment Committee
April 30, 2018

Supervised Consumption: Does it work?

It’s already working right here...

186 King: Temporary Site

Temporary Overdose Prevention Site
(As at April 25, 2018)

- Two thousand and ninety-nine (2099) client visits
- Almost 700 unique clients
- Three overdoses, all handled smoothly
- Hundreds of clients connecting with other services, including drug counselling and treatment services
- No increase in neighbourhood issues
- Several different neighbours noting REDUCTION in needle waste

What about the neighbourhood?

Do supervised consumption sites increase crime? The answer to that is a flat no

Janice Abbott is the woman who launched the first-ever such site in a residential building in Canada

Celine Butler - CBC News - Posted: Apr 25, 2018 4:38 PM ET | Last Updated: April 25
Research Evidence Summary

- No increased in drug-related crime
  (Wood et al., Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy, 2006)
- Reductions in public disorder

Are property values affected?

Property Values in Vancouver’s Downtown Eastside

Property Value Increase over 10 years
Downtown Vancouver Neighbourhoods

Themes
- Personal safety
- Security of property
- Property values
- Trust

Public Meetings
For 446 York and 241 Simcoe

→ Neighbourhood Safety Planning
1. **Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest**

   That it BE NOTED that no pecuniary interests were disclosed.

2. **Consent**

   Moved by: M. Salih  
   Seconded by: B. Armstrong  

   That Items 2.2 and 2.4 BE APPROVED.

   Yeas: (6): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, B. Armstrong, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown

   **Motion Passed (6 to 0)**

2.2 **London's Homeless Prevention System - Homelessness Partnering Strategy Funding Agreement Amendment #4**

   Moved by: M. Salih  
   Seconded by: B. Armstrong  

   That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Neighbourhood, Children and Fire Services, the proposed by-law, as appended to the staff report dated May 1, 2018, BE INTRODUCED at the Municipal Council Meeting to be held on May 8, 2018, to:

   a) approve the Homelessness Partnering Strategy Community Entity Designated Communities Funding Agreement, Amendment #4, between Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Employment and Social Development Canada and The Corporation of the City of London, substantially in the form appended to the above-noted by-law;

   b) authorize the Mayor and the City Clerk to execute the above-noted Funding Agreement;

   c) delegate authority to the Managing Director, Neighbourhood, Children and Fire Services to undertake all the administrative, financial and reporting acts, including the Annual Work Plan and Mid-Year Reporting, that are necessary in connection with the above-noted Funding Agreement;

   d) delegate authority to the Managing Director, Neighbourhood, Children and Fire Services to approve any further Amendments to the Homelessness Partnering Strategy Community Entity Funding Agreement if the Amendments are substantially in the form of the above-noted Funding Agreement;
e) authorize the Mayor and the City Clerk to execute any further Amendments to the above-noted Funding Agreement; and,
f) approve the Projects to receive funding under the Homelessness Partnering Strategy for the period April 1, 2018 to March 31, 2019; it being noted that Sub-Project Funding Agreements will be entered into with the organizations receiving funding in accordance with the authority delegated to the Managing Director, Neighbourhood, Children and Fire Services. (2018-S14)

Motion Passed

2.4 5th Report of the Diversity, Inclusion and Anti-Oppression Advisory Committee

Moved by: M. Salih
Seconded by: B. Armstrong

That the 5th Report of the Diversity, Inclusion and Anti-Oppression Advisory Committee, from its meeting held on April 19, 2018, BE RECEIVED.

Motion Passed

2.1 Short Term Accommodations

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: M. Salih

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Development and Compliance Services and Chief Building Official, the following actions be taken with respect to Short Term Accommodations:

a) the staff report dated May 1, 2018 BE RECEIVED;
b) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to report back to the Community and Protective Services Committee (CPSC) with respect to the potential administration of transient tax on short term rentals; and,
c) the Civic Administration BE REQUESTED to report back to the CPSC with respect to an update on the status of short term rentals in London, in approximately one year;

it being noted that communications from C. Keeling, C. Robichaud and T. McBride were received with respect to this matter. (2018-S11)

Motion Passed

Voting Record:

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: M. Salih

Motion to approve part a).

Yeas: (6): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, B. Armstrong, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown

Motion Passed (6 to 0)
Moved by: Mayor M. Brown  
Seconded by: M. Salih  
Motion to approve parts b) and c).  

Yeas: (4): M. Cassidy, B. Armstrong, M. Salih, and Mayor M. Brown  
Nays: (2): V. Ridley, and P. Squire  

**Motion Passed (4 to 2)**

2.3 4th Report of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee  
Moved by: V. Ridley  
Seconded by: M. Cassidy  
That the following actions be taken with respect to the 4th Report of the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee from its meeting held on April 5, 2018:  

a) clause 2.1 of the Report BE REFERRED back to the Animal Welfare Advisory Committee for consultation with parties currently conducting a similar campaign in London to confirm that efforts are not duplicated; and,  
b) clauses 1.1, 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 5.1, 5.2 and 6.1, BE RECEIVED.  

Yeas: (5): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, B. Armstrong, M. Salih, and Mayor M. Brown  
Nays: (1): P. Squire  

**Motion Passed (5 to 1)**

3. Scheduled Items  
3.1 A Day in a Chair  
Moved by: V. Ridley  
Seconded by: Mayor M. Brown  
That the following actions be taken with respect to the delegation from A. McGaw with respect to A Day in a Chair:  

a) the Mayor's Office BE REQUESTED to assist in the organization of this initiative along with Ms. McGaw; and,  
b) the attached submission from A. McGaw, BE RECEIVED with respect to this matter.  

Yeas: (6): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, B. Armstrong, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown  

**Motion Passed (6 to 0)**

3.2 By-law L.-130-71  
Moved by: V. Ridley  
Seconded by: B. Armstrong  
That the delegation from J. Schlemmer, Neighbourhood Legal Services with respect to a proposed amendment to the Vehicle for Hire by-law, BE RECEIVED. (2018-P01)
Yeas: (6): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, B. Armstrong, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown

Motion Passed (6 to 0)

3.3 Public Participation Meeting - Adult Live Entertainment Parlour - Location Substitution Request

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: M. Salih

That NO AMENDMENT BE MADE to the Business Licensing By-law L.-131-16 with respect to the application made to substitute an existing licensed Adult Entertainment Parlour location at 2010 Dundas Street to a proposed location at 802 Exeter Road;

it being noted that the attached presentation from O. Katolyk, Chief Municipal Law Enforcement Officer, was received with respect to this matter;

it being further noted that a communication from M. Quarcooome, Weston Consulting, was received with respect to this matter;

it being pointed out that at the public participation meeting associated with these matters, the individuals indicated on the attached public participation meeting record made an oral submission regarding this matter. (2018-P09)

Yeas: (5): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown
Nays: (1): B. Armstrong

Motion Passed (5 to 1)

Voting Record:

Moved by: V. Ridley
Seconded by: Mayor M. Brown

Motion to open the public participation meeting.

Yeas: (4): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, M. Salih, and Mayor M. Brown
Absent (2): B. Armstrong, and P. Squire

Motion Passed (4 to 0)

Moved by: M. Salih
Seconded by: Mayor M. Brown

Motion to close the public participation meeting.

Yeas: (6): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, B. Armstrong, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown

Motion Passed (6 to 0)
4. Items for Direction

4.1 2nd Report of Childcare Advisory Committee

Moved by: M. Salih  
Seconded by: Mayor M. Brown

That the following actions be taken with respect to the 2nd Report of the Childcare Advisory Committee from its meeting held on April 10, 2018:

a) the attached 2017 Childcare Advisory Committee Work Plan Summary BE RECEIVED;

b) the attached 2018 Work Plan for the Childcare Advisory Committee BE APPROVED; and,

c) clauses 1.1, 1.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6 and 5.9 BE APPROVED.

Yeas: (5): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown  
Absent (1): B. Armstrong

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

4.2 Vehicle for Hire By-law - One Year Review

Moved by: V. Ridley  
Seconded by: Mayor M. Brown

That the following actions be taken with respect to a one-year review of the Vehicle for Hire By-law:

a) the report on ridership statistics for the initial full year of the Vehicle For Hire By-law being in force and effect (April 2017 – March 2018) BE RECEIVED; it being noted that the total ridership has increased with the introduction of private vehicles for hire as a transportation option;

b) the Civic Administration BE DIRECTED to:

i) consult with the vehicle for hire industry in an effort to draft amendments to the Vehicle For Hire By-law;

ii) report back at a future meeting of the Community and Protective Services Committee (CPSC) with the results of the consultation; and,

iii) investigate and report back to the CPSC with respect to ways that conversion costs for accessible vehicles may be mitigated;

c) that the requests for delegation status from N. Abbasey, F. Bander and B. Howell BE REFERRED to the above-noted consultation with the Civic Administration;

it being noted that a public participation meeting, with respect to this matter, will be held at a later date. (2018-P01/P09)

Yeas: (6): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, B. Armstrong, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown

Motion Passed (6 to 0)
4.3 Opioid Crisis Working Group - Update - C. Mackie

Moved by: Mayor M. Brown
Seconded by: M. Cassidy

That the communication dated April 4, 2018, from C. Mackie, Medical Officer of Health, MLHU, with respect to an update on the Opioid Crisis Working Group, BE RECEIVED. (2018-S08)

Yeas: (5): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown
Absent (1): B. Armstrong

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

5. Deferred Matters/Additional Business

5.1 Deferred Matters List

Moved by: M. Salih
Seconded by: V. Ridley

That the Deferred Matters List for the Community and Protective Services Committee, as at April 23, 2018, BE RECEIVED.

Yeas: (5): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown
Absent (1): B. Armstrong

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

5.2 (ADDED) Request for Delegation - T. Nault - Naloxone Kits

Moved by: M. Salih
Seconded by: P. Squire

That the delegation request from T. Nault, Schulich School of Medicine & Dentistry, with respect to the a proposal to implement naloxone kits at city owned AED machines in London, BE APPROVED for the May 29, 2018 meeting of the Community and Protective Services Committee; It being noted that a communication from T. Nault was received with respect to this matter.

Yeas: (5): M. Cassidy, V. Ridley, M. Salih, P. Squire, and Mayor M. Brown
Absent (1): B. Armstrong

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

6. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:39 PM.
Good afternoon Members of the Community and Protective Services Committee.

My name is Alicia McGaw and 16 years ago I was injured in a car accident leaving me paralyzed from the waist down and now this chair is what I use to get around. After my accident I had a new view of the world at just over a foot shorter. Things I could reach before are now out of reach such as soap dispensers in bathrooms. I find that in most office buildings there is only one washroom that has automatic door openers. Usually this is on the first floor and is no good if someone that needs it is on the 5th floor.

I am still able to operate my own vehicle with the use of hand controls. When driving my van it is tough at times to find parking in the city due to the lack of spots. When I can't find an accessible spot I sometimes need to park on an angle and take up 2 spots as a result in the past my van has been vandalized. When I do find a spot sometimes the spots are to small for me to get out using the ramp that deploys from the passenger side as it is just a normal size spot with a handicap marker. This issue is not just a problem for people in chairs but it is also a hazard for people who use a walker or a cane.

Before my accident I was an able bodied person that never put thought into where a soap dispenser in a bathroom was or worried about a step or two to get into a shop. What I am purposing to you today is not to jump and make any changes. What I am asking is just for you to see the world from my view and spend a day in a chair to get a realistic understanding of how difficult it can be to live in the city of London with a disability. I would like for you to just have a “Normal” day but do it from a chair. Go and do what you would normally do, go to meetings, lunch with colleagues or friends, travel the city but only using para transit, an accessible taxi or the LTC. Hopefully with this experience we can make the City of London a lot more friendly to those who struggle with mobility issues.

Thank you for letting me have this time to speak with you all today are there any questions for me.

Parking
Spots should be stander size
maybe if in large lots if the spots at the end of row closes to building

For every 150 spot in a lot only 5 need to be accessible. And only 1 of every 6 accessible spots need to be big enough for van accessible.

Bathroom
Dispenser is at good height but still can not reach as it is behind counter and should be on side walls.
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING COMMENTS

3.3 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING – Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – Location Substitution Request

- M. Quarcoopome, Weston Consulting – indicating that he is speaking on behalf of the owners of Townplace Suites and Southside Grill who own and operate businesses immediately adjacent to 802 Exeter Road; stating that he was brought in by these owners to give a planning opinion on the adequacy of the proposed location; noting the attached map he prepared to show the area surrounding the proposed location; stating that the properties belonging to his clients would be the most impacted by the relocation of the Adult Live Entertainment Parlour to 802 Exeter Road, however, there are many other businesses and hotels in the area; stating that it is also near the off-ramp of Highway 401 and can be considered a gateway into London; indicating that having this establishment relocated to the area may not give the correct impression to visitors; stating that along Bessemer Road there is an existing employment neighbourhood; acknowledging that the Parlour is a business and has a right to operate but a better area needs to be found for it to be relocated to; stating that the hotels in the proposed relocation area typically have forty percent long-term stay guests occupying them as well as families travelling for recreational tournaments, so there will definitely be youth in the area; indicating that having an adult entertainment facility in this area will affect the profitability and long-term sustainability of the other businesses in the area.

- S. King, General Manager, Homewood Suites and President of the London Hotel Association – stating that they welcome hundreds of families every weekend and thousands of families over the course of a year to all of the hotel locations in London; noting that three of the hotels in that area are extended stay facilities and forty percent of the guests are there for insurance reasons, etc. and that those people are, therefore, considered residents of the area; indicating that there are regularly children playing in the areas around the hotels and in the vicinity of the proposed Adult Live Entertainment Parlour which is a concern; stating that the Hotel Association has been working with the London Police Services Anti-Trafficking Unit for years trying to remove human sex trade workers from the hotels due to the type of business it brings to the area; pointing out that the prime time for the business wishing to relocate is late evenings and weekends and that is also the prime time for the hotel business, whereas in its current location the nearby businesses are typically Monday to Friday, nine to five PM, so they would not have the same safety concerns.

- B. Ainskell, General Manager, Townplace Suites by Marriott – stating that the hotels in this area do host tens of thousands of families every year in that neighbourhood and many of those families are extended stay; noting that these hotels are home for some of these families; indicating that Exeter Road is a major entrance to London and having an Adult Live Entertainment Establishment in this area will leave a bad taste in the mouth of visitors and he hopes that will be considered.

- C. Vitsentzatos, Vitsentzatos & Vitsentzatos – stating that he is counsel for the applicant in this matter; indicating that he is speaking in favour of the application for the proposed substitution request; stating that the new by-law has new signage requirements and allows for the mobility of this license; indicating that this application conforms to the by-law; stating that the present location is not beneficial to the residents of Argyle and East London, that it is near a daycare, library, residential dwellings, religious institutions, schools and shopping; stating that they heard from many residents of east London during the by-law process that they want it out of that area and this is an opportunity to remove the parlour from its present location to a place that will have minimal impact on the people of London; indicating that the current proposed location has a body rub parlour that is not being questioned or raising any concerns and is near an Ontario Provincial Police station and commercial establishments; noting that concerns have been raised, and the applicant is willing to work with the hotel industry on a solution; noting that one concern raised is with respect to hotel bookings decreasing and a loss of profitability, but there is no proof that will be the case; indicating that there have been no concerns raised regarding the body rub parlour in the area and he believes there has been no negative impact on the surrounding community; noting that another concern raised was with respect to human trafficking but stating that denying the request will not address the issue; indicating that there are no statistics or information in front of the Committee that indicates that moving the location to Exeter Road will worsen the situation; stating that there has not been any charges laid against his client with respect to human trafficking so the business does not foster that activity because it has policies and procedures in effect to detect, deter and combat such activity; stating that the applicant is a family-run business, not a big corporation, and they have been in business for twenty-two years in the industry with no ties to criminal activity; indicating that with
respect to the argument of Exeter Road being the gateway into London and that the Adult Live Entertainment Establishment will tarnish the view of visitors to London, this is just fear-mongering and there is no evidence to substantiate that; stating that the applicant is bound by the advertising component of the new by-law and they are committed to complying with the by-law, the signage and all of the other requirements; stating that not approving the application sends a clear message to residents of London, particularly east London, that City Hall values profits over people; requesting that the Committee approve the location substitution.
Adult Live Entertainment Parlour – Application for location substitution
Corporate Services Committee
Report

10th Meeting of the Corporate Services Committee
May 1, 2018

PRESENT: Councillors J. Helmer (Chair), P. Hubert, M. van Holst, J. Zaifman, Mayor M. Brown

ABSENT: J. Morgan


The meeting was called to order at 12:30 PM.

1. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest

None.

2. Consent

Moved by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: J. Zaifman

That Items 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4 BE APPROVED.

Yeas: (5): J. Helmer, P. Hubert, M. van Holst, J. Zaifman, and Mayor M. Brown
Absent (1): J. Morgan

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

2.1 Use of City Facilities for Activities of Organizations Which Promote Hatred

Moved by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: J. Zaifman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Solicitor, NO FURTHER ACTION BE TAKEN to address the use of City facilities for activities that promote hatred; it being noted that the City’s Special Events Policies and Procedures Manual appears to be effectively addressing this concern.

Motion Passed

2.3 Declare Surplus and Sale - 126 Hamilton Road

Moved by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: J. Zaifman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, on the advice of the Manager of Realty Services, the following actions be taken with respect to the City-owned property located at 126 Hamilton Road, described as Part of Lot 24, west side William Street and south side Horton Street, Registered Plan 178, containing an area of approximately 0.059 acres:

a) the subject property BE DECLARED SURPLUS; and

b) the subject property (“Surplus Lands”) BE DISPOSED OF to fulfil a
Council resolution, adopted at its meeting held on November 14, 2017, directing that this property be vested and sold after entering into agreements with creditors having liens on the property; it being noted that this property failed to sell at a municipal tax sale and was vested in the name of the City with the intention it be sold in accordance with the City's Sale and Other Disposition of Land Policy.

Motion Passed

2.4 Request for Council Reconsideration of Sources of Financing - Bus Rapid Transit Property Acquisitions and Leasehold Improvements

Moved by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: J. Zaifman

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and City Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, the following actions be taken with respect to Sources of Financing for property acquisitions pertaining to 26 Wellington Road South, 28 Wellington Road South, 1195 Dundas Street East and 240 Huron Street and for leasehold improvements for the Rapid Transit Implementation Office (251 Dundas Street):

a) the following matters BE RECONSIDERED:

i) part b) of clause 2 of the 21st Report of the Council, In Closed Session, from its meeting held on September 19, 2017, having to do with approval of the Source of Financing for the acquisition of property located at 26 Wellington Road South;

ii) part b) of clause 2 of the 23rd Report of the Council, In Closed Session, from its meeting held on October 17, 2017, having to do with approval of the Source of Financing for leasehold improvements for the Rapid Transit Implementation Office (251 Dundas Street);

iii) part b) of clause 1 of the 24th Report of the Council, In Closed Session, from its meeting held on October 30, 2017, having to do with approval of the Source of Financing for the acquisition of property located at 28 Wellington Road South;

iv) part c) of clause 2 of the 1st Report of the Council, In Closed Session, from its meeting held on December 12, 2017, having to do with approval of the Source of Financing for the acquisition of property located at 1195 Dundas Street East;

v) part b) of clause 3 of the 1st Report of the Council, In Closed Session, from its meeting held on December 12, 2017, having to do with approval of the Source of Financing for the acquisition of property located at 240 Huron Street; and

b) subject to the approval of reconsideration of a) i) to a) v), inclusive, above, the following Sources of Financing BE APPROVED:

i) the Source of Financing appended to the staff report dated May 1, 2018 as Appendix A for the acquisition of property located at 26 Wellington Road South;

ii) the Source of Financing appended to the staff report dated May 1, 2018 as Appendix B for the leasehold improvements for the Rapid Transit Implementation Office (251 Dundas St);

iii) the Source of Financing appended to the staff report dated May 1, 2018 as Appendix C for the acquisition of property located at 28 Wellington Road South;
iv) the Source of Financing appended to the staff report dated May 1, 2018 as Appendix D for the acquisition of property located at 1195 Dundas Street East; and  

v) the Source of Financing appended to the staff report dated May 1, 2018 as Appendix E for the acquisition of property located at 240 Huron Street.

Motion Passed

2.2 Employee Absenteeism 2017

Moved by: P. Hubert  
Seconded by: Mayor M. Brown

That, on the recommendation of the Managing Director, Corporate Services and Chief Human Resources Officer, the staff report dated May 1, 2018, regarding Employee Absenteeism 2017, BE RECEIVED for information.

Yeas: (5): J. Helmer, P. Hubert, M. van Holst, J. Zaifman, and Mayor M. Brown  
Absent (1): J. Morgan

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

3. Scheduled Items

None.

4. Items for Direction

Moved by: M. van Holst  
Seconded by: P. Hubert

That Items 4.1 and 4.2 BE APPROVED.

Yeas: (5): J. Helmer, P. Hubert, M. van Holst, J. Zaifman, and Mayor M. Brown  
Absent (1): J. Morgan

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

4.1 Request for Designation of the 1st Annual Mommy and Me Fashion Show as a Municipally Significant Event

Moved by: M. van Holst  
Seconded by: P. Hubert

That the 1st Annual Mommy and Me Fashion Show, to be held on Sunday, May 13, 2018, from 2:30 PM to 5:30 PM, with wine to be served from 5:00 PM to 5:30 PM, at the London Children’s Museum, 21 Wharncliffe Road South, BE DESIGNATED as an event of municipal significance in the City of London.

Motion Passed

4.2 Confirmation of Appointment to the Advisory Committee on the Environment (Requires 1 Non-Voting Representative from the Middlesex-London Health Unit)
Moved by: M. van Holst
Seconded by: P. Hubert

That Andrew Powell BE APPOINTED to the Advisory Committee on the Environment as a Non-Voting Representative of the Middlesex-London Health Unit, for the term ending February 28, 2019.

Motion Passed

5. Deferred Matters/Additional Business

5.1 ADDED - Request for Designation of the 1st Annual Poutine Festival

Moved by: P. Hubert
Seconded by: J. Zaifman

That the 1st Annual Poutine Festival, to be held on May 24 to 26, 2018 from 11:00 AM to 9:00 PM and May 27, 2018 from 11:00 AM to 8:00 PM, at Victoria Park, BE DESIGNATED as an event of municipal significance in the City of London.

Yeas: (5): J. Helmer, P. Hubert, M. van Holst, J. Zaifman, and Mayor M. Brown
Absent (1): J. Morgan

Motion Passed (5 to 0)

6. Confidential (Enclosed for Members only.)

6.1 Land Acquisition/Solicitor-Client Privileged Advice

Moved by: P. Hubert
Seconded by: J. Zaifman

That the Corporate Services Committee convene in closed session for the purpose of considering a matter pertaining to instructions and directions to officers and employees of the Corporation pertaining to a proposed acquisition of land; advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; reports or advice or recommendations of officers and employees of the Corporation pertaining to a proposed acquisition of land; commercial and financial information supplied in confidence pertaining to the proposed acquisition the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice significantly the competitive position or interfere significantly with the contractual or other negotiations of the Corporation, result in similar information no longer being supplied to the Corporation where it is in the public interest that similar information continue to be so supplied, and result in undue loss or gain to any person, group, committee or financial institution or agency; commercial, information relating to the proposed acquisition that belongs to the Corporation that has monetary value or potential monetary value; information concerning the proposed acquisition whose disclosure could reasonably be expected to prejudice the economic interests of the Corporation or its competitive position; information concerning the proposed acquisition whose disclosure could reasonably be expected to be injurious to the financial interests of the Corporation; and instructions to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the Corporation concerning the proposed acquisition.

Yeas: (5): J. Helmer, P. Hubert, M. van Holst, J. Zaifman, and Mayor M. Brown
Absent (1): J. Morgan
Motion Passed (5 to 0)

The Corporate Services Committee convened in closed session from 12:49 PM to 1:23 PM.

7. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 1:24 PM.
Bill No. 191
2018

By-law No. A.-_____

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council Meeting held on the 8th day of May, 2018.

The Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. Every decision of the Council taken at the meeting at which this by-law is passed and every motion and resolution passed at that meeting shall have the same force and effect as if each and every one of them had been the subject matter of a separate by-law duly enacted, except where prior approval of the Ontario Municipal Board is required and where any legal prerequisite to the enactment of a specific by-law has not been satisfied.

2. The Mayor and the proper civic employees of the City of London are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver all documents as are required to give effect to the decisions, motions and resolutions taken at the meeting at which this by-law is passed.

3. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8th, 2018
Second Reading – May 8th, 2018
Third Reading – May 8th, 2018
WHEREAS section 1.2 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c.25, as amended, provides that municipalities are created by the Province of Ontario to be responsible and accountable governments with respect to matters within their jurisdiction and each municipality is given powers and duties under this Act and many other Acts for the purpose of providing good government with respect to those matters;

AND WHEREAS section 3.1 of the Municipal Act, 2001 states that the Province acknowledges that a municipality has the authority to enter into agreements with the Crown in right of Canada with respect to matters within the municipality’s jurisdiction;

AND WHEREAS subsection 5(3) of the Municipal Act, 2001 provides that a municipal power shall be exercised by law;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. The Homelessness Partnering Strategy Community Entity Designated Communities Funding Agreement Amendment #4, between Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Employment and Social Development Canada and The Corporation of the City of London, substantially in the form attached as Schedule 1 to this by-law, is hereby authorized and approved.

2. The Mayor and City Clerk are authorized to execute the Funding Agreement approved in section 1.

3. The Managing Director, Neighbourhood, Children and Fire Services is delegated the authority to undertake all the administrative, financial and reporting acts, including the Annual Work Plan and Mid-Year Reporting, that are necessary in connection with the Funding Agreement approved in section 1.

4. The Managing Director, Neighbourhood, Children and Fire Services is delegated the authority to approve any further Amendments to the Homelessness Partnering Strategy Community Entity Funding Agreement if the Amendments are substantially in the form of the Funding Agreement approved in section 1.

5. The Mayor and City Clerk are hereby delegated the authority to execute any further Amendments to the Homelessness Partnering Strategy Community Entity Funding Agreements approved in section 4.

6. The Projects are approved to receive funding under the Homelessness Partnering Strategy for the period April 1, 2018 to March 31, 2019; it being noted that Sub-Project Funding Agreements will be entered into with the organizations receiving funding in accordance with the authority delegated to the Managing Director, Neighbourhood, Children and Fire Services.
7. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day it is passed.

PASSED in Open Council on May 8, 2018

Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk
SCHEDULE 1

Employment and Social Development Canada

Homelessness Partnering Strategy

Community Entity

Designated Communities

FUNDING AGREEMENT

BETWEEN

Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada (hereinafter referred to as “Canada”), as represented by the Minister of Employment and Social Development Canada AND

The Corporation of the City of London (hereinafter referred to as “the Recipient”) Hereinafter collectively referred to as “the Parties”

Articles of Agreement

Whereas Canada has established the Homelessness Partnering Strategy (hereinafter referred to as “the Program”) to support projects aimed at reducing homelessness, primarily through the Housing First approach, and includes projects aimed at preventing individuals and families at imminent risk from becoming homeless;

Whereas the Recipient has applied to Canada for funding to carry out the project described in Schedule A;

Whereas Canada has determined that the Recipient is eligible to apply for funding under the Program and that the Project qualifies for support under the Program; and

Whereas Canada has agreed to make a contribution to the Recipient towards the costs of the Project;

Now, therefore, Canada and the Recipient agree as follows:

1.0 AGREEMENT

1.1 The following documents, and any amendments thereto, constitute the entire agreement between the Recipient and Canada with respect to its subject matter and supersedes all previous understandings, agreements, negotiations and documents collateral, oral or otherwise between them relating to its subject matter:

(a) These Articles of Agreement;
(b) Schedule A - entitled “Project Description”;
(c) Schedule B - entitled “Financial Provisions”; and
(d) Schedule C - entitled “Additional Provisions”.

2.0 INTERPRETATION

2.1 Unless the context requires otherwise, the expressions listed below have the following meanings for the purposes of this Agreement:

“Eligible Expenditures” means the expenditures which are listed in the Project Budget in Schedule B, and in compliance with the Conditions Governing the Eligibility of Expenditures set out in Schedule B;

“Fiscal Year” means the period commencing on April 1 in one calendar year and ending on March 31 in the next calendar year;

“Project” means the project described in Schedule A;

“Project Period” means the period beginning on the Project Start Date specified in Schedule A and ending on the Project End Date specified in Schedule A; and

“Working Day” means Monday through Friday except statutory holidays.

3.0 EFFECTIVE DATE AND DURATION

3.1 This Agreement shall come into effect on the date it is signed by the last of the Parties to do so and, subject to section 3.2, shall expire at the end of the Project Period unless the Agreement is terminated on a prior date in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

3.2 All obligations of the Recipient shall expressly or by their nature survive termination or expiry of this Agreement and shall continue in full force subsequent to and notwithstanding such termination or expiry until and unless they are satisfied or by their nature expire.

4.0 PURPOSE OF THE CONTRIBUTION

4.1 The purpose of Canada’s funding is to enable the Recipient to carry out the Project. The funding shall be used by the Recipient solely for the purpose of paying the Eligible Expenditures.
5.0 CANADA'S CONTRIBUTION

5.1 Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, Canada agrees to make a contribution to the Recipient in respect of the Eligible Expenditures. The amount of Canada's contribution shall not exceed the total maximum amount specified in section 1.1 of Schedule B.

5.2 Where the Project Period covers more than one Fiscal Year, the amount payable by Canada on account of its contribution in each Fiscal Year of the Project Period shall not exceed the amount shown in section 1.2 of Schedule B for that Fiscal Year.

6.0 APPROPRIATION

6.1 Any payment under this Agreement is subject to the appropriation of funds by Parliament for the Fiscal Year in which the payment is to be made.

7.0 REDUCTION OR TERMINATION OF FUNDING

7.1 If

(a) the Program named in this Agreement is cancelled,

(b) the level of funding for the Program named in this Agreement for any Fiscal Year in which payment is to be made under the Agreement is reduced as a result of a governmental or departmental spending decision, or

(c) Parliament reduces the overall level of funding for the programs of the Department of Employment and Social Development for any Fiscal Year in which payment is to be made under the Agreement,

Canada may, upon not less than ninety (90) days notice, reduce its funding under this Agreement or terminate the Agreement.

7.2 Where, pursuant to section 7.1, Canada gives notice of its intention to reduce its funding, and where, as a result of the reduction in funding, the Recipient is of the opinion that it will be unable to complete the Project or will be unable to complete the Project in the manner desired by the Recipient, the Recipient shall notify Canada of same as soon as possible after receiving notice of the funding reduction and may, upon not less than thirty (30) days written notice to Canada, terminate the Agreement.

8.0 RECIPIENT DECLARATIONS

8.1 The Recipient

(a) declares that it has provided Canada with a true and accurate list of all amounts owing to the Government of Canada under legislation or funding agreements which were past due and in arrears at the time of the Recipient's application for funding under the Program named in this Agreement,

(b) agrees to declare any amounts owing to the Government of Canada under legislation or funding agreements which have become past due and in arrears following the date of its application for funding, and

(c) recognizes that Canada may recover any amounts referred to in paragraphs (a) or (b) that are owing by deducting or selling off such amounts from any sum of money that may be due or payable to the Recipient under this Agreement.

8.2 The Recipient declares that any person who has been lobbying on its behalf to obtain the contribution that is the subject of this Agreement was in compliance with the provisions of the Lobbying Act [R.S.C. 1985 c. 44 (4th Supp.)], as amended from time to time, at all the time the lobbying occurred and that any such person to whom the aforementioned act applies, has received, or will receive, no payment, directly or indirectly, from the Recipient that is in whole or in part contingent on obtaining this Agreement.

9.0 PROJECT RECORDS

9.1 The Recipient shall

(a) keep proper books and records, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, of all expenditures and revenues relating to the Project, including cash contributions received from Canada and cash contributions from other sources, as well as records substantiating the receipt and value of any in-kind contributions to the costs of the Project referred to in the Project Budget in Schedule B,

(b) keep records of all Project-related contracts and agreements and all invoices, receipts and vouchers relating to Eligible Expenditures, and

(c) keep records of all Project-related activity, progress and evaluation reports and reports of Project reviews or audits carried out by, or on behalf of, the Recipient.

9.2 The Recipient shall retain the books and records referred to in section 9.1 for a period of six (6) years following the Project Period.

10.0 CANADA'S RIGHT TO AUDIT

10.1 During the Project Period and for a period of six (6) years thereafter, the Recipient shall, upon request, grant representatives of Canada access to the books and records referred to in section 9.0 for the purpose of conducting an audit to verify compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement and verify expenses claimed by the Recipient as Eligible Expenditures. The Recipient shall permit Canada's representative(s) to take copies and extracts from such accounts and records. The Recipient shall also provide Canada with such additional information as Canada may require with reference to such books and records.
11.0 FINANCIAL AND ACTIVITY MONITORING

11.1 During the Project Period, the Recipient shall grant representatives of Canada reasonable access to the Project site and business premises of the Recipient, if different from the Project site, and to all Project-related books and records referred to in section 9.0 at all reasonable times for the purpose of conducting periodic financial and activity monitoring reviews of the Project. The Recipient shall also, upon request, provide representatives of Canada with copies and extracts from such books and records.

12.0 INQUIRY BY THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF CANADA

12.1 If, during the Project Period or within a period of six years thereafter, the Auditor General of Canada, in relation to an inquiry conducted under subsection 7.1(1) of the Auditor General Act (R.S.C., 1985, c. A-17), requests that the Recipient provide him or her with any records, documents or other information pertaining to the utilization of the funding provided under this Agreement, the Recipient shall provide the records, documents or other information within such period of time as may be reasonably requested in writing by the Auditor General of Canada.

13.0 FINAL REPORT

13.1 Unless the Recipient is required under a schedule to this Agreement to provide another, more specific, final report outlining the results of the Project, the Recipient shall provide Canada with a final report that summarizes the Project scope, describes the results achieved, explains any discrepancies between the results and the planned or expected results and contains such other information as Canada may specify in writing to the Recipient. The Recipient shall provide Canada with the final report within sixty (60) days following the Project Period.

14.0 EVALUATION

14.1 The Recipient agrees to cooperate with Canada in the conduct of any evaluation of the Project and/or the Program covered by this agreement that Canada may carry out during the Project Period or within a period of three years thereafter. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, if requested by Canada to do so for the purpose of conducting an evaluation, the Recipient agrees to:

(a) participate in any survey, interview, case study or other data collection exercise initiated by Canada; and

(b) subject to section 14.2, provide Canada with contact information of the Project partner organizations, if any, who participated in the Project, and of the members of the board of directors of the Recipient.

14.2 The Recipient shall provide Canada with the contact information of a person (name, address, phone number and e-mail address) referred to in paragraph 14.1(b) only if the person has given their written consent to the release of the information to Canada. The Recipient agrees to make all reasonable efforts to secure such consent during the Project Period. When providing a person’s contact information to Canada, the Recipient shall provide Canada with an accompanying written statement certifying that the person has given their consent to the sharing of their contact information with Canada.

15.0 CONTRACTING PROCEDURES

Contracting

15.1 (1) Subject to subsection (2), the Recipient shall use a fair and accountable process, involving soliciting a minimum of three bids or proposals, when procuring goods and services from contractors in relation to the Project. The Recipient shall select the bid or proposal offering the best value at the lowest cost.

(2) The requirement under subsection (1) shall apply, unless otherwise authorized in writing by Canada, to all goods or services contracts valued at $25,000 or more (including taxes and duties). The Recipient must not unnecessarily divide a requirement for goods or services into a number of smaller contracts to avoid this requirement.

Restrictions Regarding Non Arms-Length Contracts

15.2 (1) Unless otherwise authorized in writing by Canada, all goods or services contracts, regardless of their value, entered into in relation to the Project between the Recipient and

(a) an officer, director or employee of the Recipient,

(b) a member of the immediate family of an officer, director or employee of the Recipient,

(c) a business in which an officer, director or employee of the Recipient, or a member of their immediate family, has a financial interest, or

(d) a business which is related to, or associated or affiliated with, the Recipient,

require the prior written approval of Canada. In any such contract, the Recipient shall ensure that Canada has a right of access to the relevant records of the supplying entity for the purpose of verifying, if necessary, the amount of the expenditure claimed by the Recipient in relation to a contract referred to in this subsection.

(2) In this section, “immediate family” means the father, mother, step-father, step-mother, brother, sister, spouse (including common law partner), child (including child of common law partner), step-child, ward, father in law, mother in law, or relative permanently residing in the household of the officer, director or employee.

Restrictions Regarding Sub-contracting of Recipient Duties or Responsibilities

15.3 The Recipient shall not subcontract the performance of any of its duties or responsibilities in managing the Project to another party without the prior written consent of Canada unless the Recipient has already indicated in the approved Project Description attached as Schedule A to this Agreement that it intends to use a subcontractor or subcontractors to perform those duties or responsibilities.
16.0 TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

Termination for Default

16.1 (1) The following constitute Events of Default:

(a) the Recipient becomes bankrupt, has a receiving order made against it, makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, takes the benefit of the statute relating to bankrupt or insolvent debtors or an order is made or resolution passed for the winding up of the Recipient;

(b) the Recipient ceases to operate;

(c) the Recipient is in breach of the performance of, or compliance with, any provision of this Agreement;

(d) the Recipient, in support of its application for Canada's contribution or in connection with this Agreement, has made materially false or misleading representations, statements or declarations, or provided materially false or misleading information to Canada; or

(e) in the opinion of Canada, there is a material adverse change in risk in the Recipient's ability to complete the Project or to achieve the expected results of the Project set out in Schedule A.

(2) If

(a) an Event of Default specified in paragraph (1)(a) or (b) occurs; or

(b) an Event of Default specified in paragraphs (1)(c), (d) or (e) occurs and has not been remedied within thirty (30) days of receipt by the Recipient of written notice of default, or a plan satisfactory to Canada to remedy such Event of Default has not been put into place within such time period,

Canada may, in addition to any remedies otherwise available, immediately terminate the Agreement by written notice. Upon providing such notice of termination, Canada shall have no obligation to make any further contribution to the Recipient.

(3) In the event Canada gives the Recipient written notice of default pursuant to paragraph (2)(b), Canada may suspend any further payment under this Agreement until the end of the period given to the Recipient to remedy the Event of Default.

(4) The fact that Canada refrains from exercising a remedy it is entitled to exercise under this Agreement shall not be considered to be a waiver of such right and, furthermore, partial or limited exercise of a right conferred upon Canada shall not prevent Canada in any way from later exercising any other right or remedy under this Agreement or other applicable law.

Termination for Convenience

16.2 Canada may also terminate this Agreement at any time without cause upon not less than ninety (90) days written notice of intention to terminate.

Obligations Relating to Termination under section 7.1 or 16.2 and Minimizing Cancellation Costs

16.3 In the event of a termination notice being given by Canada under sections 7.1 or 16.2,

(a) the Recipient shall make no further commitments in relation to the Project and shall cancel or otherwise reduce, to the extent possible, the amount of any outstanding commitments in relation thereto; and

(b) all Eligible Expenditures incurred by the Recipient up to the date of termination will be paid by Canada, including the Recipient's costs of, and incidental to, the cancellation of obligations incurred by it as a consequence of the termination of this Agreement; provided always that payment and reimbursement under this paragraph shall only be made to the extent that it is established to the satisfaction of Canada that the costs mentioned herein were actually incurred by the Recipient and the same are reasonable and properly attributable to the termination of the Agreement.

16.4 The Recipient shall negotiate all contracts related to the Project, including employment contracts with staff, on terms that will enable the Recipient to cancel same upon conditions and terms that will minimize to the extent possible their cancellation costs in the event of a termination of this Agreement. The Recipient shall cooperate with Canada and do everything reasonably within its power at all times to minimize and reduce the amount of Canada's obligations under section 16.3 in the event of a termination of this Agreement.

17.0 INDEMNIFICATION

17.1 The Recipient shall, both during and following the Project Period, indemnify and save Canada harmless from and against all claims, losses, damages, costs, expenses and other actions made, sustained, brought, threatened to be brought or prosecuted, in any manner based upon, occasioned by or attributable to any injury or death of a person, or loss or damage to properly caused or alleged to be caused by any willful or negligent act, omission or delay on the part of the Recipient or its employees or agents, and participating employers or Project participants, if any, in connection with anything purported to be or required to be provided by or done by the Recipient pursuant to this Agreement or done otherwise in connection with the implementation of the Project.
18.0 INSURANCE

18.1 The Recipient shall arrange and maintain, during the Project Period, appropriate comprehensive general liability insurance coverage to cover claims for bodily injury or property damage resulting from anything done or omitted by the Recipient or its employees, agents or Project participants, if any, in carrying out the Project.

19.0 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PARTIES AND NON-LIABILITY OF CANADA

19.1 The management and supervision of the Project are the sole and absolute responsibility of the Recipient. The Recipient is not in any way authorized to make a promise, agreement or contract on behalf of Canada. This Agreement is a funding agreement only, not a contract for services or a contract of service or employment. Canada’s responsibility is limited to providing financial assistance to the Recipient towards the Eligible Expenditures. The parties hereto declare that nothing in this agreement shall be construed as creating a partnership, an employer-employee, or agency relationship between them. The Recipient shall not represent itself as an agent, employee or partner of Canada.

19.2 Nothing in this Agreement creates any undertaking, commitment or obligation by Canada respecting additional or future funding of the Project beyond the Project Period, or that exceeds the maximum contributions specified in Schedule B. Canada shall not be liable for any loan, capital lease or other long-term obligation which the Recipient may enter into in relation to carrying out its responsibilities under this Agreement or for any obligation incurred by the Recipient toward another party in relation to the Project.

20.0 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

20.1 No current or former public servant or public office holder to whom the Conflict of Interest Act [S.C. 2006, c. 9, s. 2], the Policy on Conflict of Interest and Post-Employment or the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector applies shall derive a direct benefit from the Agreement unless the provision or receipt of such benefit is in compliance with the said legislation or codes.

20.2 No member of the Senate or the House of Commons shall be admitted to any share or part of the Agreement or to any benefit arising from it that is not otherwise available to the general public.

21.0 INFORMING CANADIANS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA’S CONTRIBUTION

21.1 The Recipient shall allow Canada sixty (60) days from the date of signature of the Agreement to announce the Project. During this 60 day period, the Recipient shall not make any public announcements of funding, deferring all questions to Canada. After the expiry of the 60 day period, the Recipient may begin its own communication activities for the Project.

21.2 The Recipient shall notify Canada twenty (20) working days in advance of any initial and subsequent official ceremonies related to the announcement of the funding and promotion of the Project. Canada reserves the right to approve the time, place and agenda of the ceremony.

21.3 The Recipient shall notify Canada fifteen (15) working days in advance of any and all communications activities, publications, advertising and press releases planned by the Recipient or by a third party with whom it has an agreement relating to the Project.

21.4 The Recipient shall ensure that in any and all communication activities, publications, advertising and press releases regarding the Project, recognition, in terms and in a format and manner satisfactory to Canada, are given to Canada’s financial assistance to the Project.

21.5 The Recipient agrees to display such signs, plaques or symbols as Canada may provide in such locations on its premises as Canada may designate.

21.6 The Recipient shall cooperate with representatives of Canada during any official news release or ceremonies relating to the announcement of the Project.

22.0 ACCESS TO INFORMATION

22.1 The Recipient acknowledges that Canada is subject to the Access to Information Act [RSC 1985, Chapter A-1], and information obtained by Canada pertaining to this Agreement may be disclosed by Canada to the public upon request under the aforementioned Act.

23.0 PROACTIVE DISCLOSURE

23.1 The Recipient acknowledges that the name of the Recipient, the amount of the contributions and the general nature of the Project may be made publicly available by Canada in accordance with the Government of Canada’s commitment to proactively disclose the awarding of grants and contributions.

24.0 DISPOSITION OF CAPITAL ASSETS

24.1 During the Project Period, the Recipient shall preserve any capital asset purchased by the Recipient with funding provided under this Agreement and shall not dispose of it unless Canada authorizes its disposition.

24.2 At the end of the Project Period, or upon termination of this Agreement, if earlier, Canada reserves the right to direct the Recipient to dispose of any capital asset purchased by the Recipient with funding provided under this Agreement by:

(a) selling it at fair market value and applying the funds realised from such sale to offset Canada’s contribution to the Eligible Expenditures;

(b) turning it over to another organization or to an individual designated or approved by Canada; or

(c) disposing of it in such other manner as may be determined by Canada.

24.3 Where Canada elects to exercise its right under section 24.2, the Recipient agrees to comply with the related direction provided by Canada.

24.4 For the purposes of section 24.0, “capital asset” means any single item, or a collection of items which form one identifiable functional unit, that:

(a) is not physically incorporated into another product or not fully consumed by the end of the Project, and
(b) has a purchase or lease value of more than $1,000 (before taxes),
but does not include land or buildings purchased or leased by the Recipient in connection with the implementation of the Project.

25.0 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

25.1 Where in the course of carrying out the Project, the Recipient produces any work using funds provided by Canada, the copyright in the work shall vest in the Recipient. However, the Recipient hereby grants to Canada a non-exclusive, irrevocable and royalty free license to use, translate, adapt, record by any means or reproduce, except for commercial sale in competition with the Recipient, any such work which is produced by the Recipient.

25.2 The license granted under section 25.1 shall be for the duration of the copyright and shall include:

(a) the right to sub-license the use of the work to any contractor engaged by Canada solely for the purpose of performing contracts with Canada, and

(b) the right to distribute the work outside the Department of Employment and Social Development as long as the distribution does not undermine any commercial use of the work intended by the Recipient.

25.3 The Recipient agrees to execute any acknowledgements, agreements, assurances or other documents deemed necessary by Canada to establish or confirm the license granted under section 25.1.

25.4 Additionally, with respect to any work licensed under section 25.1, the Recipient:

(a) warrants that the work shall not infringe on the copyrights of others;

(b) agrees to indemnify and save harmless Canada from all costs, expenses and damages arising from any breach of any such warranty; and

(c) shall include an acknowledgment, in a manner satisfactory to Canada, on any work which is produced by it with funds contributed by Canada under this Agreement, acknowledging that the work was produced with funds contributed by Canada and identifying the Recipient as being solely responsible for the content of such work.

25.5 The Recipient shall include in the final report for the Project, which the Recipient is required to submit to Canada under the terms of this Agreement, a copy of any work licensed under section 25.1.

26.0 NOTICES

26.1 Any notices to be given and all reports, information, correspondence and other documents to be provided by either party under this Agreement shall be given or provided by personal delivery, mail, courier service, fax or email at the postal address, fax number or email address, as the case may be, of the receiving party as shown in Schedule A. If there is any change to the postal address, fax number or email address of contact person of a party, the party concerned shall notify the other in writing of the change as soon as possible.

26.2 Notices, reports, information, correspondence and other documents that are delivered personally or by courier service shall be deemed to have been received upon delivery, or if sent by mail five (5) working days after the date of mailing, or in the case of notices and documents sent by fax or email, one (1) working day after they are sent.

27.0 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

27.1 In the event of a dispute arising under the terms of this Agreement, the Parties agree to make a good faith attempt to settle the dispute. In the event that the Parties are unable to resolve the dispute through negotiation, they agree to give good faith consideration to resorting to other alternative dispute resolution processes to resolve the dispute. However, the Parties agree that nothing contained in this section shall affect, alter or modify the rights of either Party to terminate the Agreement.

28.0 ASSIGNMENT OF THE AGREEMENT

28.1 The Recipient shall not assign this Agreement or any part thereof without the prior written consent of Canada.

29.0 SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

29.1 This Agreement is binding upon the parties and their respective successors and assigns.

30.0 COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS

30.1 The Recipient shall carry out the Project in compliance with all applicable federal, provincial and municipal laws, by-laws and regulations, including any environmental legislation and legislation related to protection of information and privacy. The Recipient shall obtain, prior to the commencement of the Project, all permits, licenses, consents and other authorizations that are necessary to the carrying out of the Project.

31.0 APPLICABLE LAW

31.1 This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the applicable laws of the province or territory where the Project will be performed or, if the Project is to be carried out in more than one province or territory, of the province or territory where the Recipient has its main place of business.

32.0 AMENDMENT

32.1 This Agreement may be amended by mutual consent of the parties. To be valid, any amendment to this Agreement shall be in writing and signed by the parties.

33.0 UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION

33.1 If the Recipient is an unincorporated association, it is understood and agreed by the persons signing this Agreement on behalf of the Recipient that in addition to signing this Agreement in their representative capacities on behalf of the members of the Recipient, they shall be personally, jointly and severally liable for
the obligations of the Recipient under this Agreement, including the obligation to pay any debt that may become owing to Canada under this Agreement.

34.0 COUNTERPARTS

34.1 This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but both of which taken together shall constitute one and the same agreement. The exchange of copies of this Agreement and of signature pages by facsimile or electronic transmission shall constitute effective execution and delivery of this Agreement as to the parties and may be used in lieu of the original Agreement for all purposes. Signatures of the parties transmitted by facsimile or electronic transmission shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.
SIGNATURES

Signed this ___________ day of ___________________.

For the Recipient, by the following authorized officer(s):

(Name, please print) ____________________________

(Signature) __________________________________

(Position) ____________________________

And signed this ___________ day of ________________, 2019.

For Canada, by the following authorized officer:

(Name, please print) ____________________________

(Signature) __________________________________

(Position) ____________________________
### SCHEDULE A

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

**NAME OF RECIPIENT:** The Corporation of the City of London  

**PROJECT TITLE:** HPS 2014-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete Mailing Address:</td>
<td>Complete Mailing Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Corporation of the City of London</td>
<td>Service Canada, Ontario Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151 Dundas Street</td>
<td>25 St. Clair Avenue East, Suite 301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box 5045</td>
<td>Toronto, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London, Ontario N6A 4L6</td>
<td>MAT 3A4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Contact</th>
<th>Jan Richardson</th>
<th>Primary Contact</th>
<th>M. Charmant Petit-Frere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Number</td>
<td>519-661-2500-5228</td>
<td>Telephone Number</td>
<td>647-252-0211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax Number</td>
<td>519-661-4815</td>
<td>Fax Number</td>
<td>416-973-2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address</td>
<td><a href="mailto:richardson@london.ca">richardson@london.ca</a></td>
<td>Email Address</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Maggie_petitfre@serviccanada.gc.ca">Maggie_petitfre@serviccanada.gc.ca</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Secondary Contact | | Secondary Contact | |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Telephone Number | | Telephone Number | |
| Fax Number | | Fax Number | |
| Email Address | | Email Address | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Start Date</th>
<th>Project End Date</th>
<th>Total Number of Participants:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td>(if applicable) N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Description**

**Objectives**

**Amendment 4:** The proposed amendment will increase the maximum contribution in fiscal year 2018/2019 by $255,607, which will increase the maximum total contribution for the agreement to $3,390,855.

**Amendment 3:** Amendment is to amend funding in the agreement in support of Government of Canada’s Social Infrastructure Fund over the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 fiscal years by $255,607 per year. This amendment will increase the maximum total contribution for the agreement to $3,134,248. Corresponding activities will be reflected in the Community Plan Annual update, due August 26, 2016.

**Amendment 2:** Amendment is a minor amendment to support participation in the 2016 HPS Coordinated Point-In-Time (PIT) Count. In response to the NHQ decision to allow PIT Counts to be completed up to April 30, 2016, the CE requested to move $25,540 from the 2015/2016 to the 2016/17 fiscal year; This request is completed with this amendment. The maximum total contribution for the agreement remains $2,621,034.

**Amendment 1:** Amendment is a minor amendment to support participation in the 2016 HPS Coordinated Point-In-Time (PIT) Count. Funding is increased from $2,566,070 to $2,621,034, an increase of 2.14%. A work plan will be added to the agreement specifying the activities necessary for the PIT count, and the deliverables to be provided to the HPS.

**Original**

For the duration of April 01, 2014 to March 31, 2019, the City of London as the Community Entity (CE) will administer HPS - Designated Communities funding, thereby responding to the Community Plan priorities of the people who are homeless or at imminent risk of homelessness in London.

The annual Designated Communities allocation for London is $513,214.

HPS funds will be used to fund projects, based on Community Plan priorities, eligible under the terms and conditions and related policies and directives of the HPS and recommendations from the CAB.

**Activities**

The City of London will administer the HPS Designated Communities funding as the CE for London. This will include the following activities which will be monitored against milestones in the Work Plan:

- The CE is responsible for implementing strategies to address Community Plan priorities, as well as providing a leadership role in the local implementation of Housing First. The CE will engage the community stakeholders and funding partners to actively work together to prevent and reduce homelessness. The CE will identify funding other than the HPS from partners to meet the community contribution matching requirement.

- The CE is responsible for providing support and guidance to the CAB regarding program delivery and administration and assisting to establish the terms of reference for the project selection and recommendation processes. The CE will implement selection processes and solicit and confirm eligibility criteria of sub-project proposals in an open, impartial and transparent manner. The CE will assess, approve, and enter into funding agreements with sub-agreement holders recommended by the CAB that meet the Community Plan priorities and terms and conditions of the HPS and related policies and directives including eligible activities under the following activity areas: Housing First; Support Services; Capital Investments; Coordination of Resources and Leveraging; and Data Collection and Use.

- The CE is responsible for the management of sub-project funding agreements, including financial and activity monitoring of sub-projects to ensure compliance with sub-agreements, and monitoring sub-projects for achievement of expected results. The CE will inform the CAB about the status of sub-projects (including results) and other activities.
related to the prevention and reduction of homelessness in the community. The CE will report on its activities, including the management of sub-agreements and investment targets, to Canada in accordance with the reporting requirements described in the HPS funding agreement, as well as any additional reporting as required by the HPS.

The CE will ensure the participation and representation of Aboriginal organizations in the planning and implementation of the Community Plan priorities.

The CE will conduct Point-in-Time (PIT) counts as required by the HPS.

Expected Results

Outputs:

By March 31, 2019, the City of London as the CE will fully invest the HPS – Designated Communities funding to address priorities identified in the Community Plan.

The City of London will ensure implementation of the Community Plan as established by the CAB and approved by Canada.

HPS funding will be matched with local community funding partners in the implementation of the Community Plan.

Outcomes:

Increased investments in Housing First activities to house chronically and episodically homeless population which will be identified and reported on annually in the Community Plan update.

London as a designated community with an allocation greater than $200K is required to invest a minimum of 40% of their HPS contribution towards Housing First activities annually starting April 1, 2016.

Reduction in the number of homeless individuals and families using emergency shelters, moving them into stable living environments with access to the services and supports leading to increased self-sufficiency, and the prevention of returning to homelessness.

Creation and maintenance of partnerships to improve services and facilities for homeless individuals and families.

Best use of Investments toward alleviating homelessness based on an inclusive decision making process.

Specific performance indicators will be included in the Community Plan, which will form part of the funding agreement. Targets will be established by the CAB, in consultation with community stakeholders, based on baseline data that will also be established in the Community Plan. Achievement of project objectives will be reviewed periodically and continuation of funding is subject to demonstrated progress against established targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signatures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RECIPIENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCHEDULE B

FINANCIAL PROVISIONS

LEGAL NAME OF RECIPIENT: The Corporation of the City of London

PROJECT TITLE: HPS 2014-2019

1.0 MAXIMUM CONTRIBUTION OF CANADA

1.1 The total maximum amount of Canada's contribution towards the Eligible Expenditures of the Project is: $3,390,855.

1.2 The maximum amount payable by Canada in each Fiscal Year of the Project Period on account of the contribution is as follows, unless otherwise authorized in writing by Canada:

- $512,214 in Fiscal Year 2014/2015
- $542,638 in Fiscal Year 2015/2016
- $765,381 in Fiscal Year 2016/2017
- $769,821 in Fiscal Year 2017/2018
- $769,821 in Fiscal Year 2018/2019

2.0 INTEREST EARNED ON CONTRIBUTION

2.1 If, under section 8.0 of this Schedule, Canada has made payment of its contribution by way of advances, and if the amount of interest earned on the advance payments is in excess of one hundred dollars ($100), such interest is deemed to be part payment of Canada's contribution and will be taken into account in the calculation of the final payment by Canada, or repayment by the Recipient, as may be appropriate in the circumstances.

3.0 REPAYMENT REQUIREMENTS

3.1 In the event payments made to the Recipient exceed the amount to which the Recipient is entitled under this agreement, the amount of the excess is a debt owing to Canada and shall be promptly repaid to Canada upon receipt of notice to do so and within the period specified in the notice. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, amounts to which the Recipient is not entitled include:

(a) the amount of any expenditures paid for with the contribution which are disqualified or determined to be ineligible, and

(b) any amount paid in error or any amount paid in excess of the amount of the expenditure actually incurred.

3.2 Interest shall be charged on overdue repayments owing under section 3.1 in accordance with the Interest and Administrative Charges Regulations (SOR/95-188) (the "Regulations") made pursuant to the Financial Administration Act (R.S.C., 1985, c, F-11) interest is calculated and compounded monthly at the "average bank rate", within the meaning of such expression as contained in the Regulations, plus three per cent (3%) during the period beginning on the due date specified in the notice to repay and ending on the day before the day on which payment is received by Canada.

3.3 The Recipient acknowledges that where an instrument tendered in payment or settlement of an amount due to Canada under section 3.1 is, for any reason, dishonoured, an administrative charge of $15 is payable by the Recipient to Canada in accordance with the Regulations.

4.0 OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDING

4.1 The Recipient declares that the funding received from Canada under this Agreement is the sole source of funding for the Project.

4.2 The Recipient agrees to inform Canada promptly in writing of any change to the declaration made under section 4.1.

4.3 The Recipient agrees that where there is a change to the declaration made in section 4.1, Canada may, in its discretion, reduce the amount of its maximum contribution to the Project by such amount, not exceeding the amount of the change in assistance received, that it considers appropriate.

4.4 If the amount of Canada's contribution already paid to the Recipient exceeds the reduced maximum contribution, as determined under section 4.3, the amount of the excess shall be deemed to be an amount to which the Recipient is not entitled and shall be repaid to Canada in accordance with section 3.0 of this Schedule (Repayment Requirements).

4.5 Upon completion of the Project, and if the amount set out in section 1.1 is in excess of $100,000, the Recipient agrees to provide Canada with a statement identifying the total funding provided from all sources for the Project, including total funding received for the Project from federal, provincial/territorial and municipal governments.

5.0 PROJECT BUDGET

5.1 The following is the Project Budget:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COST CATEGORIES</th>
<th>LSBC</th>
<th>OTHER SOURCES</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Administrative Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Administrative costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Sub-projects Administrative Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Capital Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Facilities</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Capital assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Direct Costs</strong></td>
<td>$3390895.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Staff wages *</td>
<td>$330,005.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Participant costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Project costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Partnership development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Child care costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**1. Sub-projects Project Costs *</td>
<td>$3,051710.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$3,300,055.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$3390895.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$3,300,055.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Budget notes:**

"Administrative Costs" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient in the course of its regular or ongoing operations that, though indirectly related to the Project, enable the Recipient to manage the Project successfully;

"Sub-Project Administrative Costs" means any expenditure incurred by a Third Party in the course of its regular or ongoing operations that, though indirectly related to the Sub-Project, enable the Third Party to manage the Sub-Project successfully;

"Facilities" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient, in direct relation to a Project activity, towards the purchase of land or a building, construction or renovation of a building, or accomplishing any pre-development activities leading up to any of the latter ends;

"Capital Assets" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient towards the purchase or leasing-to-own of materials subject to the provisions of section 24.0 of the Articles of Agreement;

"Staff Wages" means any wages, mandatory employment related costs (as required by law) or benefits (as required by a collective agreement or company policy) paid by the Recipient to, or on behalf of, an employee of the Recipient working directly on the Project;

"Participant Costs" means any wages, mandatory employment related costs (as required by law) or benefits (as required by a collective agreement or company policy), and any support payments (for travel, emergencies, disability, living expenses, dependent care, materials, etc.), tuition fees, or program participation or completion bonuses paid by the Recipient to, or on behalf of, Project Participants;

"Project Costs" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient in direct relation to the Project activities that is not covered by any other cost category in the Project Budget;

"Partnership Development" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient towards the development or maintenance of partnerships that support or contribute materially to the goals of the Project;

"Child Care Costs" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient in support of child care service offerings to aboriginal persons that are adapted the particular needs of this clientele; and

"Sub-Project Project Costs" means any expenditure incurred by a Third Party in respect of a Sub-Project that does not meet the definition of expenditures included in the Sub-Project Administrative Costs cost category.

**6.0 BUDGET FLEXIBILITY**

6.1 The Recipient may, except in cases specified in section 6.2, make adjustments to its allocation of funds between any of the cost categories identified in the Project Budget without having to obtain Canada's approval, provided the adjustments do not result in an increase in Canada's maximum contribution set out in section 1.1. However, where the Recipient makes an adjustment allowed by this section, it shall notify Canada promptly in writing of the adjustment.

6.2 The Recipient must obtain Canada's written approval prior to making an adjustment to the Project Budget that increases or decreases the subtotal amount budgeted for:

(i) any cost category identified with an asterisk (*) by any amount, or
(ii) any other cost category by more than 10%.

6.3 Depending upon the extent and significance of the adjustments, written approval by Canada of adjustments made under section 6.2 may be required by Canada to be documented by way of a formal amending agreement signed by both parties.

**7.0 CONDITIONS GOVERNING THE ELIGIBILITY OF EXPENDITURES**
7.1 The expenditures set out in the Project Budget above are subject to the following conditions:

(a) expenditures must, subject to section 7.2, be incurred during the Project Period;

(b) expenditures must, in the opinion of Canada, be reasonable;

(c) the portion of the cost of any travel, meals and accommodation costs that exceeds the rates for public servants set out in the National Joint Council of Canada’s Travel Directive is not eligible for reimbursement;

(d) the portion of hospitality costs that exceed the rates set out in the Directive on Travel, Hospitality, Conference and Event Expenditures, Appendix 2 of Canada’s Treasury Board is not eligible for reimbursement;

(e) the portion of the cost of any goods and services purchased by the Recipient for which the Recipient may claim a tax credit or reimbursement is not eligible for reimbursement;

(f) depreciation of capital assets is not eligible for reimbursement;

(g) fines and penalties are not eligible for reimbursement;

(h) the cost of alcoholic beverages are not eligible for reimbursement;

(i) costs associated with software development and/or the purchase of hardware for the collection and/or management of homelessness data that results in an inability to participate in the National Homelessness Information System initiative (NHIS); and that constitutes a redundant use of funds and duplicates activities already offered through the Homeless Individuals and Families Information System (HIFIS) software are not eligible for reimbursement.

7.2 If, under the terms of this Agreement, the Recipient is required to provide to Canada an audited annual financial report at the end of the Project Period, and if the cost of the audit is otherwise an Eligible Expenditure, the audit cost is an Eligible Expenditure notwithstanding that it is incurred outside the Project Period.

8.0 TERMS OF PAYMENT

8.1 Subject to section 8.2, Canada will make payments of its contribution by way of advances. Each payment shall cover a quarterly period (hereinafter referred to as the ‘Payment Period’) during the Project Period.

8.2 (1) Subject to subsection (2), Canada may, at any time and in its sole discretion,

(a) change the basis of payments of its contribution to the Recipient to progress payments for any period during the Project Period, or

(b) change the Payment Period to a monthly period, or

(c) change both (a) and (b).

(2) Where Canada decides to make a payment change pursuant to subsection (1), Canada shall notify the Recipient in writing of the change and of the period during which the change will be applicable.

(3) For the purposes of this Schedule,

“progress payments" means payments to reimburse the Recipient for Eligible Expenditures after they have been incurred;

“monthly period" means a calendar month that falls within the Project Period or, if the calendar month falls only partially within the Project Period, such portion thereof; and

“quarterly period", in relation to a series of consecutive three-month periods encompassing the Project Period and beginning on the first day of the calendar month determined by Canada for purposes of administering this agreement, means such a quarter that falls within the Project Period or, if the quarter falls only partially within the Project Period, such portion thereof.

8.3 (1) Where Canada makes payments of its contribution to the Recipient by way of advances,

(a) each advance shall cover the Recipient’s estimated financial requirements for each Payment Period. Such estimate shall be based upon a cash flow forecast that, in the opinion of Canada, is reliable and up-to-date; and

(b) if the amount of an advance payment for a Payment Period exceeds the actual amount of Eligible Expenditures incurred by the Recipient during the Payment Period, Canada reserves the right to deduct the excess amount from any subsequent advance payment to be made under this Agreement.

(2) Where Canada makes payments of its contribution to the Recipient by way of progress payments, each progress payment shall cover the Recipient’s actual Eligible Expenditures incurred during the Payment Period as approved by Canada following submission by the Recipient of the financial claim referred to in section 8.4 (1).

8.4 (1) Following the end of each Payment Period of the Agreement, the Recipient shall provide Canada with a financial claim using a form provided by Canada and signed/attested as true and accurate by an authorized official (or officials) of the Recipient. The financial claim shall contain:

(a) a summary breakdown, per cost category in the Project Budget, of Eligible Expenditures incurred during the Payment Period;

(b) an updated forecast of Project expenditures;
(c) an activity report describing the work completed on the Project during the Payment Period; and

(d) any supporting documentation relative to the financial claim that may be requested by Canada (e.g., a copy of the general ledger).

(2) The Recipient shall submit the financial claim required under subsection (1) no later than,

(a) if the Payment Period is monthly, forty-five (45) days following the Payment Period; and

(b) if the Payment Period is quarterly, sixty (60) days following the Payment Period.

8.5 (1) Canada may withhold any advance payment due to the Recipient under this Agreement

(a) if the Recipient has failed to submit when due

(i) a financial claim under section 8.4 (1); or

(ii) any other document required by Canada under this Agreement; or

(b) pending the completion of an audit of the Recipient’s books and records, should Canada decide to undertake such an audit.

(2) Canada may also withhold any progress payment due to the Recipient under this Agreement

(a) if the Recipient has failed to submit when due any other document required by Canada under this agreement; or

(b) pending the completion of an audit of the Recipient’s books and records, should Canada decide to undertake such an audit.

8.6 Canada may retain a holdback of an amount up to 10% of its maximum contribution at the end of the Project Period pending

(a) receipt and verification by Canada of a final financial claim for the last Payment Period where advances have been made,

(b) receipt and acceptance by Canada of the final report for the Project that the Recipient is required to submit to Canada under the terms of this Agreement, and

(c) receipt of any other Project-related record that may be required by Canada.

9.0 ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

9.1 (1) Within one hundred and twenty (120) days following the end of each “Reporting Period” during the Project Period, the Recipient shall provide to Canada a financial report containing,

(a) a statement setting out,

(i) the total amount received from Canada under this Agreement during the Reporting Period,

(ii) the total revenue received from other sources for the Project during the Reporting Period, including cash and the value of in-kind contributions,

(iii) the total amount of GST/HST rebates and interest earned by the Recipient during the Reporting Period on advances of Canada’s contribution if the amount of interest earned is in excess of one hundred dollars ($100), and

(iv) the amounts realized during the Reporting Period from the disposition of any capital assets that had been originally purchased with funds from Canada’s contribution under this Agreement, and

(b) an itemized statement setting out, by expenditure category as per the Project Budget, the total amount of the expenditures incurred during the Reporting Period in relation to the Project and to the corresponding approved Investment Plan.

(2) For greater certainty, failure on the part of the Recipient to submit financial reports within the timeframe specified under subsection (1) may result in Canada withholding payment of an advance or progress payment in accordance with subsections 8.2(1) or (2) of this Schedule or withholding payment of any holdback retained by Canada in accordance with section 8.6 of this Schedule.

(3) For the purposes of this section, “Reporting Period” means each Fiscal Year that falls within the Project Period or, if the Fiscal Year falls only partially within the Project Period, such portion thereof.

9.2 Each financial report submitted to Canada pursuant to section 9.1 shall be accompanied by such supporting documentation as may be requested by Canada.

Audit Requirement

9.3 (1) Unless otherwise notified by Canada in writing, the Recipient shall engage an independent licensed public accountant to audit, in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, each financial report required under section 9.1. The Recipient shall ensure that the Recipient’s letter of audit engagement shall include the requirements set out under section 9.1.

(2) If requested by Canada to do so, the Recipient shall allow representatives of Canada to discuss any audited financial report referred to in this section with the Recipient’s auditors. The Recipient shall execute such directions, consents and other authorizations as may be required in order to permit its auditors to discuss the report with representatives of Canada and provide any requested information to them in relation...
to the audit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signatures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RECIPIENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAR 21 2018

[Signature]

CANADA
SCHEDULE C

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

LEGAL NAME OF RECIPIENT: The Corporation of the City of London

PROJECT TITLE: HPS 2014-2019

1.0 WORK PLAN

1.1 For each Fiscal Year that falls within the Project Period or, if the Fiscal Year falls only partially within the Project Period, such portion thereof, the Recipient shall provide to Canada for approval a "Work Plan" outlining the activities to be undertaken by the Recipient in implementing the Project during the Fiscal Year or part thereof. Each Work Plan shall be prepared in accordance with guidelines issued by Canada.

1.2 The Recipient's approved Work Plan for the first Fiscal Year or part thereof of the Project Period is attached to and forms an integral part of Schedule A (Project Description) to this Agreement. The Work Plan for each subsequent Fiscal Year or part thereof shall be provided to Canada for approval no later than sixty (60) days prior to the beginning of each Fiscal Year to which it relates.

1.3 Canada will notify the Recipient of its approval of each subsequent Work Plan no later than thirty (30) days following receipt of each plan. Upon approval, each subsequent Work Plan shall be attached to and form an integral part of Schedule A.

1.4 The Recipient shall implement the Project in accordance with the approved Work Plans. The Recipient shall not make any material change to an approved Work Plan without the written approval of Canada.

2.0 REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDING TOWARDS SUB-PROJECTS

Interpretation

2.1 For the purposes of this Agreement,

"Sub-Agreement Holder" means an organization other than the Recipient, to whom funding provided to the Recipient under this Agreement is further distributed to enable the organization to carry out a Sub-Project; and

"Sub-Project" means:

(a) an activity eligible for financial support under the Project which is implemented by a Sub-Agreement Holder, or

(b) an activity eligible for financial support under the Project implemented directly by the Recipient.

Sub-Project Selection Process

2.2 (1) The Recipient shall put into place a process satisfactory to Canada for ensuring that proposals for Sub-Projects to be funded with Canada's contribution, including Sub-Projects implemented directly by the Recipient, are assessed and selected in an open, impartial and fair manner. The Recipient agrees that part of the process will involve consultation on all such proposals with the Community Advisory Board. The Recipient must ensure that Sub-Project proposals of a capital nature address their sustainability, for Sub-Projects of a capital nature Canada will provide a form to address this aspect that is to be included as part of such proposals.

(2) The Recipient shall also put into place written operational policies and procedures relating to its financial management of the Project and its administration of Sub-Projects, and shall provide a copy of those policies and procedures to Canada, together with the names and positions of personnel within the Recipient's organization with responsibilities for the financial management and decision making in connection with the carrying out of the responsibilities of the Recipient under this Agreement. The Recipient shall notify Canada promptly of any changes in such personnel that occur from time to time.

(3) A sub-project shall not be funded under this Agreement unless the organization demonstrates that it applies sound financial management practices and respects the highest level of integrity.

(4) Without limiting the foregoing and subject to subsection 5, a sub-project shall not be funded under this Agreement if a review, audit or investigation conducted by the federal government, the government of a province or a public body created under the law of a province in the previous 3 years concludes to irregularities in the organization's financial management practices or raises integrity issues.

(5) The restriction in subsection 4 does not apply if an organization demonstrates that the irregularities and issues have been resolved and that measures have been diligently put in place to prevent reoccurrence.

Agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders

2.3 (1) When the Recipient provides funding to a Sub-Agreement Holder to support the costs of a Sub-Project, the Recipient shall ensure that there is a written agreement between it and the Sub-Agreement Holder that sets out the terms and conditions under which the Recipient is providing funding to the Sub-Agreement Holder.

(2) The written agreement referred to in subsection (1) shall include:

(a) an identification of the Sub-Agreement Holder (proper legal name and address);

(b) a description of the purpose of the funding;

(c) the effective date, the date of signing and the duration of the agreement;
(e) the costs of the Sub-Project eligible for reimbursement;

(f) the conditions to be met before payment is made and the schedule and basis of payment;

(g) the maximum amount payable;

(h) the provision of such reports by the Sub-Agreement Holder on its Sub-Project, outcomes and results as may be specified by Canada in any reporting guidelines or instructions provided to the Recipient by Canada or as may be specified elsewhere in this Agreement;

(i) a provision giving both Canada and the Recipient the right to conduct an audit of the books and records of the Sub-Agreement Holder, even though an audit may not always be undertaken, and to have access to the business premises and business site of the Sub-Agreement Holder to monitor and inspect the administration of the Sub-Project;

(j) a requirement for the Sub-Agreement Holder to repay to the Recipient the amount of any funding provided to which it is not entitled. The agreement should specify that amounts to which it is not entitled include the amount of any payments;

(l) made in error;

(m) made for costs in excess of the amount actually incurred for those costs; and

(n) that were used for costs that were not eligible for reimbursement under the agreement;

(k) if the Sub-Project involves an activity described in section 4.1 or 4.3,

(i) a repayment requirement modeled on the provisions of section 4.1 or 4.3, as the case may be, except that every reference to "Recipient" in those provisions shall be replaced by a reference to the term used by the Recipient to identify the Sub-Agreement Holder in its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder and every reference to "Canada" shall be replaced by a reference to the term used by the Recipient to identify itself in its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder; and

(ii) a provision giving both Canada and the Recipient, for the number of years following the end-date of the Sub-Project in respect of which the repayment requirement referred to in subparagraph (i) applies to the Sub-Agreement Holder, the right to inspect the operation of the facility referred to in section 4.1 or 4.3 at any reasonable time to verify the continuing use of the facility for the purposes for which it was funded; and

(iii) a provision stipulating that the Sub-Agreement holder shall not mortgage, charge or otherwise encumber the facility property during the period of the Sub-Project, or for the number of years following the end-date of the Sub-Project in respect of which the repayment requirement referred to in subparagraph (i) applies to the Sub-Agreement Holder, without the prior written approval of the Recipient; and

(iv) a provision stipulating that the Sub-Agreement Holder shall ensure that all environmental protection measures, standards and rules relating to the Sub-Project established by competent authorities are respected;

(l) a provision stipulating that payment of any funding under the agreement is subject to the availability of funds and that payment of funding may be cancelled or reduced in the event that Canada cancels or reduces its funding to the Recipient;

(m) a requirement for the Sub-Agreement Holder to give appropriate recognition of the contribution of Canada to the Sub-Project being carried out in its publicity and signage relating to the Sub-Project, including any information provided to the public on any web site maintained by the Sub-Agreement Holder;

(n) a requirement that the Sub-Agreement Holder notify the Recipient (Community Entity) twenty (20) working days in advance of any and all communications activities, publications, advertising and press releases planned by the Sub-Agreement Holder relating to the Sub-Project; and

(o) a requirement for the Sub-Agreement Holder to cooperate with representatives of Canada during any official news release or ceremonies relating to the announcement of the Sub-Project.

Internal Memoranda of Understanding (MOU)

2.4 When the Recipient is implementing a Sub-Project directly, the Recipient shall ensure that there is an internal memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the head of the branch or division of its organization responsible for implementing the Sub-Project, as if the head of the branch or division implementing the Sub-Project was a Sub-Agreement Holder, setting out terms and conditions of the funding modelled on the requirements of section 2.3, with such modifications as the circumstances may require.

Provision of Copies of Agreements and MOUs

2.5 Upon request, the Recipient shall provide Canada with a copy of any or all agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders and MOUs referred to in sections 2.3 and 2.4, respectively.

Monitoring and Audit of Sub-Projects

2.6 The Recipient shall exercise due diligence in the administration of its agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders.
Holders and of its MOUs referred to in section 2.4. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, in exercising due diligence, the Recipient shall take appropriate measures for ensuring compliance by Sub-Agreement Holders and, in the case of MOUs referred to in section 2.4, by the responsible branch or division head of the Recipient, with the terms and conditions of the agreement or MOU, as the case may be, including:

(a) monitoring the Sub-Project through, as appropriate, periodic visits to the Sub-Project site or other means such as telephone calls and questionnaires,

(b) undertaking periodic audits or inspections of financial records to verify that costs claimed under the agreement or MOU, were actually incurred and were in accordance with the agreement or MOU, as the case may be,

(c) furnishing the Sub-Agreement Holder or the branch or division head of the Recipient, as the case may be, with necessary advice, support and training to assist in carrying out the Sub-Project and in realizing the objectives and achieving the results of the Sub-Project,

(d) where there are breaches of the agreement or MOU, taking appropriate measures to resolve the situation, including, in the case of an agreement with a Sub-Agreement Holder, termination of the agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder or legal action to enforce compliance with the agreement, and

(e) in the case of an agreement with a Sub-Agreement Holder, making all reasonable efforts to recover any overpayments under the agreement.

2.7 The Recipient shall provide to Canada, upon request, a report of any monitoring review or audit of a Sub-Project undertaken by the Recipient under section 2.6.

2.8 Where Canada desires to exercise its right to audit the books and records of a Sub-Agreement Holder or to monitor and inspect its Sub-Project, Canada shall notify the Recipient of its desire to do so. The Recipient shall cooperate with Canada in obtaining access to the financial records and, if required by Canada, it shall take all necessary steps to ensure the Recipient’s and Canada’s right of access to the Sub-Agreement Holder’s records, including taking legal proceedings against the Sub-Agreement Holder.

3.0 REPORTING

Report of Approved Sub-Projects

3.1 Each financial claim submitted to Canada pursuant to section 8 (Terms of Payment) of Schedule B to this Agreement shall be accompanied by a report identifying all agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders and MOUs approved by the Recipient to date containing the following information about each Sub-Project:

(a) the Sub-Project file identifier;

(b) in the case of agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders, the legal name of the Sub-Agreement Holder and Sub-Agreement Holder contact information;

(c) in the case of MOUs, the name of the branch or division within the Recipient’s organization responsible for carrying out the Sub-Project and Recipient branch or division contact information;

(d) the amount of funding provided under this Agreement to be provided by the Recipient for the Sub-Project;

(e) identification of the applicable HPS funding stream;

(f) the Sub-Project start and end dates; and

(g) the activity area(s) supported by the Sub-Project, i.e. (i) Housing First; (ii) support services; (iii) capital investments; (iv) activities to ensure coordination or resources and leveraging; or (v) activities to improve data collection and use.

Results Reporting

3.2 Within thirty (30) days of the start date of each Sub-Project, the Recipient shall provide to Canada, using an online results reporting system provided by Canada, a “Project Details Report”, acceptable to Canada in both scope and detail, that sets out the detailed description of the Sub-Project. Any changes to the funding amount, activities, or end date of a Sub-Project approved by the Recipient will require a revised Project Details Report that must be provided to Canada, using the online system, within thirty (30) days of the change.

3.3 Where applicable, the Recipient shall provide to Canada, no later than forty-five (45) days following each Fiscal Year that falls within the period of the Sub-Project, an “Annual Results Report” detailing the outputs and outcomes achieved in implementing each Sub-Project during the Fiscal Year. Each Annual Results Report shall be provided to Canada using the online system referred to in section 3.2.

Annual Community Plan Update

3.4 If the Recipient is funded by the HPS Designated Communities funding stream, or funded by the Aboriginal Homelessness funding stream with a community allocation greater than $200,000, the Recipient shall provide annually to Canada, using a form provided by Canada, no later than sixty (60) days following the period covered by the report, a report, satisfactory to Canada in scope and detail, on

(a) progress in meeting Community Plan priorities
(b) expenditures supporting investment targets including minimum Housing First requirement mentioned under Expected Results in Schedule A,
(c) Community Contribution received (for Designated Communities funding stream only),
(d) updating Community Plan priorities and targets for subsequent years (if required); and
(e) any other update as may be required by Canada.
4.6 REQUIREMENTS IN RESPECT OF FACILITY PROPERTY AND REPAYMENT

Project Funding Used to Purchase Land or a Building for a Facility

4.1 If

(a) funding provided for a Sub-Project is used towards the costs of purchasing land or a building to establish a new facility to provide shelter space, transitional or supportive housing or other services for the homeless, and

(b) the amount of the funding referred to in paragraph (a) is in excess of $50,000, the Recipient shall repay as a debt owing to Canada,

(c) an amount equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph (a) if,

(i) five (5) years following the end date of the Sub-Project, a facility that provides shelter space, transitional or supportive housing or other services for the homeless has not been established on the property referred to in paragraph (a), or

(ii) at any time during the five-year period following the end date of the Sub-Project, Canada concludes, based on

(A) information provided by the Recipient under section 4.7, or

(B) the results of a site inspection conducted by Canada under section 4.9

that the facility referred to in paragraph (a) will not be established during said five-year period and notifies the Recipient of such conclusion in writing, and

(d) an amount determined in accordance with section 4.2 if, within five (5) years following the end date of the Sub-Project, the land or building referred to in paragraph (a) is sold and the proceeds of disposition are not forthwith committed to supporting a facility providing similar services to the homeless that is approved by Canada.

4.2 The amount repayable by the Recipient under paragraph 4.1(d), if the event referred to in that paragraph occurs, shall be determined as follows:

(a) if the event occurs within one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a);

(b) if the event occurs within two years, but after one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 80% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a);

(c) if the event occurs within three years, but after two years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 60% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a);

(d) if the event occurs within four years, but after three years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 40% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a); or

(e) if the event occurs within five years, but after four years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 20% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a).

Project Funding Used for Construction or Renovations

4.3 If

(a) funding provided for a Sub-Project is used towards the costs of constructing or renovating a building to establish a new facility to provide shelter space, transitional or supportive housing or other services for the homeless, or towards the costs of expanding or renovating an existing facility that provides shelter space, transitional or supportive housing or other services for the homeless, and

(b) the amount of the funding referred to in paragraph (a) is in excess of $50,000, the Recipient shall repay as a debt owing to Canada,

(c) an amount equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph (a) if the Sub-Project referred to in that paragraph is not completed by the end date of the Sub-Project, and

(d) an amount determined in accordance with section 4.4 if the activity referred to in paragraph (a) is completed by the end date of the Sub-Project but within five (5) years following the end date of the Sub-Project either of the following events occurs:

(i) the facility ceases to operate for its intended purpose and is not used for some other service approved by Canada in support of the homeless but is converted to some other use, or

(ii) the facility is sold and the proceeds of disposition are not forthwith committed to supporting a facility providing similar services to the homeless that is approved by Canada.

4.4 The amount repayable by the Recipient under paragraph 4.3(d) if either event referred to in subparagraph 4.3(d)(i) or (ii) occurs shall be determined as follows:

(a) for renovations representing 30% or less of the market value of the facility established as part of the project assessment process, if the event occurs within:

(i) one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a); or

(ii) two years, but after one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 80% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a); and
(b) for construction and for renovations representing more than 30% of the market value of the facility established as part of the project assessment process, if the event occurs within:

(i) one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a);

(ii) two years, but after one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 80% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a);

(iii) three years, but after two years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 60% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a);

(iv) four years, but after three years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 40% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a); or

(v) five years, but after four years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 20% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a).

4.5 For greater certainty, the Recipient acknowledges that the repayment requirements in sections 4.1 and 4.3 apply to it not only where the Sub-Project is implemented by it directly but also where it is being implemented by a Sub-Agreement Holder. Consequently, where the Recipient provides funding to a Sub-Agreement Holder for a Sub-Project that involves an activity referred to in section 4.1 or 4.3, the Recipient must ensure pursuant to paragraph 2.3(a) that its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder includes repayment obligations on the part of the Sub-Agreement Holder that are modeled on the provisions of section 4.1 or 4.3, as the case may be, except that every reference to "Recipient" in those provisions shall be replaced by a reference to the term used by the Recipient to identify the Sub-Agreement Holder in its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder and every reference to "Canada" shall be replaced by a reference to the term used by the Recipient to identify itself in its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder.

**Repayment to Canada of Amounts Recovered from Sub-Agreement Holders**

4.6 Where a Sub-Agreement Holder is required, under the terms of its agreement with the Recipient, to repay an amount to a Recipient pursuant to a repayment obligation referred to in section 4.5, the Recipient shall repay to Canada any such amount recovered by the Recipient from the Sub-Agreement Holder.

**Annual Monitoring of, and Declaration on, Facility Establishment and/or Utilization Following Completion**

4.7 If a Sub-Project involves an activity described in section 4.1 or 4.3, the Recipient shall, for the number of years following the end-date of the Sub-Project in respect of which the repayment requirements in section 4.2 or 4.4, as the case may be, are applicable (hereinafter "the Monitoring Period")

(a) annually monitor, as the case may be,

(i) progress made towards the establishment of the facility, or

(ii) the use of the facility to verify its continuing use for the purposes for which the Recipient had provided its funding, and

(b) immediately notify Canada if the activities leading to the establishment of a facility have ceased, the facility property has been sold or the facility has ceased to be used for its intended purposes, and

(c) provide annually to Canada, using a form provided by Canada, a declaration regarding, as the case may be,

(i) the progress made towards the establishment of the facility during the year covered by the declaration, or

(ii) utilization of the facility during the year covered by the declaration.

4.8 Each annual declaration referred to in section 4.7 shall be provided to Canada no later than ninety (90) days following the end of the year covered by the declaration.

4.9 During the Monitoring Period, the Recipient shall ensure that representatives of Canada are allowed to inspect the operation of the facility at any reasonable time to verify its continuing use for the purposes for which it was funded.

**No Mortgaging or Charging of Facility Property**

4.10. If the Recipient itself carries out a Sub-Project involving an activity described in section 4.1 or 4.3, the Recipient shall not mortgage, charge or otherwise encumber the facility property during the period of the Sub-Project or during the Monitoring Period, without the prior written approval of Canada. Canada undertakes that its approval shall not be unreasonably withheld.

4.11 If a Sub-Agreement Holder is carrying out a Sub-Project involving an activity described in section 4.1 or 4.3, the Recipient shall ensure that the Sub-Agreement Holder does not mortgage, charge or otherwise encumber the facility property during the period of the Sub-Project or during the Monitoring Period, without the prior written approval of the Recipient.

**5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

5.1 The Recipient shall:

(a) maintain and implement any and all environmental protection measures prescribed by Canada for ensuring that the harm to the environment resulting from the Project, if any, will remain minimal; and

(b) ensure that all environmental protection measures, standards and rules relating to the Project established by competent authorities are respected.
6.0 OFFICIAL LANGUAGES

6.1 The Recipient shall:

(a) make Project-related documentation and announcements (for the public and prospective Project participants, if any) in both official languages;

(b) actively offer Project-related services in both official languages;

(c) encourage members of both official language communities to participate in the Project; and

(d) provide its services, where appropriate, in such a manner as to address the needs of both official language communities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signatures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECIPIENT</th>
<th>RECIPIENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

MAR 21 2018

[Signature]
A by-law to exempt from Part Lot Control lands located on the east side of Kains Road, north of Shore Road; being composed of all of Block 1 Plan 33M-721, more accurately described as Parts 1-54 inclusive on Reference Plan 33R-20077 in the City of London and County of Middlesex.

WHEREAS pursuant to subsection 50(7) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, and pursuant to the request from Sifton Properties Limited, it is expedient to exempt lands located on the east side of Kains Road, north of Shore Road; being composed of all of Block 1 Plan 33M-721 from Part Lot Control;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of The City of London enacts as follows:

1. Lands located on the east side of Kains Road north of Shore Road, being composed of all of Block 1 Plan 33M-721, in the City of London and County of Middlesex, more accurately described as Parts 1 to 54 inclusive on Reference Plan 33R-20077, are hereby exempted from Part Lot Control pursuant to subsection 50(7) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended; it being noted that these lands are zoned to permit cluster forms of housing such as single detached, semi-detached, duplex, triplex, townhouse and stacked townhouse dwellings in the form of land lease community homes in conformity with the Residential R6 Special Provision (R6-5(7) and R6-5(22)) Zones. A Site Plan Development Agreement for the lands in question was entered into with the City of London on March 10, 2017, and registered in the Land Registry Office on July 12, 2017.

2. This by-law comes into force and effect when it is registered at the Land Registry Office.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk
Bill No.194
2018

By-law No. C.P.-1284-
A by-law to amend the Official Plan for
the City of London, 1989 relating to
addition of the Archaeological
Management Plan as a Guideline
Document.

The Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. Amendment No. (to be inserted by Clerk’s Office) to the Official Plan for the
City of London Planning Area – 1989, as contained in the text attached hereto and forming
part of this by-law, is adopted.

2. This by-law shall come into effect in accordance with subsection 17(38) of


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8, 2018
Second Reading – May 8, 2018
Third Reading – May 8, 2018
AMENDMENT NO.
to the
OFFICIAL PLAN FOR THE CITY OF LONDON

A. PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT

The purpose of this Amendment is:

1. To amend the Official Plan to add a new subsection to Section 19.2.2 ii) (Guideline Documents) to add Archaeological Management Plan (2017);

B. LOCATION OF THIS AMENDMENT

1. This Amendment applies to all lands located in the City of London.

C. BASIS OF THE AMENDMENT

Archaeological resources contribute to our understanding of the past. Our stewardship and management of archaeological resources shows our respect for past occupation, settlement, and cultures that have had an influence on our City. The conservation of archaeological resources is a matter of Provincial Interest, pursuant to Section 2(d) of the Planning Act, with policies requiring archaeological assessments in the Provincial Policy Statement (2014). Provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act protect archaeological sites from inappropriate alteration and disturbance, and help to ensure that archaeological fieldwork in Ontario is undertaken in compliance with the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (2011).

Archaeological resources are best protected through the planning and development process. The land use planning process, governed by the Planning Act or the Environmental Assessment Act, requires approval authority to integrate the requirements of the Ontario Heritage Act and the Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act regarding known archaeological sites and areas of archaeological potential. It is the approval authority’s obligation to ensure that appropriate policies and practices are in place to conserve archaeological resources in the planning and development process.


D. THE AMENDMENT

The Official Plan for the City of London is hereby amended as follows:

1. Section 19.2.2 ii) of the Official Plan for the City of London is amended by adding the following:

( _ ) Archaeological Management Plan
Bill No.195
2018

By-law No. C.P.-1284-____
A by-law to amend the “Our Move Forward- London’s Downtown Plan” for the City of London, relating to Temporary Downtown Commercial Parking Lots.

The Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. Amendment No. (to be inserted by Clerk's Office) to the “Our Move Forward- London’s Downtown Plan” – 2015, as contained in the text attached hereto and forming part of this by-law, is adopted.

2. This by-law shall come into effect in accordance with subsection 17(38) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8, 2018
Second Reading – May 8, 2018
Third Reading – May 8, 2018
A. PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT

The purpose of this Amendment is add wording to policy 5.2 (Build a Great Neighbourhood) of the “Our Move Forward-London’s Downtown Plan” guideline document for the City of London.

B. LOCATION OF THIS AMENDMENT

This Amendment applies to lands located within the boundaries of the Downtown as defined by the Official Plan and The London Plan in the City of London.

C. BASIS OF THE AMENDMENT

Surface commercial parking lots currently provide an important supply of parking for the Downtown. Over time, it is anticipated that surface lots will be re-developed in favour of commercial parking within structures – either within the architectural mass of a mixed-use building, or in a separate parking structure with an appropriate use fronting the street. Surface commercial parking lots can undermine the quality of Downtown’s pedestrian environments – a key requirement for Downtown’s future success. They represent an underutilization of Downtown land area and can also create safety concerns.

Council is regularly asked to extend temporary zoning permissions to allow for the continuation of surface commercial parking lots. This change will provide more detailed and streetscape-specific guidance on the evaluation of planning and development applications for temporary zoning to permit and design surface commercial parking lots in the Downtown. The addition of criteria will provide a consistent basis for evaluating requests for temporary commercial parking lot extensions and meet the long-term goal of replacing surface lots with development that includes underground or above ground parking spaces.

D. THE AMENDMENT

1. Section 5.2 (Build a Great Neighbourhood) of “Our Move Forward – London’s Downtown Plan” is amended by adding the following after the existing text;

“Requests for temporary zoning for surface commercial parking lots, and extensions to temporary zoning for surface commercial parking lots, will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

1. Site plan approval will be required for all temporary surface commercial parking lots in the Downtown.

2. The importance of any pedestrian streetscapes that are impacted by the surface commercial parking lot and the degree to which these streetscapes are impacted.

3. The location, configuration and size of the parking area will be designed to support the provision of, and enhance the experience of pedestrians, transit-users, cyclists and drivers.

4. The impact of parking facilities on the public realm will be minimized by strategically locating and screening these parking areas. Surface parking should be located in the rear yard or interior side yard.”
5. Surface parking lots should be designed to include a sustainable tree canopy with a target of 30% canopy coverage at 20 years of anticipated tree growth.

6. Surface parking located in highly-visible areas should be screened by low walls and landscape treatments.

7. Lighting of parking areas will be designed to avoid negative light impacts on adjacent properties.

8. Large surface parking lots shall be designed with areas dedicated for pedestrian priority including landscaping to ensure safe pedestrian connectivity throughout the site.

9. Surface parking areas will be designed to incorporate landscape/tree islands for visual amenity and to help convey stormwater and reduce the heat island effect.

10. Large surface parking areas will be designed to incorporate low impact development measures to address stormwater management.
Bill No.196
2018

By-law No. C.P.-1284-

A by-law to amend the Official Plan for the City of London, 1989 relating to Temporary Downtown Commercial Parking Lots.

The Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. Amendment No. (to be inserted by Clerk's Office) to the Official Plan for the City of London Planning Area – 1989, as contained in the text attached hereto and forming part of this by-law, is adopted.

2. This by-law shall come into effect in accordance with subsection 17(38) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8, 2018
Second Reading – May 8, 2018
Third Reading – May 8, 2018
AMENDMENT NO.

to the

OFFICIAL PLAN FOR THE CITY OF LONDON

A. PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT

The purpose of this Amendment is to add a new policy in Section 4.1.10 iv) (Parking/Surface Parking Lots) of the Official Plan for the City of London to include criteria to assess requests for extension of temporary zoning for existing surface commercial parking lots in Downtown.

B. LOCATION OF THIS AMENDMENT

This Amendment applies to lands located within the boundaries of the Downtown as defined by the Official Plan in the City of London.

C. BASIS OF THE AMENDMENT

Surface commercial parking lots currently provide an important supply of parking for the Downtown. Over time, it is anticipated that surface lots will be re-developed in favour of commercial parking within structures – either within the architectural mass of a mixed-use building, or in a separate parking structure with an appropriate use fronting the street. Surface commercial parking lots can undermine the quality of Downtown’s pedestrian environments – a key requirement for Downtown’s future success. They represent an underutilization of Downtown land area and can also create safety concerns.

Council is regularly asked to extend temporary zoning permissions to allow for the continuation of surface commercial parking lots. This amendment recommends a policy that can help Council to evaluate such requests. The addition of criteria will provide a consistent basis for evaluating requests for temporary commercial parking lot extensions and meet the long term goal of replacing surface lots with development that includes underground or above ground parking spaces.

D. THE AMENDMENT

The Official Plan for the City of London is hereby amended as follows:

1. Section 4.1.10 iv) (Downtown Parking/Surface Parking Lots) of the Official Plan for the City of London is amended by adding the following after the existing policy:

*For lands within the Downtown Area designation, the following criteria will be used to evaluate both applications for temporary zoning to permit surface commercial parking lots and applications for extensions to temporary zoning to permit surface commercial parking lots:

1. The demonstrated need for surface parking in the area surrounding the subject site. Utilization rates for sub-areas of the Downtown may be used to evaluate this need.

2. The importance of any pedestrian streetscapes that are impacted by the surface commercial parking lot and the degree to which these streetscapes are impacted.

3. The size of the parking lot, recognizing a goal of avoiding the underutilization of Downtown lands.

4. The length of time that the surface commercial parking lot has been in place, recognizing it is not intended that temporary uses will be permitted on a long-term basis.
5. Applicable guideline documents may be used to provide further, more detailed, guidance in applying these policies.

6. Site plan approval will be required for all temporary surface commercial parking lots in the Downtown.

7. Where Council does not wish to extend the temporary zoning for a surface commercial parking lot a short-term extension of the temporary zone may be permitted for the purpose of allowing users of the lot to find alternative parking arrangements."
Bill No. 197
2018

By-law No. S. - 5931

A by-law to lay out, constitute, establish and assume lands in the City of London as public highway. (as widening to Base Line Road East, west of Wellington Road)

WHEREAS it is expedient to establish the lands hereinafter described as public highway;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. The lands and premises hereinafter described are laid out, constituted, established and assumed as public highway as widening to Base Line Road East, west of Wellington Road, namely:

   Part of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 on Registered Plan 607 in the City of London, designated as Parts 4, 5, 6 and 7 respectively on Reference Plan 33R-19984.

   and

   Part of Lot 25 in Concession, in the geographic Township of Westminster, now in the City of London, designated as Parts 1, 2 and 3 on Reference Plan 33R-19984.

2. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8, 2018
Second Reading – May 8, 2018
Third Reading – May 8, 2018
LOCATION MAP

SUBJECT LANDS
Bill No. 198
2018

By-law No. S.-5932

A by-law to lay out, constitute, establish and assume certain reserves in the City of London as public highway. (as part of Savoy Street)

WHEREAS it is expedient to establish the lands hereinafter described as public highway;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. The lands and premises hereinafter described are laid out, constituted, established and assumed as public highway as part of Savoy Street namely:

   All of Block 56 on Registered Plan 33M-690 in the City of London and County of Middlesex.

2. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8, 2018
Second Reading – May 8, 2018
Third Reading – May 8, 2018
WHEREAS the City of London has initiated an application to make an amendment to Zoning By-law Z-1, as set out below;

AND WHEREAS upon approval of Official Plan Amendment Number _ this rezoning will conform to the Official Plan;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1) Section 3.8. 2) s) h-18 (holding zone provision) is deleted and replaced with new wording provided below;

s) h-18

The proponent shall retain an archaeologist, licensed by the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport under the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act (R.S.O. 1990 as amended) to carry out a Stage 1 (or Stage 1-2) archaeological assessment of the entire property and follow through on recommendations to mitigate, through preservation or resource removal and documentation, adverse impacts to any significant archaeological resources found (Stages 3-4). The archaeological assessment must be completed in accordance with the most current Standards and Guidelines for Consulting Archaeologists, Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport.

All archaeological assessment reports, in both hard copy format and as a PDF, will be submitted to the City of London once the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport has accepted them into the Public Registry.

Significant archaeological resources will be incorporated into the proposed development through either in situ preservation or interpretation where feasible, or may be commemorated and interpreted through exhibition development on site including, but not limited to, commemorative plaquing.

No demolition, construction, or grading or other soil disturbance shall take place on the subject property prior to the City's Planning Services receiving the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport compliance letter indicating that all archaeological licensing and technical review requirements have been satisfied.

The inclusion in this By-law of imperial measure along with metric measure is for the purpose of convenience only and the metric measure governs in case of any discrepancy between the two measures.
This By-law shall come into force and be deemed to come into force in accordance with Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P13, either upon the date of the passage of this by-law or as otherwise provided by the said section.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8, 2018
Second Reading – May 8, 2018
Third Reading – May 8, 2018
Bill No.200
2018

By-law No. Z.-1-18

A by-law to amend By-law No. Z.-1 to rezone an area of land located at 100 Kellogg Lane (south portion).

WHEREAS this rezoning conforms to the Official Plan;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1) Section Number 40.4 of the Light Industrial (LI) Zone is amended by changing the following Special Provision to add to the list of additional permitted uses:

a) Li1(19) 100 Kellogg Lane (south portion)
   a) Additional Permitted Use[s]
      i) Place of entertainment in association with a commercial recreation establishment
      ii) Amusement games establishment in association with a commercial recreation establishment
      iii) Self-Storage Establishments
      iv) Offices (within existing building)
      v) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 40.3(4)(a) of Zoning By-law No. Z.-1, all listed secondary uses shall be permitted on the subject site.

b) Regulations
   i) North yard setback (minimum) 0 metres (0 feet)
   ii) West yard setback (minimum) 0 metres (0 feet)
   iii) East yard setback (minimum) 0 metres (0 feet)
   iv) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 4.19 (10) of Zoning By-law No. Z.-1, a minimum of 400 parking spaces is required for the entirety of 100 Kellogg Lane and can be provided in combination with parking spaces on site and lands zoned to permit accessory parking lots in favour of 100 Kellogg Lane.
   v) A maximum Gross Floor Area of 8,361m² (89,997ft²) shall be permitted for Office Uses (within existing building) in combination with the Office uses permitted in the BDC1/BDC2(12) zone on 100 Kellogg Lane.

The inclusion in this By-law of imperial measure along with metric measure is for the purpose
of convenience only and the metric measure governs in case of any discrepancy between the two measures.

This By-law shall come into force and be deemed to come into force in accordance with Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P13, either upon the date of the passage of this by-law or as otherwise provided by the said section.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8, 2018
Second Reading – May 8, 2018
Third Reading – May 8, 2015
WHEREAS 1904812 Ontario Ltd. c/o Domus Development London Inc. has applied to rezone an area of land located at 200 Villagewalk Boulevard, as shown on the map attached to this by-law, as set out below;

AND WHEREAS this rezoning conforms to the Official Plan;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1) Schedule “A” to By-law No. Z.-1 is amended by changing the zoning applicable to lands located at 200 Villagewalk Boulevard, as shown on the attached map comprising part of Key Map No. A102, from a Residential R6 Special Provision/ Residential R7 Special Provision/ Office Special Provision (R6-5(26)/R7(10)/OF(1)) Zone to a Residential R6 Special Provision/ Residential R7 Special Provision/ Office Special Provision (R6-5(26)/R7(10)/OF(_)) Zone.

2) Section Number 19.4 of the Office (OF) Zone is amended by adding the following Special Provision:

OF(_)

a) 200 Villagewalk Boulevard
b) Additional Permitted Use:

i) Medical/dental offices up to 790 square meters.

b) Regulations

i) Front Yard Setback 4 metres (13.1 feet) (Maximum)
ii) Interior Side Yard Setback from the reserve on Sunningdale Road 16.1 metres (52.8 feet) (Maximum)

iii) Height (m) 15 metres (49.2 feet) (Maximum)
iv) Parking Spaces 88 (Minimum)
v) Total Gross Floor Area for medical/dental offices 790 square metres (8,503 square feet) (Maximum)

The inclusion in this By-law of imperial measure along with metric measure is for the purpose of convenience only and the metric measure governs in case of any discrepancy between the two measures.

This By-law shall come into force and be deemed to come into force in accordance with Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, either upon the date of the passage of this by-law or as otherwise provided by the said section.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk

First Reading – May 8, 2018
Second Reading – May 8, 2018
Third Reading – May 8, 2018
Bill No. 202
2018

By-law No. W.-5641-

A by-law to authorize the Storm Water Management Servicing-Oxford Business Park (Project ID2095OXF).

WHEREAS the Treasurer has calculated an updated limit for The Corporation of the City of London using its most recent debt and financial obligation limit determined by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs in accordance with the provisions of Ontario Regulation 403/02, and has calculated the estimated annual amount payable by The Corporation of the City of London in respect of the project described in this by-law and has determined that such estimated annual amount payable does not exceed the Limit;

NOW THEREFORE the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the City of London enacts as follows:

1. The “Storm Water Management Servicing-Oxford Business Park (Project ID2095OXF)” is hereby authorized.

2. The net cost of this project shall be met by the issue of debentures in an amount not to exceed $340,733.00.

3. This by-law comes into force and effect on the day it is passed.


Matt Brown
Mayor

Catharine Saunders
City Clerk